# Heavy quark diffusion and hadronization in dense matter

M. He\*, R. J. Fries, R. Rapp



Cyclotron Institute & Physics Department Texas A&M University College Station, USA



\*speaker

Purdue University, Jan.4,2011

### **Outline**

Introduction: heavy quark as a probe for the hot medium

#### Langevin simulation of heavy quark diffusion

- ----- Fokker-Planck-Langevin formalism vs equilibrium
- ----- heavy quark thermal relaxation rate
- ----- description of the medium: AZHYDRO
- ----- numerical results for charm/bottom quark

#### Hadronization scheme

- ----- hadronization criterion
- ----- Resonance Recombination
- ----- coalescence vs fragmentation
- ----- numerical results for D/B mesons

#### Non-photonic decay: electron observables

- ----- B vs D: decay
- ----- numerical results

#### Discussion & Summary: other possible contributions

# **Introduction: heavy quark probe**

#### >> Light partons

- fast thermalization: loss of memory
- constitute the bulk of the medium: sQGP vs hydro

#### >> Probe the medium

- interacting with and modified by the medium
- jet quenching, J/psi suppression ...

#### >> Heavy quark

- too heavy to get fully equilibrated with the surrounding medium
- primordial production: hard process
- number conserved through medium evolution

$$\tau_{Q} = \frac{m_{Q}}{T} \tau_{q} \sim 6 * \tau_{q} > \tau_{QGP}$$

Heavy quarks make thus a precious probe for the medium

### **Introduction: continue**

#### >> Energy loss: radiative vs (elastic) collisional

- gluon-bremsstrahlung suppressed: dead cone, Dokshitzer & Kharzeev, 2001
- elastic collisions dominate at low pT: van Hees & Rapp , 2005
- pQCD scheme is insufficient to describe the energy loss and quenching: Moore & Teaney,2005

#### >> Uncorrelated momentum kicks

- if thermalized:  $p_{th} \sim \sqrt{m_Q T} \gg T \sim q_{tr}$
- Fokker-Planck description of heavy quark diffusion

#### >> Experimental observables

• Heavy hadrons' non-photonic electron decay

$$R_{AA}(p_t; b) = \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{Q}}^{AA}(b)/\mathrm{d}p_t}{N_{\mathrm{coll}}(b) \;\mathrm{d}N_{Q}^{pp}/\mathrm{d}p_t} \qquad v_2(p_t; b) = \frac{\int \mathrm{d}\phi \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{Q}}^{AA}(b)}{\mathrm{d}p_t \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}\phi} \cos(2\phi)}{\int \mathrm{d}\phi \frac{\mathrm{d}N_{Q}^{AA}(b)}{\mathrm{d}p_t \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}\phi}}$$

# **Langevin simulation: formalism**

>> Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{\partial f_Q(t,\vec{p})}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \{ A_i(\vec{p}) f_Q(t,\vec{p}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} [B_{i,j}(\vec{p}) f_Q(t,\vec{p})] \}$$

 $A_i(\vec{p}) = <<(\vec{p} - \vec{p}')_i >>= p_i A(p) \quad \text{drag: related to energy loss:} \quad A(p^2) = (-dE/dx)/p_i$ 

$$B_{ij}(\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \langle \vec{p} - \vec{p}' \rangle_i (\vec{p} - \vec{p}')_j \rangle \geq D(p) \delta_{ij} \quad \text{diffusion matrix: diagonal}$$

#### >> Stochastic realization: Langevin

$$dx_{j} = \frac{p_{j}}{E}dt$$

$$dp_{j} = -\Gamma(p)p_{j}dt + \sqrt{2dt \cdot D(|\vec{p} + \xi d\vec{p}|)}\rho_{j}.$$
Carried out in the heat bath rest frame
$$\sum Pre-point \ discretization \ scheme: \ \xi = 0.$$

$$\Gamma(p)E(p)T = D(E(p)) - T\frac{\partial D(E(p))}{\partial E},$$

$$with \ \Gamma(p) = A(p)$$

# Langevin formalism (continue)

#### >> Post-point discretization scheme: $\xi = 1$

$$\begin{split} D(E(p)) &= \Gamma(p) E(p) T, \\ with \ \ \Gamma(p) &= A(p) + 2 \frac{\partial D(p)}{\partial p^2} = A(p) + \frac{1}{E(p)} \frac{\partial D(p)}{\partial E}. \end{split}$$

#### >> General equilibrium condition: in terms of A(p)

$$\frac{\partial D(E(p))}{\partial E} - \frac{D(E(p))}{T} + E(p)A(p) = 0$$

this is a relativistic extension of the Einstein relation:  $D = \gamma m_Q T$ drag:  $\langle \vec{p}(t) \rangle = \vec{p}_0 \exp(-\gamma t)$ ; diffusion:  $\langle \vec{p}^2(t) \rangle - \langle \vec{p}(t) \rangle^2 = \frac{3D}{\gamma} [1 - \exp(-2\gamma t)]$ 

long time/large drag coefficient Boltzmann equilibrium limit checked:  $f(\vec{p}, \vec{x}) = e^{-E^*(\vec{p}^*)/T} = e^{-p \cdot u(x)/T}$ 

#### Langevin simulation: relaxation rate

#### pQCD gluon-Q scattering: B.L.Combridge,1978



Heavy-light scattering T-matrix: Riek & Rapp,2010

static approximation: energy transfer  $k_0 \simeq \vec{k}^2/2m_Q \ll |\vec{k}|$ 

lattice potential: open/hidden heavy flavor vacuum spectroscopy reproduced

heavy quarkonia (bound states) and heavy quark transport (scattering states) incorporated in the same framework and on a common basis (mutual constraints)



 the color single and antitriplet channels feature broad Feshbach resonance up to ~1.5 T<sub>c</sub>
 this resonance correlation will be reiterated in our hadronizationcoalescence model T-matrix relaxation rate: a factor
 ~4-5 larger than LO pQCD at T=1.2 T<sub>c</sub>
 T-dependent behavior: screening potential vs light parton density
 p-dependent behavior: less contribution from threshold
 Feshbach resonance as p increases

### Langevin simulation: QGP medium



background QGP medium: AZHYDRO, ideal; initialization time  $\tau_0 = 0.6 fm/c$ 

freeze-out at the end of mixed phase: e<sub>dec</sub> =0.445 GeV/fm<sup>3</sup>

Langevin preserves the boost invariance of hydro: eta  $\rightarrow 0$ , y  $\rightarrow$  y - eta

# Langevin simulation: numerical

#### <u>results</u>



 ➢ initialization: Glauber n<sub>BC</sub>(x,y) scaling & PYTHIA parametrization for p<sub>T</sub> spectrum , van Hees and Rapp, 2005: <sup>d<sup>2</sup>N<sub>c</sub></sup>/<sub>dp<sup>2</sup>T</sub> = C ((P<sub>T</sub> + A)<sup>2</sup>)/((1 + P<sub>T</sub>/B)<sup>α</sup>)

 ➢ quenching: early stage when medium particles' density is high
 ➢ v<sub>2</sub>: develops at later stage when the medium particles' v<sub>2</sub> is large

#### **Hadronization: Resonance**

#### **Recombination**

>> Hadronization = Resonance formation  $c\overline{q} \rightarrow D$ 

consistent with T-matrix finding of resonance correlations in QGP

>> Realized by Boltzmann equation Ravagli & Rapp,2007

$$p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_{M}(t,\vec{x},\vec{p}) = -m\Gamma f_{M}(t,\vec{x},\vec{p}) + p^{0}\beta(\vec{x},\vec{p}),$$

$$\beta(\vec{x},\vec{p}) = \int \frac{d^{3}p_{1}d^{3}p_{2}}{(2\pi)^{6}}f_{q}(\vec{x},\vec{p}_{1})f_{\bar{q}}(\vec{x},\vec{p}_{2})$$

$$gain term
\times \sigma(s)v_{rel}(\vec{p}_{1},\vec{p}_{2})\delta^{3}(\vec{p}-\vec{p}_{1}-\vec{p}_{2})$$
Breit-Wigner
$$\sigma(s) = g_{\sigma}\frac{4\pi}{k^{2}}\frac{(\Gamma m)^{2}}{(s-m^{2}) + (\Gamma m)^{2}}$$

$$f_{M}^{eq}(\vec{p}) = \frac{E_{M}(\vec{p})}{m\Gamma}\int d^{3}x\beta(\vec{x},\vec{p})$$
>> Equilibrium limit

>> Energy conservation + detailed balance

equilibrium mapping



Kolb-Heinz hydro: space-momentum correlation in hydro included
 excellent equilibrium mapping achieved; boost invariance preserved
 c.f. M. He, R. J. Fries & R. Rapp, 2010

Iarge drag coeffi. Langevin-RRM (coalescence D-meson) equilibrium checked

→ RRM (compared to the conventional coalescence models) quite facilitates the description of the transition from low  $p_T$  (equilibrium) to intermediate  $p_T$  (kinetic) region in heavy-light quark recombination

### Hadronization: coal. vs frag.



charm quark coal. probability: high  $p_T$  c-quark finds light quark of low phase density ; normalized to 100% at zero momentum

the remaining c-quarks get hadronized through independent fragmentation:  $D(z) = \delta(z-1)$ 

### Hadronization: coal. vs frag.



coal. vs frag. : relatively normalized with the calculated coal. probability

| $dN_D^{\text{tot}}$          | $\_ dN_D^{coal}$ | $dN_c^{frag}$ |
|------------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| $\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}^2p_T$ | $dy d^2 p_T$     | $dy d^2 p_T$  |

fragmentation: preserves the charm quark  $v_2$  from charm  $\rightarrow$  D coalescence: adds  $p_T$  and  $v_2$  from the light quarks to charm quarks

#### **Non-photonic decay: B vs D**



crossing at p<sub>T</sub>~5.4 GeV, c.f. Rapp, Greco, van Hees, 2006; the cross section ratio consistent with pQCD prediction: Cacciari, Nason and Vogt, 2005 quenched spectra: B vs D electron crossing at  $p_{\tau} \approx 5$  GeV B & D: relatively normalized

### **Non-photonic decay electrons**



Preliminary: Monte-Carlo simulation of the non-photonic decay with a constant decay matrix element (only phase space constraint), to be improved
 decay channels included:
  $D \rightarrow eK\overline{v}_e$  (8.6%);  $D \rightarrow eK^*\overline{v}_e$ (3.66%);  $B \rightarrow eX\overline{v}_e$ (10.36%)
 Au+Au  $\sqrt{s} = 200GeV$ 

# **Discussion: other possible contributions to charm relaxation**

Non-perturbative gluon-charm scattering: g-Q T-matrix?!

Hadronic phase D interactions: pion,K,rho,N...

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

✓ D&D<sub>0</sub>\*, D\*&D<sub>1</sub>': chiral partners, large pion s-wave decay width ~300 MeV, see Fuchs, et al., 2006 ✓ D + pion → D + pion: Breit-Wigner  $A_{1/2} = \sum_{j=0,1,2} \frac{8\pi\sqrt{s}}{k} \frac{(2j+1)}{(2j_1+1)(2j_2+1)} \frac{-\sqrt{s}\Gamma_j^{D\pi}}{s - M_j^2 + i\sqrt{s}\Gamma_j^{tot}},$ 

### Hadronic phase contribution to D thermal relaxation

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

✓ D + pion → D<sub>0</sub>\*, D\*, D<sub>2</sub>\*→ D + pion: Breit-Wigner; for D + K, rho, K\*, N, Delta, use an isotropic cross section of 10 mb (baryon 15 mb) to make a conservative estimate ✓ non-equilibrium chemical potentials in hadronic phase included, e.g.  $\mu_{\rho} = 2\mu_{\pi} \qquad \mu_{\Delta} = \mu_{N} + \mu_{\pi}$ 

✓ at T<sup>~</sup>T<sub>c</sub>D relaxation rate comparable to that of charm quark: <u>quark-hadron duality???</u>

# **Summary & Conclusion**

Hydro + Langevin + RRM(coalescence) conducted; equilibrium limit (important) checked

- **Non-photonic decay calculation: to be improved**
- The role of <u>resonance correlation</u> is emphasized:
  - (a). resonance contribution (Q-q T-matrix calculation) to heavy quark thermal relaxation

(b). c-q Resonance Recombination to describe the coalescence hadronization

# **Thanks for attention!**

#### **Appendix 1: Langevin equilibrium**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Appendix 2: RRM equilibrium

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

!1