

The  $H'$  lowers the energy levels for any sign & further the larger  $n$ , the greater the energy shift:

$$E_n - E_{n-1} = \hbar\omega \left[ 1 - \frac{15}{2} \lambda^2 n \right].$$

## 6. 2. Degenerate Perturbation Theory

Suppose now that  $E_n^0$  is degenerate;  $g_n^0 > 1$ .  
Then

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 |2_{n,1}^{(0)}\rangle &= E_n^{(0)} |2_{n,1}^{(0)}\rangle \\ &= E_n^0 |2_{n,1}^{(0)}\rangle \end{aligned}$$

is not sufficient to determine  $|2_{n,1}^{(0)}\rangle$ .  
Indeed,  $|2_{n,1}^{(0)}\rangle$  can be any linear combination of the  $|4_{n,l}\rangle$ ,  $l=1, \dots, g_n^0$ .  
Since

$H_0 |4_{n,l}\rangle = E_n^0 |4_{n,l}\rangle$ ; such a linear combination will have energy  $E_n^0$ . Thus

$$|2_{n,1}^{(0)}\rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{g_n^0} 2_{n,l} |4_{n,l}\rangle$$

and

$$H_0 |2_{n,1}^{(0)}\rangle = E_n^0 |2_{n,1}^{(0)}\rangle.$$

When  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $H'$  will act, in general, to remove the degeneracy, part or all, of the  $E_n^0$  eigenvalues. We can then use the first order Schrödinger equation to find  $|2\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle$ . Recall this equation

$$(H_0 - E_n^{(0)}) |2\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle + (\hat{H}' - E_n^{(0)}) |2\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle = 0,$$

with  $E_n^{(0)} = E_n^0$  this is

$$(H_0 - E_n^0) |2\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle + (\hat{H}' - E_n^{(0)}) |2\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle = 0.$$

Again, projecting this onto the  $|\psi_{n,\ell}\rangle$  state gives

$$\underbrace{\langle \psi_{n,\ell} | (H_0 - E_n^0) |2\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle}_{=0} + \underbrace{\langle \psi_{n,\ell} | \hat{H}' - E_n^{(0)} |2\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle}_{=0} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \psi_{n,\ell} | \hat{H}' |2\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle = E_n^{(0)} \langle \psi_{n,\ell} | 2\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle$$

Substituting the expansion for  $|2\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle$

$$|2\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle = \sum_{\ell=1}^g 2\psi_{n,\ell} |\psi_{n,\ell}\rangle$$

with

$$2\psi_{n,\ell} = \langle \psi_{n,\ell} | 2\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle$$

we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{g_n^o} \langle \psi_{n,e} | \hat{H}' | \psi_{n,k} \rangle 2_{nk} = \epsilon_n^{(1)} 2_{ne}$$

Defining the matrix elements of  $\hat{H}'$  in this  $g_n^o$ -dimensional subspace as

$$\hat{H}'_{lk} = \langle \psi_{n,e} | \hat{H}' | \psi_{n,k} \rangle$$

we see this becomes of matrix eigenvalue equation

$$\boxed{\sum_{k=1}^{g_n^o} \hat{H}'_{lk} 2_{nk} = \epsilon_n^{(1)} 2_{ne}}$$

$(\hat{H}')_{lk}$  is a  $g_n^o \times g_n^o$  matrix and the  $(2_n)_e$  are components of a  $g_n^o$ -dimensional column vector, the eigenvectors of the matrix  $(\hat{H}')_{lk}$  with eigenvalues  $\epsilon_n^{(1)}$ .

The eigenvalues  $\epsilon_n^{(1)}$  of  $(\hat{H}')_{lk}$  will give the  $O(\lambda)$  energy shifts

for the  $n^{\text{th}}$  level. Hence we see

that to determine the zero<sup>th</sup> order eigenstates  $|4_n^{(0)}\rangle$ , i.e.  $|4_{n,l}\rangle$  and the first order energy shifts, i.e.  $E_n^{(1)}$ ,

we must solve the  $g_n \times g_n$ -matrix eigenvalue problem. Then we need only to diagonalize the  $H'$  operator on the degenerate subspace spanned by the  $E_n$  degenerate eigenvectors  $|4_{n,l}\rangle$  in this order. They do not have to worry about mixing to the whole Hilbert space, only this degenerate subspace.

From a practical point of view we can most easily diagonalize  $H'$  restricted to this subspace by choosing a convenient set of basis vectors of  $H_0$ ;  $\{|4_{n,l}\rangle\}$ . For instance if there is a Hermitian operator  $A$  that commutes with  $H_0$  and  $H'$

$$[H_0, A] = 0 = [H', A],$$

then the  $|4_{n,k}\rangle$  should also be

- Chosen to be its eigenstates (since  $[A, H_0] = 0$   
this is allowed)

$$A |\psi_{n,l}\rangle = \alpha_l |\psi_{n,l}\rangle.$$

Since  $[A, H'] = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\langle \psi_{n,l} | [A, \hat{H}'] | \psi_{n,k} \rangle = 0$$

$$= (\alpha_l - \alpha_k) \langle \psi_{n,l} | \hat{H}' | \psi_{n,k} \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow (\hat{H}')_{lk} = \langle \psi_{n,l} | \hat{H}' | \psi_{n,k} \rangle = 0$$

unless  $l=k$ , that  
is  $(\hat{H}')_{lk}$  is automatically diagonalized  
in this basis. Of course, often  
the case is not this, but that  
 $[A, H'] \neq 0$  and we must work to  
diagonalize  $(\hat{H}')_{lk}$ .

If the energy shift eigenvalues  
 $\epsilon_n^{(1)}$  are all different, the  
degenerate energy level  $E_n^0$  has been  
completely split by  $H'$  at first order.

The  $|24_n^{(0)}\rangle$ , i.e.  $2n\ell$ , are completely determined (up to normalization). We can now use the non-degenerate perturbation theory techniques to calculate all the higher order effects.

On the other hand, it could be that not all of the  $g_n^o$ -fold degeneracy of  $E_n^{(0)}$  is removed. It could be that some or even all of the  $g_n^o$  eigenvalues  $E_n^{(1)}$  are the same. We can label these eigenvalues  $E_n^{(1)}$  by  $E_n^{(1)} j$  with  $j=1, \dots, f_n^{(1)}$

where  $f_n^{(1)} \leq g_n^o$ . If  $f_n^{(1)} = g_n^o$  we

have  $g_n^o$  distinct energy shifts — the degeneracy is completely removed. If  $f_n^{(1)} < g_n^o$ , the degeneracy, to first order, is only partially removed (if  $f_n^{(1)} = 1$ , the degeneracy is not removed at all).

So again we now choose a particular  $E_n^{(1)}$ . If  $E_n^{(1)}$  is non-degenerate the corresponding

eigenvector  $\Psi_n (12^{(0)})$  is uniquely determined and we proceed to higher orders via non-degenerate perturbation theory.

If  $E_n^{(0)}$  is  $g_n$ -fold degenerate we now must consider diagonalizing the  $g_n$ -dimensional problem. That is  $|12^{(0)}\rangle$  now belongs to a  $g_n$ -dimensional subspace of the original  $g_n$ -dimensional subspace and we must proceed to 2<sup>nd</sup> order perturbation theory to determine the  $|12^{(0)}\rangle$  in terms of the  $|\Psi_{n,k}\rangle$ .

To be specific, let's consider the case where  $f_{n,k} = 1$ , that is  $H$  in first order does not remove any of the  $g_n$ -fold degeneracy of  $E_n^{(0)}$ . Thus

$(\hat{H})_{lk}$  has only one eigenvalue  $E_n^{(0)}$  and it occurs  $g_n$  times. As is often the case and to simplify the ensuing notation we can realize this by assuming that  $H$  vanishes between the  $g_n - E_n^{(0)}$  eigenstates.

Let

$$\langle \Psi_{n,k} | H' | \Psi_{n,l} \rangle = 0.$$

So the eigenvalue  $E_n^{(1)} = 0$  and the  $q_{nk}$  are undetermined in 1st order.

$\lambda^2$  This being the case consider the Schrödinger equation

$$(H_0 - E_n^0) |2\psi_n^{(2)}\rangle + (\hat{H}' - \epsilon_n^{(1)}) |2\psi_n^{(1)}\rangle = \epsilon_n^{(2)} |2\psi_n^{(0)}\rangle$$

As usual we project this onto the  $g_n^0$ -dimensional subspace spanned by  $\{|q_{n,k}\rangle \mid k=1, \dots, g_n^0\}$

$$\underbrace{\langle q_{n,k} | (H_0 - E_n^0) |2\psi_n^{(2)}\rangle}_{=0} + \langle q_{n,k} | \hat{H}' |2\psi_n^{(1)}\rangle = \epsilon_n^{(2)} \langle q_{n,k} | 2\psi_n^{(0)} \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\langle q_{n,k} | \hat{H}' |2\psi_n^{(1)}\rangle = \epsilon_n^{(2)} \langle q_{n,k} | 2\psi_n^{(0)} \rangle}$$

Inserting a complete set of states on the LHS

$$1 = \sum_{l=1}^{g_n^0} \langle q_{n,l} \rangle \langle q_{n,l} | + \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{l=1}^{g_m^0} \langle q_{m,l} \rangle \langle q_{m,l} |$$

we find

$$\sum_{l=1}^{q_n} \langle \varphi_{n,k} | \hat{H}' | \varphi_{n,e} \rangle \langle \varphi_{n,e} | \psi_n^{(1)} \rangle$$

$$+ \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{l=1}^{q_m} \langle \varphi_{n,k} | \hat{H}' | \varphi_{m,e} \rangle \langle \varphi_{m,e} | \psi_n^{(1)} \rangle$$

$$= \epsilon_n^{(2)} \langle \varphi_{n,k} | \psi_n^{(1)} \rangle$$

but  $\langle \varphi_{n,k} | \hat{H}' | \varphi_{n,e} \rangle = 0$  by assumption,

thus

$$\boxed{\sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{l=1}^{q_m} \langle \varphi_{n,k} | \hat{H}' | \varphi_{m,e} \rangle \langle \varphi_{m,e} | \psi_n^{(1)} \rangle = \epsilon_n^{(2)} \langle \varphi_{n,k} | \psi_n^{(1)} \rangle}$$

To continue further we need the components of  $|\psi_n^{(1)}\rangle$  in the space orthogonal to the  $q_n$ -dimensional subspace. As usual this can be determined from the  $\lambda'$  equation projected onto this complementary space spanned by the  $\{ |\varphi_{m,e}\rangle, m \neq n \}$ . The  $\lambda'$  Schrödinger equation is

$$(H_0 - E_n^0) |\psi_n^{(1)}\rangle + (\hat{H}' - \epsilon_n^{(2)}) |\psi_n^{(1)}\rangle = 0$$

Projecting onto  $|\psi_{m,e}\rangle$

$$\underbrace{\langle \psi_{m,e} | H_0 - E_n^0 | 2^{(1)}_n \rangle}_{= \langle \psi_{m,e} | E_m^0} + \langle \psi_{m,e} | \hat{H}' | 2^{(0)}_n \rangle = 0$$

Since  $E_m^0 \neq E_n^0$  we find

$$\boxed{\langle \psi_{m,e} | 2^{(1)}_n \rangle = \frac{\langle \psi_{m,e} | \hat{H}' | 2^{(0)}_n \rangle}{E_n^0 - E_m^0}}$$

Thus we obtain an eigenvalue equation

$$\sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{l=1}^{q_n^0} \frac{\langle \psi_{n,k} | \hat{H}' | \psi_{m,e} \rangle \langle \psi_{m,e} | \hat{H}' | 2^{(0)}_n \rangle}{E_n^0 - E_m^0} = E_n^{(2)} \langle \psi_{n,k} | 2^{(0)}_n \rangle$$

Recalling that  $|2^{(0)}_n\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{q_n^0} 2\epsilon_{nj} |\psi_{nj}\rangle$   
 we finally secure the matrix eigenvalue equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^{g_n^0} \left\{ \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{k=1}^{g_m^0} \frac{\langle \psi_{n,k} | \hat{H}' | \psi_{m,e} \rangle \langle \psi_{m,e} | \hat{H}' | \psi_{n,j} \rangle}{E_n^0 - E_m^0} \right\} 2_{nj}$$

$\equiv (\hat{A}'_{(2)})_{kj} = \epsilon_n^{(2)} 2_{nk}$

Thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^{g_n^0} (\hat{A}'_{(2)})_{kj} 2_{nj} = \epsilon_n^{(2)} 2_{nk}$$

we obtain a  $g_n^0 \times g_n^0$  matrix eigenvalue equation for the second order energy shifts  $\epsilon_n^{(2)}$  and zeroth order eigenstate  $2_{nk}$ . In second order we see that  $(\hat{A}'_{(2)})_{kj}$  now has init information about the matrix elements of  $\hat{H}'$  between the complementary subspaces  $m \neq n$ .

In first order, we only had  $\hat{H}'$  connecting states in the  $q^n$ -dimensional degenerate subspace. Clearly, this gets complicated rapidly.

As previously, the eigenvalues  $\epsilon_n^{(r)}$  are the second order energy shifts. If there are  $q^n$  different eigenvalues, then the  $\epsilon_n^0$  degeneracy is completely broken in 2nd order. If some of the  $\epsilon_n^{(r)}$  eigenvalues remain degenerate, we must proceed to third order perturbation theory to try to remove it. For the non-degenerate  $\epsilon_n^{(r)}$  eigenvalues, we can proceed to higher order calculations using the non-degenerate perturbation theory techniques.

All degenerate energies or close to them (i.e. where  $(\epsilon_n - \epsilon_m)^{-1}$  gets very large) the Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation scheme is quite complicated. As well, higher order expressions for the energy levels and eigenstates become cumbersome.  $\epsilon_1 \neq \epsilon_2$  in which case

- in free Hamiltonian  $H_0 = (\begin{matrix} \epsilon_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_2 \end{matrix})$ . This will allow us to adjust  $\epsilon_{1,2}$  to make the problem non-degenerate ( $\epsilon_1 \neq \epsilon_2$ ) or degenerate ( $\epsilon_1 \rightarrow \epsilon_2 = \epsilon$ )

So choose as the  $H'$  the

perturbing Hamiltonian  $H' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega \\ \omega^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}$   
 so that  $H = H_0 + H'$ .

This problem can be solved exactly.  
 The energy eigenvalues are

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} \epsilon_1 - E & \omega \\ \omega^* & \epsilon_2 - E \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E^2 - (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)E + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - \omega^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow$$

$$E = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)^2 + \omega^2}$$

Thus the exact energy eigenvalues are

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)^2 + \omega^2}$$

$$E_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)^2 + \omega^2}$$

The unperturbed Hamiltonian  $H_0$  has energy eigenvalues

$$E_1^0 = \epsilon_1$$

$$E_2^0 = \epsilon_2$$

The unperturbed eigenstates are

$$|\Psi_1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; |\Psi_2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $\langle \Psi_1 | H' | \Psi_2 \rangle = 0$ , we must use second order R-S perturbational theory to find, for instance,

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{R-S} &= \epsilon_1 + \cancel{\langle \Psi_1 | H' | \Psi_1 \rangle}^0 \\ &\quad + \frac{|\langle \Psi_1 | H' | \Psi_2 \rangle|^2}{E_1^0 - E_2^0} \\ &= \epsilon_1 + \frac{|\langle \Psi_1 | H' | \Psi_2 \rangle|^2}{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2} \end{aligned}$$

$$E_1^{R-S} = \epsilon_1 + \frac{|V|^2}{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2} \quad \left( \text{likewise we find } E_2^{R-S} = \epsilon_2 - \frac{|V|^2}{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2} \right)$$

clearly for almost degenerate unperturbed energy levels or degenerate ones

$\epsilon_1 \approx \epsilon_2$  this becomes non-sense, we must use the complicated degenerate R-SPT for  $\frac{|V|}{|\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2|} \ll 1$ , this is correct to

second order for  $E_1$  as seen by expanding the exact energy eigenvalue.

Consider the degenerate R-S perturbative theory. So  $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = \epsilon$  and  $|\psi_1\rangle$  both have energy  $\epsilon$ ,  $H_0|\psi_1\rangle = \epsilon|\psi_1\rangle^2$

Thus the eigenstates of the system  $|4''\rangle$  are given by

$$|4''\rangle = c_1 |\psi_1\rangle + c_2 |\psi_2\rangle$$

and we must use the 1st order R-S equation to find  $c_1, c_2$  and  $\epsilon''$ . This is simply the equations on page ...

$$\sum_{k=1}^2 H'_{ek} c_k = (\epsilon - \epsilon^0) c_2$$

$$\text{with } \epsilon^0 = \epsilon \text{ and } H'_{ek} = \langle \psi_e | H' | \psi_k \rangle$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & N \\ N^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{So } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & N \\ N^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = (\epsilon - \epsilon) \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} N c_2 = (\epsilon - \epsilon) c_1 \\ N^* c_1 = (\epsilon - \epsilon) c_2 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \boxed{\epsilon - \epsilon = \pm |N|}$$

Thus the interacting energy levels are

$$\boxed{E_1 = \epsilon + |V|}$$
$$\boxed{E_2 = \epsilon - |V|}$$

The exact results.

The eigenstates corresponding to these energies are found from the above equation

$$N C_2 = (E - \epsilon) C_1$$

Then, with  $|2_1''\rangle$  corresponding to  $E_1$

we have

$$C_{12} = \frac{E_1 - \epsilon}{N} C_{11} = \frac{|V|}{N} C_{11}$$

$$C_{22} = \frac{E_2 - \epsilon}{N} C_{21} = -\frac{|V|}{N} C_{21} .$$

Normalizing  $\langle 2_1'' | 2_1'' \rangle = 1 \Rightarrow$

$$|C_{11}|^2 + |C_{12}|^2 = 1 = |C_{21}|^2 + |C_{22}|^2$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\boxed{C_{11} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = C_{21}}$$

So

$$|\psi_1''\rangle = c_{11}|\psi_1\rangle + c_{12}|\psi_2\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i\omega \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{corresponding}$$

to energy  $E_1$  and

$$|\psi_2''\rangle = c_{21}|\psi_1\rangle + c_{22}|\psi_2\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i\omega \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{corresponding}$$

to energy  $E_2$ .

Note: Since these energies are exact,  $|\psi_1''\rangle$  are exact

$$H|\psi_1''\rangle = E_1 |\psi_1''\rangle .$$

And clearly  $\langle \psi_1'' | \psi_2'' \rangle = 0$  as it must.

