

I.C.) Electroweak Theory : $SU_W(2) \times U_Y(1)$

Electroweak theory is based on the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge group with $SU(2)$ gauge fields

$A_\mu^i ; i=1, 2, 3$ since $SU(2)$ has 3 generators

a $U(1)$ gauge field B_μ only 1 generator.

What is "new" is that the gauge theory is "chiral". That means the left & right handed projections of the fermion fields are in different representations of $SU(2)$ and have different weak hypercharge.

Recall chiral (Weyl) projectors

$$\alpha_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_5) = \gamma_{\pm}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_+^2 &= \gamma_+ & \gamma_+ \gamma_- &= 0 = \gamma_- \gamma_+ \\ \gamma_-^2 &= \gamma_- & \gamma_+ + \gamma_- &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Given a Dirac 4-component complex spinor ψ we defined Right handed spinors as

$$\psi_R = \gamma_+ \psi$$

& Left handed

$$\psi_L = \gamma_- \psi$$

For $SU(2)_W$ all right handed fields are $SU(2)$ singlets
 all left handed fields are $SU(2)$ doublets (fundamental rep.)

- i) Recall for $SU(2)$ fundamental rep. is given by Pauli Matrices

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{ab}^i = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{ab}^i \quad a, b = 1, 2 \\ i = 1, 2, 3$$

$$\{ [T^i, T^j] = i \epsilon^{ijk} T^k . \text{ i.e. } f_{ijk} = \epsilon_{ijk}$$

- ii) The adjoint rep. is given by structure constants

$$(T^i)_{jk} = i \epsilon_{jik}$$

$$T^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad T^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[T^i, T^j] = i \epsilon^{ijk} T^k$$

I.C.) Hence all $\psi'_R = \psi_R$ for $SU(2)$ transformations
while

$$\boxed{\psi'_R = \psi_R}$$

for $SU(2)$ transformations

$$\boxed{\psi'_{L_a} = U(\omega)_{ab} \psi_{L_b}}$$

$$\text{where } U(\omega)_{ab} = \left(e^{+ig_2 w_i T^i} \right)_{ab} \\ = \left(e^{\frac{i}{2} g_2 w_i \sigma^i} \right)_{ab}.$$

So infinitesimally

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_{L_a} &= \psi_{L_a} + ig_2 w_i T^i_{ab} \psi_{L_b} \\ &= \psi_{L_a} + ig_2 w^i \left(\frac{\sigma^i}{2} \right)_{ab} \psi_{L_b} \end{aligned}$$

Now $U_y(\theta)$ of weak hypercharge is just a phase symmetry whose value is given by the weak hypercharge of the field — that is a multiple of g_1 — call it y . So for left handed fields we have

$$\psi'_L = U(\theta) \psi_L = e^{ig_1 y_L \theta} \psi_L$$

$$\psi'_R = U(\theta) \psi_R = e^{ig_1 y_R \theta} \psi_R$$

or GLC $\psi'_L = \psi_L + ig_1 y_L \theta \psi_L$

$$\psi'_R = \psi_R + ig_1 y_R \theta \psi_R$$

The quantum numbers y_L, y_R are chosen so that 2 $y_{L,R}$ quantum numbers for $T_3 \& y$ add up to the electric charge Q of the field \rightarrow which is diagonal eigenvalues

$$Q \equiv T^3 + y.$$

(Some conventions are $Q = T^3 + y_L$ this is same as letting $2g_1 \rightarrow g_1$)

So how do the fermions of the SM fit into this scheme : 3 families of fermions
Each generation consists of a $SU(2)$ doublet of left-handed quarks, a $SU(2)$ doublet of left-handed leptons, 2 right-handed quark $SU(2)$ singlets & one-right handed lepton $SU(2)$ singlet.

-95-

1) Electron Family

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{bmatrix}_L, \begin{bmatrix} u \\ d \end{bmatrix}_L, e_R, u_R, d_R$$

2) Muon Family

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nu_\mu \\ \mu \end{bmatrix}_L, \begin{bmatrix} c \\ s \end{bmatrix}_L, \mu_R, c_R, s_R$$

3) Tau Family

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nu_\tau \\ \tau \end{bmatrix}_L, \begin{bmatrix} \pm \\ b \end{bmatrix}_L, \tau_R, t_R, b_R$$

$T^3 \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sigma^3$ So isospin quantum numbers of the doublets are

$+\frac{1}{2}$ for upper field

$-\frac{1}{2}$ for lower field

ex. $T^3 \begin{bmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{bmatrix}_L = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{bmatrix}_L = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \nu_e \\ -\frac{1}{2} e \end{pmatrix}_L$

-96 -

So we can make a table of T^3, g, Q quantum #s

	T^3	g	$Q = T^3 + g$
$l_L = \begin{bmatrix} (\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)_L \\ e_L, \mu_L, \tilde{\tau}_L \end{bmatrix}$	$+\frac{1}{2}$ $-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$ $-\frac{1}{2}$	0 -1
$q_L = \begin{bmatrix} u_L, c_L, t_L \\ d_L, s_L, b_L \end{bmatrix}$	$+\frac{1}{2}$ $-\frac{1}{2}$	$+\frac{1}{6}$ $+\frac{1}{6}$	$+\frac{2}{3}$ $-\frac{1}{3}$
$e_R, \mu_R, \tilde{\tau}_R$	0	-1	-1
u_R, c_R, t_R	0	$+\frac{2}{3}$	$+\frac{2}{3}$
d_R, s_R, b_R	0	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$

(Also the g assignments are fixed from anomaly cancellation)

Note the $SU(3)$ color indices on the quarks have been suppressed each u, d, c, s, t, b has a color index also $u^a, d^a, c^a, s^a, t^a, b^a; a=1, 2, 3$.

In general we will also use the notation

l_m^m for each lepton doublet i if q_m^m for each quark doublet, $m = e, \mu, \tau$ or $1, 2, 3$ family index

-9)-

(note earlier we let $m=1, \dots, 6$ now $m=1, 2, 3$)

and we use e_{mR} , u_{mR} , d_{mR} for right-handed fields where

$$\begin{aligned} e_{1R} &= e_{eR} = e_R \\ e_{2R} &= e_{\mu R} = \mu_R \\ e_{3R} &= e_{\tau R} = \tau_R \end{aligned} \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} u_{1R} = u_{eR} = u_R \\ u_{2R} = u_{\mu R} = c_R \\ u_{3R} = u_{\tau R} = t_R \end{array} \right. \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} d_{1R} = d_{eR} = d_R \\ d_{2R} = d_{\mu R} = s_R \\ d_{3R} = d_{\tau R} = b_R \end{array} \right.$$

So we can write the $SU(2) \times U(1)$

transformations of the fermion fields

$$\boxed{l'_L = U(\omega, \theta) l_L = (e^{ig_2 w^i T^i})(e^{ig_1 (-\frac{1}{2})\Theta}) l_L} \\ = l_L + \frac{i}{2} g_2 w^i \sigma^i l_L - \frac{i}{2} g_1 \Theta l_L$$

(in detail)

$$l'_{La} = l_{La} + \frac{i}{2} g_2 w^i (\sigma^i)_{ab} l_{Lb} - \frac{i}{2} g_1 \Theta l_{La}$$

Likewise

$$\boxed{q'_L = (e^{ig_2 w^i T^i})(e^{ig_1 (\frac{1}{6})\Theta}) q_L} \\ = q_L + \frac{i}{2} g_2 w^i \sigma^i q_L + \frac{i}{6} g_1 \Theta q_L$$

I.C.) Next the $SU(2)$ righthanded singlets

$$e'_R = (e^{ig_1(-1)\theta}) e_R$$

$$= e_R - ig_1 \theta e_R$$

$$u'_R = (e^{ig_1(\frac{2}{3})\theta}) u_R$$

$$= u_R + i\frac{2}{3}g_1 \theta u_R$$

$$d'_R = (e^{ig_1(-\frac{1}{3})\theta}) d_R$$

$$= d_R - i\frac{1}{3}g_1 \theta d_R$$

These transformations apply to each family

Note each flavor of quark, left- or right-handed, still transforms as a $\underline{\mathbf{3}}$ under $SU(3)$

So we can make an $SU(2) \times U(1)$ globally invariant action by recalling

$$\gamma_L = \gamma_- \gamma_1; \quad (\gamma_L)^+ = \gamma^+ \gamma_-^+ = \gamma^+ \gamma_-$$

$$\overline{(\gamma_L)} \equiv \gamma_L^+ \gamma_-^0 = \gamma^+ \gamma_- \gamma_-^0 = \gamma^+ \gamma_-^0 \gamma_+ = \overline{(\gamma)}_R \\ = \overline{\gamma} \gamma_+$$

Further

$$\overline{(\bar{\psi}_L) \gamma^\mu \psi_L} = \bar{\psi} \gamma_+ \gamma^\mu \gamma_- \psi = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi_-$$

But

$$\overline{(\bar{\psi}_R) \gamma^\mu \psi_L} = \bar{\psi} \gamma_- \gamma^\mu \gamma_- \psi = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \cancel{\gamma_+} \gamma_- \psi = 0$$

So kinetic terms are of the form

$$\overline{(\bar{\psi}_L) i \not{D} \psi_L} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{(\bar{\psi}_R) i \not{D} \psi_R}$$

$$\text{So if } \bar{\psi}'_L = U \bar{\psi}_L \text{ then}$$

$$\bar{\psi}'_L^+ = \bar{\psi}_L^+ U^+ = \bar{\psi}_L^+ U^-$$

$$\therefore \overline{(\bar{\psi}'_L)} = \overline{(\bar{\psi}_L)} U^-$$

$$\text{Likewise } \overline{(\bar{\psi}'_R)} = \overline{(\bar{\psi}_R)} U^-$$

Notation Convention:

$\bar{\psi}_L \equiv \overline{(\bar{\psi}_L)}$
$\bar{\psi}_R \equiv \overline{(\bar{\psi}_R)}$
(not $\bar{\psi}_L, \bar{\psi}_R$)

Hence we have globally invariant kinetic terms

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{inv}} = \bar{l}_L i \not{D} l_L + \bar{f}_L i \not{D} f_L + \bar{e}_R i \not{D} e_R + \bar{\nu}_R i \not{D} \nu_R + \bar{d}_R i \not{D} d_R$$

$$\mathcal{L}'_{\text{inv}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{inv}} \quad \text{for } w^i \in \Theta \text{ space-time indep.}$$

In order to make a globally invariant action locally invariant we replace $\partial_\mu A^i$ with $D_\mu A^i$ — covariant derivatives! Introducing the covariant derivatives

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ig_2 T^i A_\mu^i - ig_1 y B_\mu$$

so that

$$D_\mu l_L = (\partial_\mu - \frac{ig_2}{2} \vec{T} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu + \frac{ig_1}{2} B_\mu) l_L$$

$$D_\mu \phi_L = (\partial_\mu - \frac{ig_2}{2} \vec{T} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu - \frac{ig_1}{6} B_\mu) \phi_L$$

$$D_\mu e_R = (\partial_\mu + ig_1 B_\mu) e_R$$

$$D_\mu \alpha_R = (\partial_\mu - \frac{2i}{3} g_1 B_\mu) \alpha_R$$

$$D_\mu d_R = (\partial_\mu + \frac{i}{3} g_1 B_\mu) d_R$$

Recall that if $U(\omega) = e^{ig_2 T^i \omega^i}$; $U(\theta) = e^{+ig_1 y \theta}$
 $|U(\omega, \theta)| = |U(\omega)| |U(\theta)|$ then

$$T^i A_\mu^i = U(\omega) T^i A_\mu^i U^{-1}(\omega) - \frac{i}{g_2} (\partial_\mu U(\omega)) U^{-1}(\omega)$$

or $A_\mu \equiv i T^i A_\mu^i \Rightarrow$

$$A_\mu^i = U(\omega) A_\mu U^{-1}(\omega) + \frac{1}{g_2} (\partial_\mu U(\omega)) U^{-1}(\omega)$$

\rightarrow ω

So infinitesimally

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu^i &= A_\mu^i + \partial_\mu \omega^i + g_2 \epsilon_{ijk} A_\mu^j \omega^k \\ &= A_\mu^i + D_\mu^{ij} \omega^j \quad \text{with } D_\mu^{ij} = \delta_{\mu}^{ij} - i g_2 T_{ij}^k A_\mu^k \end{aligned}$$

$$(T^k)_{ij} = i \epsilon_{ijk}$$

Likewise

$$\begin{aligned} g B'_\mu &= U(\omega) B_\mu U^{-1}(\omega) - \frac{i}{g_1} [\partial_\mu, U(\omega)] U^{-1}(\omega) \\ &= g B_\mu + g \partial_\mu \Theta \quad \text{with } \Theta \text{ finite or} \\ &\quad \text{infinitesimal} \\ \text{i.e. } B'_\mu &= B_\mu + \partial_\mu \Theta \end{aligned}$$

So we have that if $\chi'_L = U(\omega, \Theta) \chi_L$ then

$$(D_\mu \chi'_L)' = D'_\mu \chi'_L = U(\omega, \Theta) (D_\mu \chi_L)$$

Likewise for all other terms

$$g'_L = U(\omega, \Theta) g_L \quad (D_\mu g'_L)' = U(\omega, \Theta) (D_\mu g_L)$$

and since $\tilde{g}'_L = \tilde{T}_L U(\omega, \Theta) \tilde{g}_L$

we have the invariant kinetic term

$$(\tilde{g}'_L : \nabla g'_L)' = \tilde{T}_L : \nabla \tilde{g}_L , \text{ etc.}$$

So $\mathcal{L}_F = \bar{l}_L i\cancel{D}l_L + \bar{f}_L i\cancel{D}g_L + \bar{e}_R i\cancel{D}e_R$
 $+ \bar{\nu}_R i\cancel{D}\nu_R + \bar{d}_R i\cancel{D}d_R$

Recall that we are implicitly summing over each family e, μ, τ .

$\mathcal{L}'_F = \mathcal{L}_F$ $SU(2) \times U(1)$ invariant

Note we cannot make $SU(2) \times U(1)$ invariant fermion mass terms!

$$\bar{q} q = \bar{q} (\gamma_+ + \gamma_-) q = \bar{q} \gamma_+ q + \bar{q} \gamma_- q$$

$$= \bar{q} \gamma_+^2 q + \bar{q} \gamma_-^2 q$$

$$= q^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma_+ q_R + \bar{q}^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma_- q_L$$

$$= q^\dagger \gamma_- \gamma^0 q_R + \bar{q}^\dagger \gamma_+ \gamma^0 q_L$$

$$= q_L^\dagger \gamma^0 q_R + \bar{q}_R^\dagger \gamma^0 q_L$$

$$= \overline{q_L} q_R + \overline{q_R} q_L$$

But for the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ all left handed fields are $SU(2)$ doublets while all RH fields are

$SU(2)$ singlet — we cannot make an $SU(2)$ invariant mass term!

Introduce Higgs multiplet to spontaneously break $SU(2) \times U(1) \rightarrow U_{em}(1)$

Higgs Field: complex scalar field ϕ in the doublet representation of $SU(2)$ (i.e. 4 hermitian fields)

$$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \phi^0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \phi^+ \text{ has } Q = +1 \\ \phi^0 \text{ has } Q = 0 \end{array}$$

Since $Q = T^3 + y \Rightarrow \phi$ has $y = +\frac{1}{2}$.

So again for $SU(2) \times U(1)$ transformations we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi' &= U(\omega, \theta) \phi \\ &= (e^{i g_2 \omega^i T^i}) (e^{i g_1 (\frac{1}{2}) \theta}) \phi \\ &= \phi + \frac{i g_2}{2} \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\tau} \phi + \frac{i g_1}{2} \theta \phi \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow covariant derivative of ϕ

$$D_\mu \phi = \partial_\mu \phi - \frac{i g_2}{2} A_\mu^i \tau^i \phi - \frac{i g_1}{2} B_\mu \phi$$

$$D_\mu \phi = [g_\mu - i \frac{g^2}{2} \vec{A}_\mu \cdot \vec{\tau} - \frac{i g}{2} B_\mu] \phi$$

-104-

and as usual for $\phi' = U(\omega, \theta) \phi$ so does

$$(D_\mu \phi)' = U(\omega, \theta) (D_\mu \phi)$$

Now we must list possible invariant terms: first $SU(2) \times U(1)$ invariant Yukawa interaction terms:

$$\bar{q}_L \phi d_R, \bar{l}_L \phi e_R$$

Note

$\bar{q}_L \phi$ is $SU(2)$ invariant

but q_L has $y = +\frac{1}{6}$ $\Rightarrow \bar{q}_L$ has $y = -\frac{1}{6}$

and ϕ has $y = +\frac{1}{2}$ so

$$\bar{q}_L \phi \text{ has } y = +\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6} = +\frac{1}{3}$$

Since d_R has $y = -\frac{1}{3}$

$\bar{q}_L \phi d_R$ is also $U(1)$ invariant.

Similarly for $\bar{l}_L \phi e_R$.

Now we must sum these invariants over the families with inter-family couplings

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{mn}^d \bar{\phi}_{mL} \phi_{nR} + h.c. \\ &= \Gamma_{mn}^d \bar{\phi}_{mL} \phi_{nR} + \Gamma_{mn}^{d*} \bar{d}_{nR} \phi^+ g_0 \bar{\phi}_{mL} \\ &= \Gamma_{mn}^d \bar{\phi}_{mL} \phi_{nR} + \Gamma_{mn}^{d*} \bar{d}_{nR} \phi^+ g_m \bar{\phi}_{mL} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{mn}^e \bar{l}_{mL} \phi_{nR} + h.c. \\ &= \Gamma_{mn}^e \bar{l}_{mL} \phi_{nR} + \Gamma_{mn}^{e*} \bar{e}_{nR} \phi^+ l_{mL}. \end{aligned}$$

Note looks like no u quark mass! since

$$\bar{\phi}_L \phi_{nR} \text{ lies } y = -\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} = 1 \quad (\& Q = +1 \neq 0)$$

But we can also couple to ϕ^+ the hermitian conjugate of ϕ .

$$\begin{aligned} \phi' &= U(\omega, \theta) \phi \Rightarrow \phi^+ = \phi^+ U^{-1}(\omega, \theta) \\ \Rightarrow \phi'^+ &= \phi^+ - \frac{i g_2}{2} \phi^+ \vec{w} \cdot \vec{\sigma} - \frac{i g_1}{2} \theta \phi^+ \end{aligned}$$

So if ϕ transforms as $(2, +\frac{1}{2})$ under $(SU(2), U(1))$ -106-

then ϕ^+ transforms as $(2^*, -\frac{1}{2})$ under $(SU(2), U(1))$

But for $SU(2)$ $2 \neq 2^*$ are equivalent:

$$\phi^+ = \phi^+ - \frac{i g_2}{2} \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \quad \text{if } \phi \text{ is a 2 of } SU(2)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_a^{*'} &= \phi_a^* - \frac{i g_2}{2} (\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\sigma})_{ba} \phi_b^* \\ &= \phi_a^* - \frac{i g_2}{2} (\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\sigma})_{ab} \phi_b^*\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{But } -\sigma^i \tau = (i\sigma^2)^t \sigma^i (i\tau^2)$$

So

$$\phi^{*'} = \phi^* + \frac{i g_2}{2} (i\sigma^2)^t (\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) (i\tau^2) \phi^*$$

\Rightarrow

$$(i\sigma^2 \phi^*)' = (i\sigma^2 \phi^*) + \frac{i g_2}{2} (\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) (i\tau^2) \phi^*$$

Define $\hat{\phi} = i\sigma^2 \phi^*$

Then $\boxed{\hat{\phi}' = \hat{\phi} + \frac{i g_2}{2} \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \hat{\phi}}$

Thus $\hat{\phi}$ is a 2 of $SU(2)$ just like ϕ

$$\boxed{\hat{\phi} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi^- \\ \phi^+ \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi^+ \\ -\phi^- \end{bmatrix} \text{ at } (2, -\frac{1}{2})}$$

→(0) -

So we have the additional $SU(2) \times U(1)$ invariant Yukawa term

$$\bar{q}_L \phi u_R \quad \text{where}$$

$\bar{q}_L \phi$ is an $SU(2)$ singlet with $y = -\frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{2}{3}$

u_R is a $SU(2)$ singlet with $y = +\frac{2}{3}$

So

$$\Gamma_{mn} \bar{q}_{mL} \phi u_{nR} + h.c. \text{ is an } SU(2) \times U(1) \text{ invariant}$$

So we have the Yukawa interaction Terms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk}} = & \Gamma_{mn}^e \bar{l}_{mL} \phi e_{nR} + \Gamma_{mn}^d \bar{q}_{mL} \phi d_{nR} \\ & + \Gamma_{mn}^u \bar{q}_{mL} \phi u_{nR} + h.c. \end{aligned}$$

We will show later not all $\Gamma_{mn}^{e,d,u}$ are observable - we will be able to redefine some couplings away.

-108-

Now we also have the Higgs' self-interaction that are invariant given by the potential

$$V = V(\phi^+ \phi) = m^2 \phi^+ \phi + \lambda (\phi^+ \phi)^2$$

and the globally invariant KE $\partial_\mu \phi^+ \partial^\mu \phi$

which becomes $(D_\mu \phi)^+ (D^\mu \phi)$ to give the gauge interactions of the Higgs field

So the locally $SU(2) \times U(1)$ Higgs Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi = (D_\mu \phi)^+ (D^\mu \phi) - V(\phi^+ \phi)$$

with $V(\phi^+ \phi) = m^2 \phi^+ \phi + \lambda (\phi^+ \phi)^2$

$$D_\mu \phi = (\partial_\mu - \frac{i g_2}{2} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu - \frac{i g_1}{2} B_\mu) \phi$$

$$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \phi^0 \end{bmatrix} \quad ((\phi^+)^+ = \phi^-)$$

Finally we have the gauge fields' kinetic energy

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ig_2 \vec{T} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu$$

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] = -ig_2 T^i F_{\mu\nu}^i$$

$$\Rightarrow F_{\mu\nu}^i = \partial_\mu A_\nu^i - \partial_\nu A_\mu^i + g_2 \epsilon_{ijk} A_\mu^j A_\nu^k$$

or $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - g \sum [A_\mu, A_\nu]$

$$(i \vec{T}^i F_{\mu\nu}^i)$$

with the transformation

$$F'_{\mu\nu} = U(\omega) F_{\mu\nu} U^{-1}(\omega) \quad \text{that is infinitesimally}$$

$$F'^i_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu}^i + ig_2 \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{T}_{ij} F_{\mu\nu}^j \quad \text{adjoint rep}$$

Hence

$$(F_{\mu\nu}^i F'^{\mu\nu})' = (F_{\mu\nu}^i F_{\mu\nu}^j) \text{ is second order invariant}$$

Similarly

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ig_1 y \vec{B}_\mu$$

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] = -ig_1 y (\partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu) \equiv -ig_1 y B_{\mu\nu}$$

$$B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu \quad \text{the Abelian field strength tensor.}$$

-110-

$$B'_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu B'_\nu - \partial_\nu B'_\mu$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \partial_\mu (B_\nu + \partial_\nu \Theta) - \partial_\nu (B_\mu + \partial_\mu \Theta) \\ &= B_{\mu\nu} + \cancel{\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Theta} - \cancel{\partial_\nu \partial_\mu \Theta} \end{aligned}$$

$$B'_{\mu\nu} = B_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\Rightarrow (B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu})' = (B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}) \text{ is } SU(2 \times 4) \text{ invariant}$$

Hence we have the Yang-Mills action

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} S_{YM} &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^i F^{i\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}] - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}}$$