Coherent forward broadening in cold atom clouds
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It is shown that homogeneous line-broadening in a diffuse cold atom cloud is proportional to the resonant optical depth of the cloud. Furthermore, it is demonstrated how the strong directionality of the coherent interactions causes the cloud’s spectra to depend strongly on its shape, even when the cloud is held at constant densities. These two numerical observations can be predicted analytically by extending the single-photon wave-function model. Lastly, elongating a cloud along the line of laser propagation causes the excitation probability distribution to deviate from the exponential decay predicted by the Beer–Lambert law to the extent where the atoms at the back of the cloud are more excited than the atoms at the front. These calculations are conducted at the low densities relevant to recent experiments.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.93.023407

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the seminal work of Dicke [1], the effects of collective emission in an ensemble of radiators has been studied extensively. Collective long-range interactions have shown their import in many phenomena such as coherent forward scattering [2,3], the collective Lamb shift [4], fault-tolerant quantum computation [5], laser cooling [6], and homogeneous line broadening [7]. The study of collective effects and their role in transition lines is of particular importance for the implementation of highly accurate atomic clocks [8–12], where achieving narrow resonance decay is essential. As the quest for extremely accurate atomic clocks progresses, an understanding of the plethora of physical processes in cold atomic gases, such as density-dependent line broadening [8], will need to be understood by using models that extend the classical theories of line broadening, since these models mainly rely on local interactions [13–15].

Although the interaction between an individual pair of atoms or molecules in a cold, diffuse gas can be tiny, the long-range nature of the dipole-dipole couplings can lead to the substantial constructive buildup of small interactions over an entire ensemble [2,3,16–20]. This understanding has been improved by using single-photon wave-function theories that provide analytic predictions about the line broadening seen in an atomic cloud when driven by an extremely weak laser [3,16,19]. Here we explore this concept for very low atomic density, showing that the model remains valid whenever inhomogeneous broadening is negligible. For example, the linewidth (Γ′) for an N atom gas with a Gaussian density distribution increases according to Γ′ = (1 + b0ξ)Γ, where b0 is the cooperativity parameter, b0 ≡ 3(N−1)ξ/ξ, ξ is a number parametrizing the cloud shape [see Eq. (4)], N is the number of atoms in the cloud, and Γ is the single-atom linewidth (see Fig. 1). Unlike collisional broadening where the extra linewidth is only proportional to the average density, the extra linewidth from the dipole-dipole interaction is proportional to the average density and the linear size of the gas. This scaling should affect the spectroscopy of cold atoms since the extra linewidth can be substantial, even at low densities. This also has implications for fault-tolerant quantum computation, specifically the threshold theorem which assumes spatially and temporally local interactions [21,22]. Here large separations ensure the absence of collisional broadening but the dipole-dipole interaction will still lead to an extra linewidth, implying a faster decoherence [5].

By studying the photon-scattering rate versus detuning, we illustrate how cold atom clouds with average densities such that p/k3 ≪ 1 exhibit collective effects similar to those predicted by using single-photon wave-function theories [3,16,19]. Even at densities where individual interactions are tiny, a cloud can still show signs of coherence due to the buildup of the eikr term present in dipole-dipole couplings. This leads the line broadening and the excitation distribution of a cloud to depend strongly on its overall shape, since interactions add constructively between atoms separated by a position vector parallel to the direction of the driving laser (k ≡ i/k). For constant p and N, clouds that are elongated parallel to k are more broadened than those elongated perpendicular to k.

This work is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we describe our numerical approach, as well as a variant of the Gauss–Seidel iteration routine that allows us to simulate larger numbers of atoms. In Sec. III, we provide an analytic derivation of the dependence of a cloud’s lineshape on its density, aspect ratio, and number of atoms. This is done by using an improvement of the single-photon wave-function model so that it includes a vectorized electromagnetic field, as well as a spherically nonsymmetric Gaussian cloud. In Sec. IV, we discuss the results of our numerical model. Here we show that, until a cloud becomes too elongated along the line of laser propagation, the results of our analytic model are numerically accurate. Lastly, we show that, for clouds highly elongated along k and driven by a red-detuned laser, a counterintuitive excitation distribution develops: the atoms at the back of the cloud (farther along k) are more likely to be excited than the atoms at the front of the cloud. This is the reverse of the typical exponential decay predicted by the Beer–Lambert law. We discuss experimental possibilities and provide concluding remarks in Sec. V.

*rsutherl@purdue.edu
†robichf@purdue.edu
such that it contains the to change depending on the current row during a matrix
that the results obtained by using a Gaussian density
harmonic oscillators [20,23–25],
and distributed according to a Gaussian density distribution. The atoms are treated as stationary
distribution agree with a constant density distribution to within
5%, for up to 10^4 atoms.
In order to avoid the usual N^3 scaling of the computation time, we solve this matrix equation by using an adaptation of the Gauss–Seidel [27] iteration algorithm. This may be written in the form:
\[ a_{n+1} = D^{-1} \left( i\frac{d}{\hbar} E - (M - D)a_n \right). \]
For the usual Gauss–Seidel iteration routine, D would consist of the diagonal elements of M. However, this diverges whenever \( D^{-1}(M - D) \) contains an eigenvalue with an absolute value greater than 1. We avoid this problem by allowing D to change depending on the current row during a matrix multiplication. When a row corresponding to a particular atom is being updated in \( a \), we choose D such that it contains the current atom’s couplings to its \( m \) closest neighboring atoms. This routine allows the largest couplings, which would lead to the divergence discussed above to be conducted exactly, while the majority of the smaller couplings are iterated. This algorithm scales at a rate close to \( N^2 \), allowing us to simulate much larger numbers of atoms than we could otherwise do. Note that this numerical method is much more efficient at lower densities.

III. SINGLE-PHOTON MODEL FOR A NONSYMMETRIC GAUSSIAN CLOUD
This study is conducted in the limit of weak laser intensity. Because of this, the single-photon wave-function model [3,19] should be a fair approximation. Following this model, we write our wave function as
\[ |\Psi(t)\rangle = \beta_+ (t) |+\rangle |0\rangle + \sum_a \beta_a (t) | -\omega\rangle |0\rangle \]
\[ + \sum_{k\lambda} \gamma_{k\lambda} |g\rangle |\{n_{k\lambda} = 1\}\rangle, \]
where \( |+\rangle \) is the superradiant Dicke timed state:
\[ |+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_j e^{ikr_j} |j\rangle, \]
where \( |j\rangle \) refers to the state where the \( j \)-th atom is excited and the rest are in the ground state, and \( | -\omega\rangle \) is the \( \omega \)-th subradiant state defined so that it is orthogonal to \( |+\rangle \).
For the purpose of simplicity, we assume that the cloud consists of two-level atoms polarized in the \( \hat{x} \) direction and driven by a laser propagating in the \( \hat{z} \) direction. This differs with the rest of the paper, but it simplifies the analytic calculation without changing any effects. We model the Hamiltonian as
\[ H = H_0 + \hbar \sum_j \sum_{k\lambda} (\hat{e}_{k\lambda} \cdot \hat{x}) g_{k\lambda} \pi^j_{\lambda} (\hat{a}_{k\lambda} + 1 \rangle e^{-i\omega t + ikr_j} + \text{c.c.}, \]
where \( H_0 \) is the single-atom Hamiltonian, \( g_{k\lambda} = -i\varphi/2\pi \hbar \omega_{k\lambda} / V \) is the atom-photon coupling constant for the \( k\lambda \) mode, \( \varphi \) is the dipole matrix element, \( \pi^j_{\lambda} \) is the raising operator for atom \( j \), and \( \hat{a}_{k\lambda} \) is the photon lowering operator for the \( k\lambda \) mode. Switching into the Dirac picture, if we multiply the Schrödinger equation by \( |+\rangle \), we obtain an
Performing the Markovian approximation, evaluating the angular integrals, and then keeping only the first-order terms when invoking the limits \( \xi \sim 1 \) and \( k \sigma \gg 1 \) gives

\[
\dot{\beta}_+(t) = -\frac{\Gamma}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi b_0}{8} \right) \beta_+(t),
\]

where \( \Gamma = \frac{4a^\ddagger|\rho|^2}{3\hbar\omega} \) is the single-atom decay rate and \( b_0 = \frac{3(N-1)}{k^2\sigma^2} \) is the cooperativity parameter of the gas.

## IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

### A. Line broadening

Our numerical calculations show that, for spherically symmetric clouds, our analytic prediction of Sec. III is accurate. This section shows that a spherically symmetric cloud \((\xi = 1)\) with a density such that \( \rho k^3 \ll 1 \) emits light with a linewidth that is approximately \((1 + \xi b_0)^2\), where \( \xi \) is a numerically determined constant. Using numbers for the \( ^{3}\P_1 \rightarrow ^{3}\S_1 \) transition of \(^{88}\Sr\), we calculate the value of \( \xi \) for two cases: first, for the transition from the \(^{3}\P_1\) state without a magnetic field, and second for the transition from the \(\hat{z}\) polarized state, where \(^{3}\P_1\) has been Zeeman split. For the Zeeman-split case, we choose the \([J_e = 0, M_e = 0]) to \([J_e = 1, M_e = 0]\) transition with the driving laser polarized in the \(\hat{z}\) direction. This choice gives maximum laser coupling between the states and gives a large effect from the dipole-dipole interaction. We show that the fractional change in linewidth, \((\Gamma' - \Gamma)/\Gamma\), is \(\xi b_0\) by using up to \(3 \times 10^3\) atoms for the system that is degenerate in \(M_J\) and up to \(2 \times 10^6\) atoms for the system with Zeeman splitting.

For atoms experiencing no magnetic field, it is determined that \( \xi \approx 0.126 \) and, for the Zeeman-split case, \( \xi \approx 0.127 \). This is in good agreement with the result of \( \xi = 1/8 \) derived in Sec. III when using our extension of the single-photon model. The fact that the numerical calculations with and without Zeeman splitting give essentially the same answer, even though one includes four states and the other only two states, is due to the strong forward dependence of the coherent interactions. Since a laser polarized in the \(\hat{z}\) direction will only illuminate the polarization state corresponding to \(\hat{z}\), and scattered radiation in the forward direction has the same polarization as the laser, coherent radiation will mainly interact with states that are \(\hat{z}\) polarized. Therefore, the states that coherently contribute to the line broadening of the cloud will be the same for both systems.

For illustrative purposes, we rewrite our equation for a system’s fractional change in linewidth as \((\Gamma' - \Gamma)/\Gamma = 12\pi \xi \rho^{2/3} N^{1/3}/k^2 = 24\pi^{3/2} \xi \rho \sigma/k^2\), in the limit \( N' \gg 1\). These equations explicitly show the parametric dependence of \(\Gamma'\). In order to demonstrate this in our calculations, Fig. 2(a) shows the photon-scattering rate \(\gamma\) versus \(N\) at a constant density of \(\rho = 5 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}^{-3}\) (\(\rho/k^3 \sim 6.6 \times 10^{-6}\)). In Fig. 2(b), we show that \((\Gamma' - \Gamma)/(\Gamma \rho^{2/3})\) when plotted against \(N^{1/3}\) gives a straight line, which agrees with our analytic result for values of \(\rho\) between \(10^{15} \text{ m}^{-3}\) and \(5 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}^{-3}\). This plot shows no cutoff for clouds up to \(2 \times 10^6\) atoms.

The power-law \(N^{1/3}\) scaling of the fractional change in linewidth can be understood qualitatively by using the following picture: Since the average spacing of the atoms for the largest densities shown is over 1.8\(\lambda\), large-scale effects only occur if there is constructive interference in the...
FIG. 2. (a) Photon-scattering rate $\gamma$ in arbitrary units versus detuning divided by $\Gamma_1$ ($\delta \equiv \Delta / \Gamma_1$), for a symmetric Gaussian cloud with $\bar{\rho} = 5 \times 10^{17}$ m$^{-3}$. (b) Broadening normalized by $\bar{\rho}^2 / 3$, $\eta \equiv (\Gamma' - \Gamma_1) / (\Gamma_1 \rho^{2/3})$, in units of $10^{-12}$ m$^2$ for densities $10^{15}$ m$^{-3}$ to $5 \times 10^{17}$ m$^{-3}$ compared to the broadening predicted by using the single-photon wave-function model. These densities span a range $\bar{\rho}/k^3 = 1.3 \times 10^{-6}$ to $6.6 \times 10^{-4}$.

The sum over many photon-propagation paths. Here constructive interference occurs because the phase difference between the driving laser at atom $\alpha$ and at atom $\beta$ is exactly the phase difference a photon will gain when traveling from atom $\alpha$ to $\beta$ if

$$\frac{r_{\alpha} - r_{\beta}}{|r_{\alpha} - r_{\beta}|} \cdot \hat{k} \simeq +1.$$ 

On the other hand if,

$$\frac{r_{\alpha} - r_{\beta}}{|r_{\alpha} - r_{\beta}|} \cdot \hat{k} \neq +1,$$

the phases will randomize, resulting in no constructive interference. Just as in the case of coherent forward scattering, where the scattered emission from a cloud adds coherently along $\hat{k}$ [2,3], the atom-atom interactions that contribute to broadening also add coherently along $\hat{k}$. Thus, for a given $\bar{\rho}$, the number of atoms that interact coherently and contribute to the line broadening increases with the size of the cloud.

B. Comparison with Ref. [8]

This equation for $\Gamma'$ may be relevant to the density dependent line broadening observed in Ref. [8]. For densities of $5.0 \times 10^{17}$ m$^{-3}$, Ref. [8] measures the homogeneous linewidth of their sample to be $\simeq 29$ kHz, compared to the measured low-density linewidth $\simeq 14.5$ kHz, making the density-dependent broadening $\simeq 14.5$ kHz. Our equation for line broadening predicts this value to be $\simeq 26.8$ kHz, assuming a single-atom lifetime of $21.3 \mu$s [28]. This calculation also assumes $N = 10^6$ and a spherically symmetric cloud, both of which were not specified in Ref. [8]. Reference [8] does note that, at constant $\bar{\rho}$, clouds containing more atoms have larger homogeneous linewidths, which was explained by noting that the collisional scattering lengths for atom-atom collisions increases as the relative motion between the molecules decreases. Alternatively, the increased broadening with $N$ seen in Ref. [8] could be explained by using the above formula in which the fractional change in linewidth increases proportionally to $N^{1/3}$ for a given average density. The difference between our extrapolated result and Ref. [8] might be due to the simplicity of our model and/or the lack of important information about experimental parameters (for example, the number of atoms in particular measurements). A more accurate calculation for this system will require the effects of atomic collisions and nonstationary atoms as well as knowledge of the shape of the atomic cloud. We address the importance of the cloud shape below.

C. Dependence of lineshape on aspect ratio

Because the dipole-dipole interactions parallel to $\hat{k}$ add constructively, the scattering rate will also depend on the shape of the atomic cloud. A cloud that is highly elongated along $\hat{k}$ will have a larger fraction of its atoms interact constructively, causing the absorption line to be significantly more broadened. We illustrate this in Fig. 3, where we show how the Lorentzian lineshape changes significantly as we morph the cloud from being flattened against $\hat{k}$ to being elongated along $\hat{k}$. In these

FIG. 3. Photon-emission rate $\gamma$ in arbitrary units versus $\delta$ (see Fig. 2) for an axially symmetric Gaussian cloud of $10^4$ atoms at $\bar{\rho} = 5 \times 10^{17}$ m$^{-3}$ for different values of $\xi$. All calculations are for the same intensity laser.
calculations, we parametrize the spatial distribution with the variable $\xi$ defined by Eq. (4), so that $\tilde{\rho}$ is kept constant while the cloud is elongated parallel or perpendicular to $\hat{k}$. Here, the length-to-width ratio is proportional to $\xi^{3/2}$.

Varying the value of $\xi$ produces several effects. First, the linewidth of our scattered emission profile grows as the cloud is elongated along $\hat{k}$ until finally it deviates from a Lorentzian profile. Numerically, we find that $\Gamma^2 = (1 + \xi \xi \rho_0) \Gamma^2$ for $0.0 < \xi \leq 2.0$, which agrees with our analytic result $(1 + \xi \rho_0) \Gamma^2$. For values of $\xi > 2$, the lineshape of the scattered light begins to deviate from a Lorentzian profile. Both of these effects are shown in Fig. 3. The deviation from a Lorentzian at large values of $\xi$ implies that the approximation of one superradiant state and $(N - 1)$ noninteracting subradiant states $[3, 16, 19]$ is not valid in this regime. Also, a fitted Lorentzian profile begins to show a redshift in the peak position that increases with the value of $\xi$. These calculations show that one must consider the shape of the ensemble as a whole when calculating the lineshape of a cold atom cloud. The linear dependence of the linewidth on $\xi$ could be beneficial in experiments where the ability to control homogeneous broadening in a cloud is important.

D. Counterintuitive excitation distribution

Figure 4 shows the average probability that an atom is excited in a cloud of $10^4$ atoms versus the distance along the laser direction for different values of $\xi$ [Fig. 4(a)] and for different detunings [Fig. 4(b)]. For values of $\xi > 5$ and red detunings, the excitation distribution shifts towards the back of the cloud, in contrast to the exponential decay predicted by the Beer–Lambert law. A similar effect has been observed in arrays of several metallic nanospheres $[29]$ and is due to the constructive buildup of electric field along the line of radiators. For clouds stretched parallel to $\hat{k}$, we see that, for red-detuned lasers, the excitation distribution of the sample is altered such that a larger fraction of the atoms are excited, causing an effective redshift of the scattered lineshape.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

All of these phenomena should be observable experimentally. The calculations in Figs. 2–4 focus on the $^{88}\text{Sr} \ ^1S_1 \rightarrow \ ^3P_1$ transition, but the effects shown here are independent of the value of $\Gamma$ since the damping and coupling terms in Eq. (1) both contain $\Gamma$. The predictions described above should be realizable for any azimuthally symmetric cloud of atoms with densities similar to those shown here when the inhomogeneous broadening is negligible. Manipulating cold atomic clouds is common in experiments $[8, 30–33]$, which makes testing the predictions of this paper feasible. For example, by using a magneto-optical trap (MOT), one could create a cold atomic cloud with a Gaussian density distribution equivalent to that in Eq. (4) and change the values of $\sigma_{x,y,z}$ [30]. In this manner, the $\Gamma^2 = (1 + \xi \xi \rho_0) \Gamma^2$ relationship could be measured by driving the cloud with a low-intensity laser and measuring the emitted spectra for various numbers of atoms and cloud geometries. The predicted excitation distribution could also be shown by measuring the intensity of light emitted by different parts of the cloud.

In conclusion, collective effects can be manipulated in a cold gas of atoms while keeping the average density of the atoms constant. When $\tilde{\rho}/k^3 \ll 1$, the fractional change in the linewidth of a uniformly driven cloud of atoms undergoing $J = 0 \rightarrow J = 1$ transitions is $\approx \xi \xi \rho_0$, where $\xi \simeq 1/8$ is a numerically determined constant, $b_0$ is the cooperativity parameter $3(N - 1)/(k\sigma)^2$, and $\xi$ is the shape parameter defined in Eq. (4). This supports the dependence on $b_0$ noted by previous authors by using single-photon wave-function theories $[3, 16]$ as well as provides a more quantitatively accurate broadening rate. Because of the strong directionality of the coherent interactions, the photon-scattering rate and the excitation distribution become strongly dependent on the shape of the cloud with respect to $\hat{k}$. For clouds highly elongated along $\hat{k}$, a counterintuitive reversal of the excitation distribution of the atoms develops, where atoms at the back of the cloud have the largest excitation probability. These calculations show that extremely small interactions can build constructively over an entire ensemble to give a strikingly large effect. The incorporation of new parameters such as collisions, inhomogeneous broadening $[34]$, and the resultant role of subradiant states $[17]$, will surely provide new insights into similar systems in the future.
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