

Then $\vec{\nabla} g(r) = [\hat{i}\partial_x + \hat{j}\partial_y + \hat{k}\partial_z] g(r) = \frac{c}{2} [\hat{i}(2)(x-x') + \dots] = c\vec{r}$

Compare this to $\vec{\nabla}' g(r) = [\hat{i}\partial_{x'} + \hat{j}\partial_{y'} + \hat{k}\partial_{z'}] g(r) = \frac{c}{2} [\hat{i}(2)(x-x')(-1) + \dots] = -c\vec{r}$ (22)

↑
here is where the sign is coming from

This establishes the validity of the trick in (20).

Using (20) we then return to the expression for \vec{D} in (17) and replace $\vec{\nabla} \rightarrow -\vec{\nabla}'$. Since we do this twice, there is no sign change:

$$\vec{D} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^3x' [\vec{c}(\vec{x}') \cdot \vec{\nabla}'] [\vec{\nabla}' \left(\frac{1}{r(\vec{x}, \vec{x}')} \right)] \quad (23)$$

Consider one of the components of \vec{D} , D_α ($\alpha=1, 2, \text{ or } 3$). We can integrate by parts using the identity

this acts on everything to the right

$$\int d^3x' \vec{\nabla}' \cdot \left\{ \vec{c}(\vec{x}') \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) \right\} = \int d^3x' \left(\vec{\nabla}' \cdot \vec{c} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) + \int d^3x' \left[\vec{c} \cdot \vec{\nabla}' \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) \quad (24)$$

Comparing (23) & (24) we see that

$$4\pi\vec{D} = \int d^3x' \vec{\nabla}' \cdot \left\{ \vec{c}(\vec{x}') \vec{\nabla}' \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) \right\} - \int d^3x' \left[\vec{\nabla}' \cdot \vec{c}(\vec{x}') \right] \vec{\nabla}' \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) \quad (25)$$

(A) $\hookrightarrow \vec{F}(\vec{x}')$
(B)

Keep in mind that we are trying to show that $\vec{D} = 0$, so we begin by showing that (A) = 0. This is a general and very widely

used argument! Write

$$(A) \equiv \int_V d^3x' \vec{\nabla}' \cdot \vec{F}(\vec{x}') \stackrel{\text{Gauss}}{=} \int_S d\vec{s}' \cdot \vec{F}(\vec{x}') \quad (26)$$

Here we make the standard argument that if $\vec{E}(\vec{x}')$ 39,40
 depends on a source function $\vec{c}(\vec{x}')$ which is localized in space
 Then a Gaussian surface S can be found (taking S large enough!)
 so that no flux from $\vec{c}(\vec{x}')$ crosses S , and hence $\mathcal{A} \equiv 0$.

[A similar argument is often used for the 4-dimensional version of Gauss' theorem, but care must be used there, since sources are not always localized in time!!]

Since $\mathcal{A} \equiv 0$, the combination of Eqs. (11), (13), (14), & (25) give

$$\vec{\nabla}_x \vec{V}(\vec{x}) = \vec{c}(\vec{x}) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^3x' [\vec{\nabla}' \cdot \vec{c}(\vec{x}')] \vec{\nabla}' \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) \quad (27)$$

We are trying to show that $\vec{\nabla}_x \vec{V}(\vec{x}) = \vec{c}(\vec{x})$; this follows by noting that Eq. (27) would hold if we replace $\vec{c}(\vec{x}')$ by $\vec{\nabla}' \times \vec{V}(\vec{x}')$. This is a self-consistency argument; We conclude from (27) that in fact $\vec{\nabla}_x \vec{V}(\vec{x}) = \vec{c}(\vec{x})$, where $\vec{V}(\vec{x})$ is given by (2).

Uniqueness of Solutions:

Question: Having shown that $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{V} = S$ and $\vec{\nabla}_x \vec{V} = \vec{c}$, where \vec{V} is given by (2), we now ask whether there can be 2 solutions \vec{V}_1 and \vec{V}_2 which work? Specifically can we find $\vec{V}_{1,2}$ such that

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{V}_{1,2}(\vec{x}) = S(\vec{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\nabla}_x \vec{V}_{1,2}(\vec{x}) = \vec{c}(\vec{x}) \quad (28)$$

Consider $\vec{W}(\vec{x}) = \vec{V}_1(\vec{x}) - \vec{V}_2(\vec{x})$. We want to show that $\vec{W}(\vec{x}) \equiv 0$.

From (28)

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{W}(\vec{x}) &= \vec{\nabla} \cdot [\vec{V}_1(\vec{x}) - \vec{V}_2(\vec{x})] = S(\vec{x}) - S(\vec{x}) = 0 \\ \vec{\nabla}_x \vec{W}(\vec{x}) &= \vec{\nabla}_x [\vec{V}_1(\vec{x}) - \vec{V}_2(\vec{x})] = \vec{c}(\vec{x}) - \vec{c}(\vec{x}) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Since $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{W} = 0$ it follows from 18.3 (1b) that \vec{W} can be expressed as $\vec{W} = -\vec{\nabla} \psi$ ← scalar field (30)

Then $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{W} = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\nabla^2 \psi(x) = 0 \text{ everywhere}} \quad (31)$

To proceed using (31) we apply Gauss' theorem to the vector $\psi \vec{\nabla} \psi$:

$$\int \psi \vec{\nabla} \psi \cdot d\vec{S} = \int \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\psi \vec{\nabla} \psi) dV \equiv \int \partial_i (\psi \partial_i \psi) dV \quad (31)$$

← volume element

$$= \int [(\partial_i \psi)(\partial_i \psi) + \psi \partial_i \partial_i \psi] dV = \int (\vec{\nabla} \psi)^2 dV + \int \psi \nabla^2 \psi dV$$

Hence $\boxed{\int \psi \vec{\nabla} \psi \cdot d\vec{S} = \int [(\vec{\nabla} \psi)^2 + \psi \nabla^2 \psi] dV} \quad (32)$

GREEN'S IDENTITY

← = 0 (31)

We will return to show that if there are no sources at ∞ then the l.h.s. of (32) vanishes. Accepting this for the moment [see below] \star

We then have from (32)

$$\int (\vec{\nabla} \psi)^2 dV = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\vec{\nabla} \psi = 0} \quad (33)$$

↑ positive definite (non-negative) (34)

But from (30) $\vec{\nabla} \psi = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{W}(x) = \vec{V}_1(x) - \vec{V}_2(x) = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\vec{V}_1(x) = \vec{V}_2(x)}$

In other words, the only way that (28) can hold is if $\vec{V}_1 = \vec{V}_2$ so that in the end there is a unique solution.

\star To complete the proof it remains to show that the l.h.s. of (32) $\rightarrow 0$.

Since $\psi(\vec{x})$ is a solution of $\nabla^2 \psi(\vec{x}) = 0$ we ~~can~~ expand $\psi(\vec{x})$ in the form:

$$\psi(\vec{x}) \cong R(r) Y(\theta, \phi) \quad (35)$$

$$\text{Then } \nabla^2 \psi(\vec{x}) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dR}{dr} \right) = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$R(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_n r^n + B_n r^{-n-1}) \tag{36}$$

A_n and B_n are constants chosen to satisfy the boundary conditions appropriate to a given problem. Since we are assuming that there are no sources at ∞ it follows that $A_n \equiv 0$ for all n . Since only the B_n survive the leading term is B_0/r so that [up to a constant]

$$\psi \sim B_0/r \Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \psi = -B_0 \frac{\hat{r}}{r^2}$$

Hence $\int \psi \vec{\nabla} \psi \cdot d\vec{S} = \int \left(\frac{B_0}{r} \right) \left(-\frac{B_0 \hat{r}}{r^2} \right) \cdot d\vec{S} = -B_0^2 \int \frac{1}{r} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\hat{r} \cdot d\vec{S}}{r^2} \right)}_{d\Omega} \tag{37}$

$$\therefore \int \psi \vec{\nabla} \psi \cdot d\vec{S} \sim -B_0^2 \int \frac{1}{r} d\Omega = -\frac{4\pi}{r} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} 0 \tag{38}$$

Simply stated, since we assume on physical grounds that there are no sources at ∞ , we can find a Gaussian surface for sufficiently large r such that there is no flux of $\psi \vec{\nabla} \psi$ through $d\vec{S}$, and hence the l.h.s of (38) and (32) vanishes. This then completes the proof of uniqueness.

✕

Side Comment: Returning to (29) and this proof of uniqueness we see that if we have a field $\vec{E}(\vec{x})$ for which

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{x}) &= 0 \\ \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}(\vec{x}) &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{at all points in space} \tag{39}$$

and if there are no sources at ∞ , then $\vec{E}(\vec{x}) \equiv 0 \tag{40}$

TENSOR ANALYSIS

Inv of all that!!

TENSORS

$$ds = \text{distance from } \vec{x} \text{ to } \vec{x} + d\vec{x}$$

3 dimensions

$$ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 \equiv g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$$

a) CARTESIAN

$$g_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \delta_{ij} \quad ; \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3$$

$$\begin{aligned} dx^1 &= dx \\ dx^2 &= dy \\ dx^3 &= dz \end{aligned}$$

$$g \equiv \det g_{ij} = +1$$

b) SPHERICAL

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2$$

$$\equiv g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$$

$$\begin{aligned} dx^1 &= dr \\ dx^2 &= d\theta \\ dx^3 &= d\phi \end{aligned}$$

$$g_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^2 \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{rr} &= 1 \\ g_{\theta\theta} &= r^2 \\ g_{\phi\phi} &= r^2 \sin^2 \theta \end{aligned}$$

$$g \equiv \det g_{ij} = r^4 \sin^2 \theta$$

3-dim volume element

$$\int d\text{Volume} = \int \sqrt{g} dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 \quad \checkmark \equiv \int \sqrt{g} d\xi^1 d\xi^2 d\xi^3$$

$$= \int 1 dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 = \int dx dy dz \quad \text{CARTESIAN}$$

$$= \int (r^2 \sin \theta) dr d\theta d\phi \quad \text{SPHERICAL}$$

$$= \int (r^2 dr) (\sin \theta d\theta) d\phi \quad \checkmark$$

3+1 dimensions (relativity)

43.1

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^\mu dx^\nu \quad (\text{Sum on } \mu, \nu = 1, 2, 3, 0)$$

Minkowski: ("flat" space) $g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

Coordinates

time
can't travel to past!!

$$dx^1 = dx; dx^2 = dy; dx^3 = dz; dx^0 = cdt$$

TRANSFORMATION OF VECTORS & TENSORS

43.3

For an arbitrary transformation $x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu$

We define the following objects:

a) CONTRAVARIANT VECTOR $V'^\mu(x') = \frac{\partial x'^\mu}{\partial x^\nu} V^\nu(x)$

example: $dx'^\mu = \frac{\partial x'^\mu}{\partial x^\nu} dx^\nu$

b) COVARIANT VECTOR $U'_\mu(x') = \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial x'^\mu} U_\nu(x)$

example (the gradient)

$$\frac{d\phi}{dx'^\mu} = \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{d\phi}{dx^\nu}$$

c) MIXED TENSOR

$$T_{\nu}^{\mu\lambda}(x) \sim V^{\mu}(x) W^{\lambda}(x) U_{\nu}(x)$$

3rd RANK
TENSOR (3 indices)

Can always view a mixed tensor this way. This helps keep track of indices.

example: $T_{\nu}^{\mu\lambda}(x') = \left(\frac{\partial x'^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial x'^{\lambda}}{\partial x^{\beta}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial x^{\gamma}}{\partial x'^{\nu}}\right) T_{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta}(x)$

d) MOST IMPORTANT TENSOR = metric tensor

(defines the coordinate system we are in)

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \left(\frac{\partial \xi^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \xi^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\right) \eta_{\alpha\beta}(\xi)$$

flat space
(Minkowski coords)

any other coordinates

To verify that $g_{\mu\nu}$ is a tensor note that:

$$g'_{\mu\nu}(x') = \frac{\partial \xi^{\alpha}}{\partial x'^{\mu}} \frac{\partial \xi^{\beta}}{\partial x'^{\nu}} \eta_{\alpha\beta} = \left(\frac{\partial \xi^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\rho}} \frac{\partial x^{\rho}}{\partial x'^{\mu}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \xi^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\sigma}} \frac{\partial x^{\sigma}}{\partial x'^{\nu}}\right) \eta_{\alpha\beta}(\xi)$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial x^{\rho}}{\partial x'^{\mu}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial x^{\sigma}}{\partial x'^{\nu}}\right) \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial \xi^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\rho}} \frac{\partial \xi^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\sigma}} \eta_{\alpha\beta}(\xi)\right)}_{g_{\rho\sigma}(x)} = \left(\frac{\partial x^{\rho}}{\partial x'^{\mu}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial x^{\sigma}}{\partial x'^{\nu}}\right) g_{\rho\sigma}(x)$$