Temperature dependence of electron transfer in coupled quantum wells
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We report on the temperature dependence of electron transfer between coupled quantum wells in a voltage tunable two-color quantum-well infrared photodetector (QWIP). The detection peak of this QWIP switches from 7.1 μm under positive bias to 8.6 μm under negative bias for temperatures $T \lesssim 40$ K. For $T \gtrsim 40$ K, the 7.1 μm peak is present under both bias polarities and increases significantly with $T$ while the 8.6 μm peak decreases correspondingly. We determine the temperature dependence of electron densities in the two QWs from the detector absorption spectra that are deduced using corrugated QWIPs and find that electron transfer is efficient only when thermionic emission is not significant. © 2003 American Institute of Physics.

Voltage tunable two-color quantum-well infrared photodetectors (QWIPs) have many important applications such as remote temperature sensing, and these two-terminal detectors when integrated with time-multiplexed readout circuits greatly simplify focal plane array production. Most voltage tunable detectors demonstrated so far are based on electric field induced Stark shift and usually have small tuning ranges. Another tuning mechanism is electron transfer between coupled QWs under an applied bias. In these detectors, two unequal QWs are coupled through a thin barrier of thickness $t_a$ (with single electron tunneling rate $r_a$) to form an unit cell. The entire structure consists of a number of unit cells separated by larger barriers of thickness $t_b$ (with single electron tunneling rate $r_b$). In earlier designs with $t_a \sim 50 \text{Å}$, the condition $r_a \gg r_b$ resulted in thermal equilibrium and a common Fermi level within each unit cell.

Due to the small potential drop between the QW pair, the amount of electron transfer was insufficient to switch the detector. Subsequently, we demonstrated a two-color detector where the peak wavelength switched from 7.5 to 8.8 μm upon reversing the bias polarity at low temperatures.

The earlier-mentioned approach of enhancing electron transfer between QWs has certain limitations. Increasing $t_a/t_b$ reduces $r_a/r_b$ so that the QW pair is driven away from thermal equilibrium under bias, which tends to reduce electron transfer. When tunneling between the QWs is not sufficiently strong, electron transfer can be affected by temperature $T$ if $t_a \neq t_b$. When $T$ increases, the electron transfer rate, which includes all transport mechanisms, can change differently for the two QWs within each QW pair because of different thermal activation energies. Therefore, the electron population in each QW can have a rich functional dependence on both detector parameters and experimental conditions.

In this letter, we present the temperature dependence of electron transfer in a voltage tunable detector, where the peak wavelength $\lambda_p = 7.1 \mu$m under positive bias and switches to $\lambda_p = 8.6 \mu$m under large negative bias below $T = 40$ K. At higher temperatures, the shorter wavelength peak becomes apparent even under negative bias and its magnitude grows with $T$, while the longer wavelength peak decreases correspondingly. We deduce the detector absorption spectra under different experimental conditions using corrugated QWIPs and use these spectra to determine the electron densities in the two QWs at different temperatures. We find that the electron transfer process is efficient only when thermionic emission is not significant.

The detector structure consists of 36 periods of QW pairs sandwiched between heavily doped top and bottom GaAs contact layers. Each period consists of a 44 Å Al$_{0.05}$Ga$_{0.95}$As left QW (LQW) coupled to a 44 Å GaAs right QW (RQW) through a 200 Å Al$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$As barrier and separated from the next set of QW pair by a 350 Å graded Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As barrier ($x = 0.3 \sim 0.25$ along the growth direction). The LQWs are uniformly doped with $7 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ Si donors while the RQWs and the barriers are undoped. The entire structure is grown on (100)-GaAs by molecular beam epitaxy. The conduction band diagram of an unit cell of the detector is sketched in Figs. 1(a)–1(b). In this structure, electrons are expected to be in the LQW at large negative bias and be transferred to the RQW under positive bias. We calculated the oscillator strength $f$ of different intersubband transitions from the ground states of both QWs to the excited states.
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above them. The normalized values of \( f \) are plotted in Fig. 1(c). At bias \( V_b = -3 \text{ V} \), the \( E_1 \rightarrow E_b \) transition within the LQW at 10.1 \( \mu \text{m} \) has the largest \( f \) (we call this transition \( L6 \) because \( E_1 \) is in the LQW). Other significant transitions are \( L7, L8, \) and \( L11 \) at 8.9, 8.3, and 7.3 \( \mu \text{m} \), respectively. At \( V_b = 3 \text{ V} \), the largest \( f \) for the RQW occurs at 7.6 \( \mu \text{m} \), which corresponds to the \( E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \) \((R7)\) transition.

We show spectral responsivity \( R \) of a 45\(^\circ\)–edge coupled detector at different temperatures in Fig. 2. At \( T = 10 \text{ K} \), \( \lambda_f \) switches from 7.1 to 8.6 \( \mu \text{m} \) for \( |V_b| \geq 3 \text{ V} \) upon reversing the bias polarity. Under positive bias, \( R \) is almost independent of \( T \) in the 10–70 K range. Under negative bias, \( R \) has negligible temperature dependence up to 40 K. However, \( R \) changes dramatically once \( T \) is raised above 40 K. The shorter wavelength peak at 7.1 \( \mu \text{m} \) is not only prominent but also dominant at higher temperatures. This is accompanied by a rapid reduction of the longer wavelength peak.

In order to gain a better understanding of the temperature dependence of detector responsivity, we extracted absorption coefficient \( \alpha \) using CQWIPs with different corrugation periods.\(^9,10\) Details of this approach have been described previously\(^6,9,10\) and will not be repeated here. The deduced absorption spectra are shown as circles in Fig. 3. For the entire temperature range under positive bias, there is one absorption peak around 7 \( \mu \text{m} \) that can be assigned to the \( R7 \) transition (\( \lambda_{R7} = 7.6 \mu \text{m} \) for nominal detector parameters). Under negative bias at \( T = 10 \text{ K} \), the main absorption peak is at 8.1 \( \mu \text{m} \), which is interpreted as the unresolved \( L7 \) and \( L8 \) transitions. There are two smaller peaks at 7 and 10.4 \( \mu \text{m} \). The 10.4 \( \mu \text{m} \) peak is attributed to the \( L6 \) transition while the 7 \( \mu \text{m} \) peak is still from the previously labeled \( R7 \) transition in the RQW. Since Stark shifts are small in this structure, the small discrepancies in the locations and magnitudes between the calculated and observed transitions are attributed to differences in the nominal and actual detector structures. These differences, however, do not affect the following data analysis.

The presence of a large 7 \( \mu \text{m} \) peak under negative bias, especially at high temperatures, indicates that a significant number of electrons remain in the RQW under negative bias and electron transfer to the LQW is inefficient. We use the absorption spectra shown in Fig. 3 to quantitatively determine the LQW and RQW electron densities, \( n_L \) and \( n_R \), respectively. By evaluating the integrated absorption strength (IAS) of the absorption peaks shown in Fig. 3, we can obtain \( n_L \) and \( n_R \) because the IAS of each transition, denoted by \( A_T \), is proportional to \( f_T n_{2D}^* / m^* \), where \( f_T \), \( n_{2D} \), and \( m^* \) are the oscillator strength, QW electron density, and effective mass, respectively. The IAS of the individual transitions is

![FIG. 1.](image1) Conduction band diagram of an unit cell of the voltage tunable two-color detector under (a) negative and (b) positive bias \( V_b \). \( t_{RL} \) and \( t_{LR} \) are the tunneling rates from the RQW to the LQW and from the LQW to the RQW, respectively. The two barriers are \( t_a = 200 \text{ Å} \) and \( t_b = 350 \text{ Å} \) thick. (c) Calculated oscillator strength of intersubband transitions from the RQW at \( V_b = 3 \text{ V} \) and the LQW at \( V_b = -3 \text{ V} \).

![FIG. 2.](image2) Spectral responsivity \( R \) of 45\(^\circ\)–edge coupled detectors at (a), (b) \( T = 10 \text{ K} \); (c), (d) \( T = 50 \text{ K} \); and (e), (f) \( T = 70 \text{ K} \).

![FIG. 3.](image3) Spectrum of absorption coefficient \( \alpha \) at temperature (a) \( T = 10 \text{ K} \), (b) \( T = 50 \text{ K} \), and (c) \( T = 70 \text{ K} \). Symbols: \( \alpha \) obtained from CQWIP data. Lines: least-squares fit of single or multiple Lorentzians to the data shown as circles. Each curve has been shifted by 0.1 \( \mu \text{m}^{-1} \) from the one just below it for clarity. The bias voltages \( V_b \) are indicated on the right margin. Typical error bars of 10% are not shown for clarity.
obtained by fitting Lorentzians of the form $\alpha(E) = \alpha \sqrt{2 \pi [(E - E_p)^2 + (\Delta E/2)^2]}$ to the absorption data. Here $E$ is energy, and the IAS $A_T$, peak position $E_p$, and peak width $\Delta E$ are fitting parameters. We use one Lorentzian for positive bias and two or three Lorentzians for negative bias to match the number of peaks found in the absorption data. The least-squares fits are shown as solid curves in Fig. 3. Note that we use the peak positions $E_p$ as fitting parameters so that the fitting is independent of the calculated peak positions.

After obtaining the values of $A_T$ for different transitions from the fitting procedure, $n_L$ and $n_R$ are obtained by the following method. Based on the $R7$ transition, $n_R$ and $A_{R7}$ are related by $A_{R7} = f_{R7} n_R / \sqrt{m^*_R}$, where $m^*_R$ is the effective mass in the RQW. Since both the initial state and the final state of the $R7$ transition are localized in the RQW, the value of $f_{R7}$ is insensitive to both the magnitude and polarity of $V_b$. On the other hand, under negative bias, the final state wave functions of the LQW transitions are more delocalized throughout the unit cell, and thus, the individual oscillator strengths can change with $V_b$. Nevertheless, due to the conservation of oscillator strength, the total $f$ of all the prominent LQW transitions will remain constant with $V_b$. Accordingly, the sum of the IAS of the 8.1 and 10.4 $\mu$m transitions, denoted by $A_L$, is proportional to $f_{L} n_L / \sqrt{m^*_L}$, where $f_L$ is the bias-insensitive sum of oscillator strengths of the observed transitions and $m^*_L$ is the effective mass in the LQW. Using the earlier two relations for $A_{R7}$ and $A_L$ with $n_{2D} = n_L + n_R$, where $n_{2D}$ is the total electron density in an unit cell, we obtain $n_L = n_{2D} / (1 + r)$ and $n_R = n_{2D} / (1 + 1/r)$, where $r = m^*_R / f_{L} A_{R7} / m^*_L f_{R7} A_{L}$. We use the values of $A_{R7}$ and $A_L$ determined from the fitting procedure, the computed ratio $f_{L} f_{R7}$ at $|V_b| = 3$ $V$, $m^*_R = 0.0707 m_0$, and $m^*_L = 0.0665 m_0$, where $m_0$ is the free-electron mass, to determine $n_L$ and $n_R$. These densities are plotted in Fig. 4 for different temperatures. Figure 4 shows that all electrons are in the RQW at positive bias and that most of them are transferred to the LQW under negative bias at $T = 10$ $K$. However, the transfer becomes less efficient at higher voltages and temperatures.

It is clear from Fig. 1(b) for positive bias that the individual electron transfer rate $r_{LR}$ from the LQW to the RQW is always larger than $r_{RL}$ from the RQW to the LQW of the next unit cell due to the same barrier height. Therefore, thermal equilibrium within the unit cell can be maintained and electrons are transferred to the RQW. On the other hand, due to the graded nature of the thick barrier between the unit cells, the barrier height of the LQW for thermionic emission (TE) is continuously lowered by negative bias as shown in Fig. 1(a). At sufficiently high bias and temperature where TE is dominant, $r_{LR}$ in Fig. 1(a) becomes larger than $r_{RL}$ due to larger TE transport. In this case, the LQW is actually in thermal equilibrium with the RQW of the next unit cell, resulting in electrons populating the RQW as seen in Fig. 4. In intermediate cases where $r_{LR} \approx r_{RL}$, the two QWs have similar populations as observed at $T = 50$ $K$ in Fig. 4. Similar conclusions can also be reached using current continuity where $n_{1L} r_{LR} = n_{0} r_{RL}$.

In conclusion, we have studied the temperature and bias dependence of electron transfer between coupled QWs in a voltage tunable two-color photodetector. We showed that the electron transfer process is efficient only when interwell tunneling dominates. At higher temperatures when thermionic emission is substantial, electrons tend to accumulate in the shorter wavelength QW, which has a higher thermal activation energy, under most bias voltages due to the smaller electron transfer rate out of that QW.
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