## Relativistic, under-dense outflows

#### John Kirk

Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik Heidelberg, Germany

Purdue, 14th May 2014

## Outline

- Introduction
- Two-fluid simulations
- Analytic model of an electromagnetic precursor

#### Under-dense vs. over-dense

- Can the plasma screen out the electric field?
- Answer depends on the electron density and time available

$$\omega/\omega_{\rm pe} \ll 1$$
 YES – over-dense  
 $\omega/\omega_{\rm pe} > 1$  NO – under-dense

- ... and on the amplitude  $\omega_{\rm pe} = \left(4\pi n_{\rm e}e^2/\langle\gamma\rangle m\right)^{1/2}$
- Over-dense: only MHD modes (magnetosonic, Alfven), phase speeds subluminal
   ⇒ use ideal-MHD, force-free...
- Under-dense: high-frequency, transverse electromagnetic modes possible, with superluminal phase speed
   ⇒ use (at least) two-fluids.

# Under-dense zones in a conical $e^{\pm}$ jet/beam

Three dimensionless jet parameters:

- (Mass-loading)<sup>-1</sup>  $\mu = L/\dot{M}c^2$
- Solution  $\sigma_0$  = Poynting flux/K.E. flux
- A parameter describing the jet composition: e/m
  - Cross-jet potential  $\times e/mc^2$ :  $a_0 = eBr/mc^2$
  - (Dimensionless luminosity/unit solid angle)<sup>1/2</sup>:  $a_0 = (4\pi L/\Omega_s)^{1/2} (e^2/m^2c^5)^{1/2}$

Constraints/Estimates:

**1** 
$$a_0 = 3.4 imes 10^{14} \sqrt{4 \pi L_{46} / \Omega_{
m s}}$$

**2**  $\sigma_0 \leq \mu^{2/3}$  (for a supermagnetosonic jet)

Solution Pair multiplicity  $\kappa_0 = a_0/(4\mu) > 1$ 

# Under-dense zones in a conical jet



## **Two-fluid simulations**

Simplest description that includes superluminal, electromagnetic modes is one with two charged fluids Amano & Kirk ApJ (2013)

- Relativistic, finite temperature electron & positron fluids
- 1D in space, 3D in momentum and EM fields
- Initial conditions:
  - Left half: circularly polarized, cold, static shear,  $\gamma = 40$ ,  $\sigma = 10$ ,  $\lambda \approx \lambda_g/4$
  - Right half: shocked (R-H conditions) unmagnetized plasma

# Time evolution



$$\Gamma = 40$$
  
 $\sigma = 10$   
 $\omega = 1.2\omega_{\rm p}$ 

## Wave helicity



Positive helicity injected wave  $(E^+, B^+)$ . Backwards propagating, negative helicity waves generated. E > B in precursor and downstream ( $v_{wave} = B/E$ ).

# Simulation Results and Implications

- Poynting flux dissipated completely
- A precursor containing strong electromagnetic waves is formed
- A hydrodynamic shock remains
- Particles are not tied to magnetic field lines in the downstream medium

## Radiation-damped precursor

Analytical model of wave deceleration by radiation damping Mochol & Kirk ApJ (2013).

Radiation mechanisms:

- synchro-Compton radiation (but with  $\gamma \sim a$ )
  - $\Omega_{\rm pulsar} \rightarrow eB_{\rm eff}/\gamma mc$
  - Frequency  $\propto \gamma^2 B_{\rm eff} \propto \gamma^3 \propto 1/r^3$
  - Power  $\propto \gamma^2 B_{\rm eff}^2 \propto \gamma^4 \propto 1/r^4$
- enhanced inverse-Compton radiation, in the presence of target photons
  - Frequency  $\propto \gamma^2 v_{\text{target}} \propto 1/r^2$
  - Power  $\propto \gamma^2 U_{\text{target}} \propto 1/r^2$

 $\Rightarrow$  Gamma-ray binaries

## Be star-pulsar binary

X-rays from interacting winds Tavani & Arons (1997)

Be star wind:  $\dot{M} \sim 10^{-8} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}}$  $v_{\mathrm{wind}} \sim 10^3 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ 

Pulsar wind:  $L_{\rm s.d.} \approx 8 \times 10^{35} \, {\rm erg \, s^{-1}}$ 

Momentum balance:

$$\frac{r_{\rm Be}}{r_{\rm p}} = \sqrt{\frac{L_{\rm s.d.}}{\dot{M}v_{\rm wind}c}} \sim 0.7$$



Based on cartoon by O. de Jager

But  $L_{s.d.} \gg L_{Be wind}$ 

#### GeV flare from PSR B1259-63



#### Stationary EM precursor



#### Inverse Compton Synchro-Compton

## Conclusions

- Under-dense flows present in pulsar winds and possibly also in AGN jets
- Their interactions with obstacles differ substantially from those of MHD flows.
- An observable signature is predicted from "thermal" particles in an electromagnetic precursor (gamma-ray binaries)

Future work: particle acceleration properties...