Antiferromagnetism and Hole Pair Checkerboard in the Vortex State of High $T_c$ Superconductors
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We propose a microscopic state for the vortex phase of BSCO superconductors. Around the vortex core or above $H_{c2}$, the $d$ wave hole pairs form a checkerboard localized in the antiferromagnetic background. We discuss this theory in connection with recent STM experiments.
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Since the theoretical prediction of the antiferromagnetic (AF) order in the vortex state of the high $T_c$ superconductors (SC) [1,2], tremendous experimental [3–9] and theoretical [10–19] progress has been made in recent years. Neutron scattering experiments [3,4,8,20] in the $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ compound first reported enhanced magnetic scattering induced by the magnetic field in the vortex state. The field induced moment scales linearly (with possible logarithmic corrections) with the external field, or the number of vortices in the system, in accordance with theoretical predictions [2,13]. The $\mu$SR experiment [5] in the underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ (YBCO) compound reveals static AF order in the vortex core. The NMR experiment [6,9] in the YBCO compound under high magnetic field shows enhanced AF ordering, predominantly from the region inside the vortex core. These experiments have important implications on our theoretical understanding of high $T_c$ superconductivity. In the SO(5) theory, the transition from the AF Mott insulator phase to the SC phase is understood in terms of the rotation of the SO(5) superspin vector as the chemical potential or doping level is varied [1]. Recent experiments in the AF vortex state show that the SO(5) rotation picture can be validated in real space, as a function of the distance from the center of the vortex core, where the superspin rotates continuously from AF to SC directions.

While the salient features of the recent AF vortex experiments are in overall agreement with the theoretical predictions, there are additional experimental features worth exploring. A striking feature is revealed in the STM experiments by Hoffman et al. [7], where the local density of states (DOS) near the vortex core show a two dimensional checkerboardlike modulation with a $4a \times 4a$ charge unit cell. Here $a$ is the dimension of the CuO$_2$ unit cell. This modulation decays exponentially away from the center of the vortex core, with a decay length of about $10a$. More recently, a similar pattern has also been seen in the absence of the applied magnetic field [21], possibly induced by the impurities at the surface. Understanding the four unit cell checkerboard modulation structure around the vortex core is the main focus of our present work.

One possible explanation of the STM experiments is the formation of the stripe AF order [22,23] around the vortex core or impurities. If the stripes are static, the question is how the 1D stripes on two different layers of the bilayer $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$ (BSCO) material are oriented relative to each other. If we assume a strong coupling between the bilayers, one would naturally expect the stripes on two different layers to be oriented along the same direction. This state breaks the rotational symmetry and is inconsistent with the 2D checkerboard pattern observed in the STM experiments. On the other hand, it is possible that the stripes on two different layers are oriented along the orthogonal directions. In this case, the STM tunneling should still predominantly probe the upper layer and observe a strongly anisotropic quasi-1D pattern. Therefore, the static 1D stripe picture can be experimentally falsified as the instrumental resolution improves. Current observations could be consistent with a picture of dynamically fluctuation stripes. The question is the time scale of the fluctuation. As the magnetic field increases, these fluctuations are expected to slow down, and eventually, a static 1D ordering pattern should emerge. This scenario could be tested in the underdoped materials or in the $\text{Nd}_{2-x}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_{4-\delta}$ materials, where $H_{c2}$ is within experimental reach. Besides the stripe state, one could also try to construct a Wigner crystal state of the doped holes in an AF background. Such an insulating state can be realized only for rational doping fractions. The optimally doped system is close to $x = 1/8$ doping, with one hole per eight sites. In this case, the holes can form a $\sqrt{8a} \times \sqrt{8a}$ superlattice uniformly distributed over the AF spin background. Such a state has the full fourfold rotational symmetry as observed in the STM experiment. Unfortunately, the charge unit cell in this case is smaller than the experimentally observed $4a \times 4a$ structure. Finally, the simplest explanation of the checkerboard charge structure around the impurities could be the Friedel oscillation associated with the Fermi surface.
nesting [24]. The observed $4a \times 4a$ charge pattern could be produced by the quasiparticles at the antinode. However, these quasiparticles are gapped, and it is not clear if they can explain the low energy feature at 7 meV observed in the vortex core experiment.

In this work, we propose a specific microscopic state around the vortex core and above $H_{c2}$ for the YBCO and the BSCO class of superconductors. The insulating version of this state at doping $x = 1/8$ is depicted in Fig. 1. In this state, the $d$ wave hole pairs form a Wigner crystal, dubbed a $d$ wave hole-pair checkerboard. The center of mass of the $d$ wave hole pair is located at the center of every four plaquettes on the original lattice. This state has the $4a \times 4a$ checkerboard symmetry as observed in the experiment, and the doping level for the insulating $x = 1/8$ state is reasonably close to the optimal doping level of the cuprates. Therefore, by forming the Wigner crystal state of the hole pairs rather than the holes themselves, the doping level can be compatible with the observed size of the charge unit cell. Here the $d$ wave pair is drawn on the plaquette for illustrative purposes. In the actual system, the pair size can be more extended. In this Letter, we discuss the case where the AF regions are connected; therefore, antiphase domain walls would be energetically unfavorable. However, the antiphase domain walls would be possible if the hole pairs are more extended along the fourfold symmetry direction, and if there is an effective coupling among the triplet magnons on next-nearest-neighbor plaquettes. We propose that the hole-pair checkerboard state is energetically competitive in the microscopic $t$-$J$ model with short-ranged Coulomb interaction. This state takes advantage of the underlying AF exchange energy in the background, while the holes form singlet $d$ wave bonds to minimize their exchange energy as well. Finally, the kinetic energy of the hole pair competes with the Coulomb interaction. At $x = 1/8$, if the Coulomb interaction is stronger than the kinetic energy of the hole pair, the hole-pair checkerboard state could win. We believe that the YBCO and BSCO materials are in the regime where the kinetic energy of the hole pairs favor the uniform SC state. However, when the applied magnetic field impedes the coherent hole-pair motion either around the vortex core or in the state above $H_{c2}$, we argue that the hole-pair checkerboard state can be realized, either in the static or dynamically fluctuating form. Therefore, the central idea behind this work is that the magnetic field destroys the phase coherence of the hole pair by localizing them into a crystal, without breaking the pair.

The hole-pair checkerboard state arises naturally from the projected SO(5) model on a lattice [25–27]. In this approach, the original lattice is divided into nonoverlapping plaquettes, depicted by solid squares in Fig. 1. In the spirit of real-space renormalization, we retain only five low energy states on the plaquette, the half-filled singlet state, denoted by $|\Omega\rangle$, the triplet magnon state $t_{h}^{\dagger}|\Omega\rangle$, and $d$ wave hole-pair state $t_{h}^{\dagger}t_{b}^{\dagger}|\Omega\rangle$ with two holes on the plaquette. The $d$ wave hole pair on a plaquette can be justified from the microscopic models [28], but a more extended hole pair can also be incorporated into our model. We define the center of the nonoverlapping plaquettes to be the lattice sites of the projected SO(5) model, which has the spacing of $2a$. Here we follow the notations and the conventions of Ref. [25]. The projected SO(5) model is defined in terms of the following Hamiltonian:

$$H = \Delta_{s} \sum_{x} t_{a}^{\dagger}t_{a}(x) + \Delta_{t} \sum_{x} t_{h}^{\dagger}t_{h}(x) - J_{s} \sum_{\langle x \rangle} (t_{a}^{\dagger} + t_{a})(x)(t_{a}^{\dagger} + t_{a})(x') - J_{t} \sum_{\langle x \rangle} e^{i\phi_{x}}t_{h}^{\dagger}(x)t_{h}(x') + H.c.$$  

(1)

where $\rho(x) = t_{h}^{\dagger}(x)$ is defined as the hole-pair density.

As described in Ref. [25], the $\Delta_{s}$ term describes the effective spin gap energy for the magnons, the $\Delta_{t}$ term describes the effective chemical potential for the hole pairs, while the $J_{s}$ and $J_{t}$ terms describe the effective AF and SC Josephson couplings, respectively. Here $e^{i\phi_{x}}$ corresponds to the phase introduced by the magnetic field in the vortex state, and we have also included the nearest neighbor and next-nearest neighbor Coulomb interactions $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$. This model can be approximately derived from the microscopic Hubbard and $t$-$J$ model by a...
renormalization group transformation [26]. The model without the Coulomb terms has been studied extensively by numerical calculations, and the phase diagram has been determined [27]. If one neglects the spin degree of freedom, the SO(5) model reduces to the hard-core boson model on a two dimensional square lattice. Even this simple model has a rich phase diagram as the density, the kinetic, and the potential energies are varied [29,30]. Besides the superfluid ground state, the system can also develop charge-density-wave order and supersolid order in the ground state. In the strong coupling limit at half filling, the ground state develops checkerboard charge order where every other site is occupied by a boson. At quarter filling, a similar checkerboard pattern develops where every four sites are occupied by a boson. This state is stabilized by the nearest neighbor and the next-nearest neighbor interactions $V_1$ and $V_2$. Including the AF spin order and translating the hole density and lattice size from the SO(5) lattice model to the original lattice, we find that the 1/4 filled checkerboard charge ordering of the hard-core bosons corresponds exactly to the $d$ wave pair checkerboard state illustrated in Fig. 1. However, we shall see that the AF exchange energies also play an important role in determining the competition between the various states.

We consider the following mean field state for the Hamiltonian (1),

$$|\Psi\rangle = \prod_x [\cos \theta + \sin \theta (\cos \alpha t^{\dagger}_a + \sin \alpha e^{i\phi} t^{\dagger}_b)](x)|\Omega\rangle,$$

and minimize the energy $E = \langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle$ as a function of the variational parameters $\theta(x), \alpha(x)$ and $\phi(x)$. At quarter filling of the hole-pair bosons (which corresponds to $x = 1/8$ in the actual system), the mean field theory predicts a quantum phase transition at $V_1 + V_2 = V_c = 6J$ for $J_1 = 2J_2 = 2J$. For $V_1 + V_2 < V_c$, the system is in a uniform SC state. On the other hand, for $V_1 + V_2 > V_c$, a hole-pair checkerboard state depicted in Fig. 1 is favored. We shall choose our parameters such that the system is in a uniform SC state, but it is close to the hole-pair checkerboard state.

In order to reduce the number of variables, we have assumed fourfold rotational symmetry as well as symmetry by reflection along the diagonals. We can further simplify the problem by fixing the SC phase $\phi$ assuming that the magnetic field is uniform in a region of radius equal to the London penetration depth (here, we present data with $30a$, but we have checked that this effect is very small).

The boundary sites are taken to be in a bulk SC phase with a fixed hole-pair density, which is taken to be the same as the averaged one. We fixed the center of the vortex core to be fully antiferromagnetic; i.e., $\alpha = 0$ and $\theta = \pi/4$.

In Fig. 2, we present the result of charge distribution which shows a clear checkerboard pattern. The contrast decreases very quickly away from the vortex core over a distance $\sim 10a$ which is roughly consistent with the experimental value [7]. We emphasize that this checkerboard pattern persists for smaller interactions $V_1$ and $V_2$, but with a smaller contrast. For sufficiently small contrast, the modulation of the charge density may be hard to detect in the integrated LDOS [7].

We also present the profiles of the AF order parameter $\langle n_x \rangle$, the SC order parameter $\langle n_y \rangle$, and the $\pi$ order parameter $\langle \pi \rangle$ in Fig. 3. Notice that the $\pi$ order parameter is nonzero only in the region where the AF and SC order parameters overlap. In our projected SO(5) model, the SO(5) orthogonality condition [1,14]

$$\langle L_{ab}\rangle \langle n_c \rangle + \langle L_{bc}\rangle \langle n_a \rangle + \langle L_{ca}\rangle \langle n_b \rangle = 0$$

is satisfied at every site. This relation predicts a quantitative relation among these three order parameters and the hole density, $\rho(n_2) + \langle L_{25}\rangle \langle n_1 \rangle = 0$, which is satisfied by our numerical results of the order parameters. The relative size of the AF and SC regions is determined by the ratio of $J_x/J_c$ and can be varied to account for the experimental observations at low temperature.

We now discuss the experimental consequences of our theoretical proposal. Our proposal can be tested most directly in the vortex state, or above $H_{c2}$, by measuring the static charge structure factor corresponding to a $4a \times 4a$ unit cell with $XY$ rotational symmetry. The magnetic structure factor could be either a commensurate ($\pi, \pi$) peak with an amplitude modulation of four unit cells or a shifted peak corresponding to an antiphase modulation. Since the energy difference between these two types of magnetic order is very small, we conjecture that both types could occur in difference compounds of the high $T_c$ cuprates. Our proposal differs in detail from the stripe picture, from the fact that the charge ordering pattern has square symmetry and from the fact that the ordering charge is the hole pair rather than single holes. Our
The proposed state is also consistent with the $\mu$sR and the NMR experiments in the vortex state of the YBCO system [5,9]. The $\mu$sR experiment reports commensurate (\(\pi, \pi\)) AF order inside the vortex core [5]. Because of the modulation of the AF order parameter amplitude, oxygen sites, for example, those located in between the singlet hole pair and the AF plaquettes, can still detect a spin signal [5,9].

In this work we primarily focused on the charge ordering in the vortex state. Similar arguments may be applied to the impurity states as well [21]. However, we caution here that if the impurity is pair breaking, the idea of a order state of hole pairs may not be directly applicable. We also call attention to Ref. [31], where experimental evidence for Wigner lattice was reported.

We also encourage the detailed study of this hole-pair checkerboard state in the numerical calculations of the \(t-J\) model, including effects of the Coulomb interaction [32]. While we do not propose this state to be realized in the ground state for a physical range of parameters in the absence of the magnetic field, it is interesting to test if this state is realized in the vortex state or above \(H_{c2}\). It is also instructive to determine parameters for which this state is realized in the ground state and see how far these parameters are from the physically reasonable values. This way, we can estimate the perturbations required for this state to reveal itself.
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FIG. 3. From top to bottom: Distribution of the SC, AF, and $\pi$ order parameters around the vortex core. The relative size of the AF and SC regions can be varied by varying $J_s/J_c$. Notice that the $\pi$ order parameter is nonzero only in the region where the AF and SC order parameters overlap.
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