Mechanisms for electron acceleration in reconnection and implications for exploring energetic particle production in macro-scale systems

J. F. Drake University of MarylandM. Swisdak University of MarylandJ. Dahlin GSFC

RHESSI observations

- July 23 γ-ray flare (Holman, *et al.*, 2003)
- Double power-law fit with spectral indices: 1.5 (34-126 keV)
 2.5 (126-300 keV)



The computational challenge: an enormous separation of scales most astrophysical systems

- The exploration of energetic particle production during reconnection requires a kinetic treatment
 - PIC simulations must resolve a range of kinetic scales
- Solar corona characteristic scale lengths (T ~ 100eV, n ~ $10^{10}/cm^3$)
 - Debye length ~ 0.1 cm
 - Electron skin depth ~ 5 cm
 - Ion inertial length $\sim 2.5m$
 - Coronal x-ray emission region $\sim 10^4 km$
- Separation of scales $\sim 10^{10}$
- PIC simulations of flares in the corona are hopeless, even using a PIC code embedded in an MHD model
- Need a new computational model for exploring reconnectiondriven particle acceleration in macro-scale systems

Main Points

- Basic physics of non-relativistic reconnection
 - Heating and particle acceleration are dominated by parallel electric fields and Fermi reflection
 - Fermi reflection dominates the production of energetic particles
 - Not parallel electric fields
 - Particle acceleration is dominated by the dynamics of macro-scale magnetic islands
 - Can dump all kinetic scales
 - The classic picture of dissipation at small spatial scales is invalid
- Minimalist MHD/kinetic model for exploring energetic particles during reconnection in macro-systems
 - Order out all kinetic scales
 - An MHD backbone controls electric and magnetic fields
 - Include guiding center particles on the MHD grid
 - Include the feedback of particles on the MHD dynamics

Energy release during reconnection

- The change in magnetic topology for reconnection takes place in the "diffusion" region
 - A very localized region around the x-line
 - This is not where significant magnetic energy is released



- Energy release primarily takes place downstream of the xline where newly-reconnected field lines relax their tension
- Mechanisms for particle heating and energization can not be localized in the "diffusion region"

Basic mechanisms for particle energy gain during reconnection

• In the guiding center limit

$$\frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} = qv_{\parallel}E_{\parallel} + q\vec{v}_{c} \bullet \vec{E} + \mu \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + q\vec{v}_{B} \bullet \vec{E}$$

- Curvature drift
 - Slingshot term (Fermi reflection) increases the parallel energy



- Grad B drift
 - Betatron acceleration increases perpendicular energy μ conservation

$$v_B = \frac{v_\perp^2}{2\Omega} \vec{b} \times \frac{\vec{\nabla}B}{B} \qquad \qquad \mu = \frac{mv_\perp^2}{2B}$$

Electron heating during reconnection

• Carry out PIC simulations of electron-proton system with a range guide fields

 $d_i = \frac{c}{\omega_{pi}}$

- Focus here on 2D -- 819.2d_i x 409.6d_i
 - Compare all of the heating mechanisms
 - Dahlin et al '14
- Do not see powerlaws in energetic particles
- Strong anisotropy dominant acceleration parallel to the magnetic field



Electron heating mechanisms: weak guide field

- Slingshot term dominates (Fermi reflection)
- Parallel electric field term small a surprise
- Grad B term is an energy sink
 - Electrons entering the exhaust where B is low lose energy because μ is conserved.





Electron heating mechanisms: strong guide field

 $B_g = 1.0B_r$

- Fermi and parallel electric field term dominate
 - Longer current layers where $E_{\parallel} \neq 0$ with a guide field



Acceleration mechanism for highest energy electrons

• Fermi reflection dominates energy gain for highest energy electrons

$$\frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} \sim q v_{\parallel} E_{\parallel} + q \vec{v}_c \bullet \vec{E}$$

- Where $v_c \sim v_{\parallel}^2$
- Recent simulations of pair and relativistic reconnection also see the dominance of Fermi reflection (Guo et al '14, Sironi and Spitkovsky '14)



Electron heating: dependence on the guide field

- Fermi reflection dominates for weak guide field
- E_{\parallel} dominates for strong guide field
 - Consistent with gyro-kinetic ordering



Dahlin et al '16

Production of energetic electrons: versus guide field

- Compare the production of energetic electrons versus the strength of guide field
 - Weak to modest guide field Fermi dominates
 - Large guide field E_{\parallel} dominates
- Virtually no energetic particles produced in strong guide field reconnection
- Parallel electric fields are not the driver of the most energetic electrons



A measure of particle acceleration efficiency

• A measure of the rate of energy release and particle acceleration during reconnection is the parameter

$$\vec{\kappa} \bullet \vec{V}_{ExB} = (\vec{b} \bullet \vec{\nabla} \vec{b}) \bullet \frac{cE \times B}{B^2}$$

- Dominantly positive in a reconnecting system and negative in a dynamo systems
- The dominance of positive values in a reconnecting systems establishes that particle acceleration is a first order Fermi mechanism $PDF of (u_F \cdot \kappa), \Omega_{ci}t = 40$





Spatial scales of energy dissipation in reconnection

• The canonical picture in MHD turbulence is that energy cascades to small spatial scales, where dissipation takes place

- What about during reconnection in collisionless plasma?

• Parallel electric field $\dot{\varepsilon}_{\parallel} = J_{\parallel}E_{\parallel}$

– Linked to the electron skin depth c/ω_{pe}

• Fermi reflection $\dot{\varepsilon}_c = \vec{J}_c \bullet \vec{E}$

- Not linked to any kinetic scale

$$\vec{J}_{c} = -ne\vec{v}_{c} = -ne\frac{v_{\parallel}^{2}}{\Omega}\vec{b} \times (\vec{b} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\vec{b})$$

Correlation scales of electron dissipation

• Calculate the correlation function of electron dissipation from reconnection simulations





Particle acceleration in multi-island reconnection

- Single x-line reconnection can not explain the most energetic particles seen in solar flares
 - Energy gain limited to around 10keV
- Greater energy gain in contracting and merging magnetic islands



Energy gain in a bath of merging islands

- Total area preserved during merger
- Magnetic flux of largest island is preserved
- Merging islands shorten field lines
- Parallel action is conserved $p_{\parallel}L$
 - L goes down during merger so P_{\parallel} goes up
 - Not valid for relativistic reconnection
- The merger of two equal sized islands doubles the parallel energy of particles within the islands

Drake et al '13, Montag et al '17



MeV electrons in a coronal hard x-ray source

- How to get MeV electrons in the corona?
 - A two-step process heating in single x-line reconnection following by island merging
- First step: single x-line reconnection splits released energy between electrons, ions and bulk flow

 $-\beta_e \sim \frac{1}{4}$

- For B ~ 50G, with n ~ 10^9 cm⁻³, obtain T_{hot} ~ 15 keV
- Second step: island mergers
 - Each merger doubles the electron energy field line shortening
 - How many island mergers takes 10keV electrons to 1MeV?

$$15keV \times 2^N = 1MeV \Longrightarrow N = 6$$

- Take typical island of size $W \sim 10^3 km$
- Two island merging time $t_{merge} \sim (W/2)/0.1c_A \sim 1.5s$
- 1MeV electrons in $t_{1MeV} \sim 6t_{merge} = 9s$

Modeling reconnection-driven particle acceleration in macro-scale systems

- Eliminate all kinetic scales
 - Kinetic scales are needed to accurately describe parallel electric fields
 - They don't control the production of the most energetic particles
 - Particle production controlled by the dynamics of macro-islands
- Multi-island reconnection in MHD models produces fast reconnection (Bhattacharjee+ '09, Cassak+ '09, Huang+ '10)



- Test particle modeling in MHD fields (Onofri+ '06, Kowal+ '11, Guidoni+ '16)
 - Energy in energetic particles can run away
 - Need feedback of particles on MHD fields, e.g., firehose condition (Drake+ '13)

A self-consistent MHD/guiding-center kinetic model

- A model with an MHD backbone and with macro-particles evolved in parallel using the guiding center equations
 - All kinetic scales ordered out
 - Energetic component evolved in the MHD fields
 - Energetic particle feedback on the MHD fluid through the pressure driven currents of the energetic component
 - Total energy of the MHD system plus the energetic component is conserved
 - Not appropriate for shock acceleration where particle scattering is essential
- Similar models
 - Park et al '92, gyrokinetic description no Fermi contributions
 - Bai et al '15, full kinetic description for shocks

Basic equations

• MHD momentum equation with MHD pressure P and the energetic particle current \mathbf{J}_{h}

$$m_i n \frac{d}{dt} \vec{v} = \frac{1}{c} \vec{J} \times \vec{B} - \vec{\nabla} P - \frac{1}{c} \vec{J}_h \times \vec{B}$$

- Order out the Hall terms $\vec{E} = -\frac{1}{c}\vec{v} \times \vec{B}$
- Particles guiding center equations

$$\frac{d}{dt}p_{\parallel e} = \frac{c}{B}p_{\parallel e}\vec{b}\times\vec{\kappa}\cdot\vec{E} - \frac{\mu_e}{\gamma_e}\vec{b}\cdot\vec{\nabla}B$$
$$\mu = \frac{p_{\perp e}^2}{2m_eB} = const.$$

Basic Equations (cont.)

• Particle Moments

$$\vec{\vec{P}}_{h} = P_{\parallel h}\vec{b}\vec{b} + P_{\perp h}(\vec{\vec{I}} - \vec{b}\vec{b}) \qquad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\vec{P}}_{h} = \frac{1}{c}\vec{J}_{h} \times \vec{B}$$
$$\vec{J}_{h} = \frac{c}{B}\left(P_{\parallel h}\vec{b} \times \vec{\kappa} + P_{\perp h}\vec{b} \times \vec{\nabla}\ln(B)\right) - c\vec{\nabla} \times (\frac{P_{\perp h}}{B}\vec{b})$$

curvature drift grad B drift magnetization current

• Energy Conservation

$$\frac{d}{dt}W_{MHD} = -\int d\vec{x}\vec{J}_{h} \bullet E = -\frac{d}{dt}W_{h}$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}W_{h} = \int d\vec{x} \left(P_{\parallel h}\vec{V}_{E} \bullet \vec{\kappa} + P_{\perp h}\vec{V}_{E} \bullet \vec{\nabla}\ln B + \frac{P_{\perp h}}{B}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}B\right)$$
$$\vec{V}_{E} = \frac{c}{B^{2}}\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$$

Main Points

- Solar observations suggest that magnetic energy conversion into energetic electrons is extraordinarily efficient
- Fermi reflection the dominant driver of energetic electron production during reconnection
 - E_{\parallel} is not the main driver of the energetic component
 - Fermi reflection is dominated by the dynamics of island formation and merger
- Energetic particle production can be modeled without including the kinetic boundary layers necessary for describing E_{\parallel}
- A new hybrid model with an MHD backbone and but with macroparticles evolved in parallel using guiding center equations is being developed
 - The particles self-consistently feed back on the MHD fluid through their perpendicular currents
 - The total fluid plus energetic particle energy is conserved

Main Points (cont.)

- The particle-in-cell code *p3d* is being modified to advance the new hybrid equations
 - p3d is already set up to evolve the MHD equations
 - The coupling of the MHD equations to the particle stepping algorithm is now undergoing development
- Stay tuned for test results of the new model