\(d + id'-\text{wave} \) Superconducting States in Graphene
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We show that effective superconducting orders generally emerge at low energy in the superconducting state of graphene with conventionally defined pairing symmetry. We study such a particular interesting example, the \(d_{x^2-y^2} + id'_{xy}\) spin singlet pairing superconducting state in graphene, which can be generated by electronic correlation as well as induced through a proximity effect with a d-wave superconductor. We find that effectively the d-wave state is a state with mixed s-wave and exotic \(p + ip\)-wave pairing orders at low energy. This remarkable property leads to distinctive superconducting gap functions and novel behavior of the Andreev conductance spectra.

PACS numbers: 74.45.+c, 74.78.Na

Graphene is a single layer of hexagonally coordinated carbon atoms which has recently been isolated\(^1\). Due to its special lattice structure, the low energy part of its energy spectrum is characterized by particle-hole symmetric linear dispersions around the corners of the hexagonal Brillouin zone (BZ). This band structure is responsible for many new properties of this ‘relativistic’ condensed matter system, such as an abnormal quantum Hall effect\(^2, 3, 4\), minimum conductance\(^4, 5\), and possibly even an experimental realization of the Klein paradox\(^6\).

Recently, a novel concept called specular Andreev reflection was proposed for a normal/superconducting(N/S) graphene interface in the context of a conventional s-wave pairing superconducting state\(^7\). Later, an unusual oscillation of the quantum conductance through an N/I/S junction was predicted\(^8, 9\). The possible superconducting pairing orders have also been studied. In Ref.\(^10\) by including strong electronic correlations, the mean field search shows that \(d_{x^2-y^2} + id'_{xy}\)-wave pairing symmetry is favored, similar to the superconducting state in the triangular lattice which is believed to be of \(d_{x^2-y^2} + id'_{xy}\) symmetry\(^11, 12\). In Ref.\(^13\) an exotic \(p + ip\)-wave superconductor with spin singlet bond pairing was suggested at the mean field level and possible phonon- or plasma-mediated mechanisms were discussed. On the other hand, experimentally, superconducting states in graphene have been realized by proximity effect\(^14, 15, 16\) through contact with superconducting electrodes.

The peculiar physics in graphene is the unusual linear and isotropic dispersion of the low energy excitations around the Dirac points. In this Letter, we show that because of the existence of the Dirac points, conventional pairing order parameters can lead to the emergence of exotic pairing states in the low energy effective description. The \(p + ip\) superconducting order of Ref.\(^13\) is precisely such an example of an effective, low energy superconducting order, arising in that case from a more conventional extended s-wave pairing. Here, we study a particularly interesting superconducting state in graphene, the \(d_{x^2-y^2} + id'_{xy}\) spin singlet pairing superconducting state, which can be generated by electronic correlation\(^10\) as well as induced through a proximity effect with a d-wave superconductor on top of or underneath the graphene layer. We find that the d-wave state is effectively a mixed s-wave and exotic \(p + ip\)-wave pairing state at low energy. The mixture of both s-wave and \(p + ip\) wave leads to unique properties of the excitation spectrum and Andreev conductance spectra. The excitation spectrum is gapless at half-filling and is gapped away from half filling. The gap is equal to the chemical potential near half filling, and it saturates as the chemical potential is moved above the energy scale set by pairing strength. The normalized Andreev conductance in the limit of zero bias voltage is a smooth function of the chemical potential, which starts from 2 at half filling and drops smoothly to 4/3 at large doping, unlike that in the s-wave pairing states where it almost remains at a constant value, 4/3 (see Fig.\(^3\)(c)). This is a signature of \(d_{x^2-y^2} + id'_{xy}\) pairing in graphene.

FIG. 1: (Color online.) Phase (blue number) of singlet bond pairing function on the graphene lattice which preserves the translational and rotational symmetry of the honeycomb lattice and is \(d + id'\) type under point group \(D_6h\). The red vectors \(\delta_{ia}(a=1,2,3)\) denote nearest-neighbor inter-sublattice connections.

**General pairing symmetry in graphene:** Although the crystal point group of graphene is \(D_{6h}\), the pairing symmetry of the superconducting orders in a two dimen-
sional graphene sheet is governed by $D_6$, which includes four one-dimensional irreducible representations, $A_{1,2}$ and $B_{1,2}$, and two two-dimensional irreducible representations, $E_{1,2}$. Among these representations, the $A_1$, $E_1$ and $E_2$ representations describe s-wave, p-wave and d-wave pairing symmetries, respectively. Therefore, the spin singlet s-wave and d-wave pairing are described by the $A_1$ and $E_2$ irreducible representations. We can understand the pairing symmetry further by considering the exchange symmetry between the A and B sublattices. The $D_6$ group is a direct product of its two subgroups $C_{3v}$ and $Z_2$, i.e. $D_6 = C_{3v} \otimes Z_2$, where $Z_2$ describes the exchange operations between the A and B sublattices. The $A_1$ and $E_2$ representations of $D_6$ are symmetric under exchange of the A and B sublattices, while the $E_1$ representation is antisymmetric.

**Emergent pairing symmetry at low energy:** At low energy, the effective physics in graphene can be described by two s-wave pairing order in graphene at low energy is been studied in Ref. 13. The second case is with each other by time reversal symmetry. This case has becomes a p-wave like pairing order. Therefore, the exchange of the A and B sublattices, while the wave pairing symmetries, respectively. Therefore, the effective superconducting order is described by three in- order for small $\delta(\vec{q})$, to $p+ip$-wave pairing. Therefore, at low energy, the $d_{x^2-y^2} + id'_{xy}$ wave pairing state in graphene is a superconducting state with mixed $s$ and $p+ip$ pairing orders.

**Lattice model and the quasi-particle spectrum in mean field:** The graphene system is composed of two sublattices which are labelled as A and B, as shown in Fig. 1. If the superconducting pairing is between two sublattices, the pairing Hamiltonian can be written at the mean field level as follows,

$$H = -t \sum_{i,a,\sigma} [A_{i,\sigma} B_{i+\delta_a \sigma} + H.C.]$$

$$\sum_{i,a,\sigma} [\Delta_{\mathbf{q}} (A_{i,\sigma} B_{i+\delta_a \sigma} - A_{i,\sigma} B_{i+\delta_a \sigma}) + H.C.] + \mu \sum_{i,\sigma} \Delta_{\mathbf{q}} (A_{i,\sigma} B_{i,\sigma} + B_{i,\sigma} B_{i+\delta_a \sigma}),$$

(4)

where the index $i$ sums over sites on the A sublattice. $A_{i,\sigma}$ and $B_{i,\sigma}$ are creation operators for two sublattices and $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ are spin indices. The first term describes free band where $t \sim 2.8eV$ is the nearest-neighbor hopping constant. In the pairing term, $\Delta_{\mathbf{q}}$ is the spin singlet bond pairing order parameter which has $d+id'$ symmetry under the point group $D_6$, i.e., $\Delta_{\mathbf{q}} = \Delta_{\mathbf{q}} \exp(\frac{\pi}{3})$, where $\Delta$ is the pairing strength. The phase of the order parameter winds by $4\pi$ around each hexagonal plaquette(shown in Fig. 1). This ansatz preserves the rotational and translational symmetry of the original lattice but breaks time reversal symmetry(TRS) manifestly. The chemical potential, $\mu$, can be tuned by the gate voltage.

In momentum space, we can rewrite the Hamiltonian in the form: $H = \sum_{i,\mathbf{q},\sigma} \Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{q}} \Psi_{\mathbf{q}} + \text{const}$, where we defined the Nambu spinor $\Psi_{\mathbf{q}} = (A_{\mathbf{q},\uparrow}, B_{\mathbf{q},\downarrow}, A_{\mathbf{q},\downarrow}, B_{\mathbf{q},\uparrow})$ and the $4 \times 4$ matrix $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is:

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{q}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu & f(\mathbf{q}) & 0 & \Delta(\mathbf{q}) \\ f(\mathbf{q})^* & 0 & -\mu & \Delta(-\mathbf{q}) \\ 0 & \Delta(-\mathbf{q})^* & 0 & -f(\mathbf{q})^* \\ \Delta(\mathbf{q})^* & \Delta(-\mathbf{q}) & -f(\mathbf{q}) & \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

(5)

where the function $f(\mathbf{q})$ is defined by $f(\mathbf{q}) = -\mathbf{q} \sum_{i} e^{i\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{q}}}$ and $\Delta(\mathbf{q})$ is defined by Eq. (1).

At low energy, we can linearize the mean field Hamiltonian Eq. (5) near the two inequivalent BZ corners $K_{\pm}$. Near $K_{\pm}, f(K_{\pm} + \mathbf{q})$ can be expanded as:

$$f_{\pm}(\mathbf{q}) = f(K_{\pm} + \mathbf{q}) = v(i k_x + k_y)$$

(6)

where we introduced a valley dependent function $f_{\pm}$. The velocity of the Dirac particles is $v = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Note hereafter $k_x, k_y$ always refer to the relative vectors measured from $K_{\pm}$. By substituting Eq. (3) and Eq. (6) into Eq. (5), we
obtain

$$\tilde{H}_\pm(\tilde{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} v(\pm k_y \sigma_x - k_x \sigma_y) - \mu & \Delta_\pm(\tilde{k}) \\ \Delta_{\pm}^\dagger(\tilde{k}) & -v(\pm k_x \sigma_x - k_y \sigma_y) - \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

(7)

where \(\sigma_{x,y}\) refer to the Pauli matrices. The linearized pairing matrices \(\Delta_\pm(\tilde{k})\) for the \(\pm\) valleys take the form,

$$\tilde{\Delta}_+(\tilde{k}) = \Delta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3e^{i\pi/4} \\ \frac{1}{2}(-ik_x - k_y)e^{i\pi} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(8)

and \(\tilde{\Delta}_-(\tilde{k}) = \tilde{\Delta}_+(\tilde{k})^T\). It can be easily checked that \(\tilde{H}_+(\tilde{k})\) and \(\tilde{H}_-(\tilde{k})\) transform into each other under spatial inversion, \(A_g = B_{-g}\). Here we note that the \(d + id'\) pairing ansatz break TRS but preserves inversion symmetry so that the valley degeneracy is unbroken.

![FIG. 2: The energy gap \(E_{\text{gap}}\) as a function of chemical potential for pairing strength \(\Delta = 0.001t\) and \(\Delta = 0.005t\), i.e., \(~3\)mev and \(~15\)mev separately. Notably, \(E_{\text{gap}}\) is linear at low doping region and saturates to a constant \(E_{\text{sat}}\) when \(\mu \gg \Delta\).](image)

The elementary excitation spectrum can be obtained through Bogoliubov diagonalization. Furthermore, we can find the energy gap \(E_{\text{gap}}\) corresponding to the minimum excitation energy. It can be shown rigorously that for \(\mu \ll \Delta\), \(E_{\text{gap}} = \mu\). In Fig. 2 we plot the gap as a function of chemical potential. \(E_{\text{gap}}\) is linear in the \(\mu \ll \Delta\) (low doping) region and saturates to a constant \(E_{\text{sat}}\) for \(\mu \gg \Delta\). This unique dependence of the energy gap on the chemical potential in the \(d + id'\) superconducting state stems from the mixture of the \(s\)-wave and the \(p + ip\) wave components. Since the \(p + ip\) wave component dominates at low doping, the gap depends linearly on the chemical potential. Since the \(s\)-wave component dominates in the high doping region, the gap saturates above a constant \(E_{\text{sat}}\) superconducting order parameter strength.

Andreev conductance through \(S/N\) junction: In the following, we show that the mixture of the \(s\)-wave and \(p + ip\)-wave in the \(d + id'\) superconducting state of graphene results in a distinctive signature in the Andreev conductance. Consider a \(S/N\) graphene junction with the \(x < 0\) region being the graphene \(d + id'\) superconductor and the \(x > 0\) region being the normal state of graphene. We assume that the electrostatic potential on the \(S\) side is lower than that on the \(N\) side by a value \(U_0 > 0\), which can be fixed through the gate voltage or by doping. A large \(U_0\) implies a heavily doped superconductor. Due to the spin and valley degeneracy, we can restrict the incident state from \(N\) side to be spin up and from valley \(\uparrow + \downarrow\) and multiply the conductance by 4 at the end.

Under certain voltage bias \(V\), we expect the incidence of a particle excitation with energy \(\varepsilon = eV\) from the \(x > 0\) side into the junction at \(x = 0\). The general form of the incident wave function is \(\Psi_i^s = \Phi^s(-k_x, k_y)e^{i(-k_xx + k_yy)}\) where \(k_x(k_y)\) is the longitudinal(transverse) component of the wave vector. In the scattering process, we assume energy and the transverse component of the wave vector is conserved. The reflected states can be either an electron state \(\Psi_r^e = \Phi^e(k_x, k_y)e^{i(k_xx + k_yy)}\) or a hole state \(\Psi_r^h = \Phi^h(k'_x, k'_y)e^{i(k'_xx + k'_yy)}\) where \(k'_x\) is determined by \(vk'_x = |\varepsilon - E_F|\), it is negative for \(\varepsilon < E_F\) (retro-reflection) and positive for \(\varepsilon > E_F\) ( specular reflection) [7]. It can also be imaginary if \(vk'_y > |\varepsilon - E_F|\) and the corresponding hole state is an evanescent state near the boundary. \(\Phi^s\) and \(\Phi^h\) are 4-component spinor eigenstates of Eq. (7) on \(N\) side(for which \(\Delta = 0\)) corresponding to electron and hole excitations, respectively.

On the \(S\) side, we diagonalize Eq. (7) and obtain the Bogoliubov quasi-particle states. The general form of the quasi-particle states on the \(S\) side is denoted by \(\Phi^s(k'_x, k'_y)e^{i(k'_xx + k'_yy)}\) where \(k'_x\) is the longitudinal component of the wave vector on the \(S\) side. The 4-component spinor \(\Phi^s(k'_x, k'_y)\) is called electron-like (hole-like) if the summation of the square of absolute values of the first two components is larger (less) that than of the last two components. For each \(s\) and \(k_y\), we can obtain four quasi-particle states. Two quasi-particle states are picked out among four. The chosen states satisfy one of the following three conditions: (1) \(k'_x\) is real and positive and \(\Phi^s(k'_x, k'_y)\) is hole-like; (2) \(k'_x\) is real and negative and \(\Phi^s(k'_x, k'_y)\) is electron-like; (3) \(k'_x\) is complex and the imaginary part is negative. The last case corresponds to evanescent states near the interface. By matching the wave functions of both sides at the interface \(x = 0\), we can obtain the reflection coefficients \(r_s\) and \(r_A\) for states \(\Psi_r^e\) and \(\Psi_r^h\), respectively. The quantum conductance through the \(S/N\) junction can be calculated using the Blonder-Tinkham-Klapwijk formula [17],

$$G = G_0 \int_0^{\pi/2} \left(1 - |r(eV, \alpha)|^2 + n_{th}|r_A(eV, \alpha)|^2\right) \cos \alpha d\alpha$$

(9)

where \(\alpha = tg^{-1}(k'_x/k'_y)\) is the incident angle and \(G_0 = \frac{4e^2}{h}N(eV)\) is the ballistic conductance of the graphene sheet with density of states \(N(eV) = \frac{3(eV + eV)}{W}\) (W is the width of the graphene sheet). \(n_{th}\) equals \(1\) if the hole state on the \(N\) side is propagating, and it is \(0\) if the state is evanescent.

For ease of comparing our results with the \(s\)-wave results in [3], we depict the normalized quantum conductance \(G/G_0\) (as a function of bias voltage) of the \(S/N\)
FIG. 3: The normalized quantum conductance of a S/N graphene junction is shown in (a) with $E_f > E_{\text{gap}}$ and (b) with $E_f < E_{\text{gap}}$ for heavily doped superconductor ($U \approx 0.1t$, i.e., 300 meV). Also shown in (c) the normalizing order parameters, i.e., conventional s-wave, extended s-wave (bond pairing) and $d + id'$ wave (bond pairing) in the graphene junction with the S side being heavily doped superconducting graphene for two cases, i.e., for $E_F > E_{\text{gap}}$ and $E_F < E_{\text{gap}}$ in Fig. 3(a) and Fig. 3(b) respectively, where $E_{\text{gap}}$ is the saturated gap for $\mu \gg \Delta$ shown in Fig. 2.

For $E_F > E_{\text{gap}}$, $G$ monotonically decreases in the region $eV < \Delta$ and saturates to a constant value quickly as $eV > \Delta$. The saturation value slightly decreases with $E_f$. For $E_f < E_{\text{gap}}$, the line shape is similar to the s-wave case, except that the unbiased conductance is nearly 2 instead of $\frac{4}{3}$. It is noteworthy that $G$ is always zero at the point $E_f = eV$ in Fig. 3(b), since there is no Andreev hole reflected back at this point for any angle of incidence.

The most remarkable difference between the $G/G_0 = eV$ curves for the conventional s-wave case and the $d + id'$ wave case in this paper is the value of the unbiased conductance, i.e., $\frac{4}{3}$ for s-wave and nearly 2 for our case. In ref. 7, the lines are calculated in the large $U_0$ limit. To make things more clear, we calculated the unbiased $G/G_0$ as a function of $U_0$ with several different choices of $E_f$ and $\Delta$ values. In Fig. 3(c), we plot a typical comparison for three kinds of pairing order parameters. The results for the conventional s-wave and extended s-wave cases show little difference. For both cases the unbiased $G/G_0$ quickly converges to the value of $4/3$. But for the $d + id'$ wave case considered here, $G/G_0$ decreases slowly from 2 and converges to $4/3$ after $U_0 > 10t$, which is far beyond the single band edge. The most fundamental difference between the $d + id'$ pairing ansatz and others is that it breaks time reversal symmetry and has an emergent mixed s-wave and p-wave pairing at low energy. It would be interesting to mention that in a recent conductance measurement on a S/N/S structure, which is a realization of Andreev billiards, the Andreev conductance is always peaked at zero voltage bias $[10]$. This result is consistent with our calculation and may shed new light on the superconducting pairing symmetry of the graphene.

Realization of $d + id'$-wave superconducting state in graphene: It has been shown that the $d + id'$-wave superconducting state in graphene is a natural mean field solution in the presence of strong electron correlation $[10]$. Actually, the honeycomb lattice is closely related to triangular lattice (with same lattice rotational symmetry) in which the superconducting state is believed to be of $d \pm id'$ $[11, 12]$. Although the electron correlation in graphene is probably not strong enough to produce a $d + id'$ superconducting by itself, it is possible to realize the $d + id'$ superconducting state by including the proximity effect through a connection to another superconductor. For instance, let us consider the geometry where the zigzag edge of graphene sheet is laterally connected to the $[110]$ direction of a d-wave pairing superconductor on a square lattice (more specifically, a high Tc cuprate superconductor). In the coordinate system we used to discuss the symmetry of graphene, the order parameter in the dSC side is $d_{xy}$. The proximity effect is therefore expected to induce a $d_{xy}$ component in the graphene side near the interface, which should be continually evolved into a $d + id'$ pairing symmetry in the bulk due to the presence of electron correlation. Another possible realization is to put a dSC on top of a graphene sheet. In the long wave length description, the effect of lattice mismatch is irrelevant and $d + id'$-wave superconducting state can also be induced. More radically, it is possible that even a s-wave superconductor may induce $d + id'$ order as well, as the conductance measurement $[10]$ that we mentioned earlier indicates. The immediate consequence of the presence of the $d + id'$ order is the spontaneous supercurrent along the interface. A self-consistent study of such proximity effect will be presented elsewhere.

In summary, we have shown that a combination of s-wave and $p + ip$-wave pairing order parameters emerges at low energy in the $d_{xy} - y_2 + id'_{xy}$ spin singlet pairing superconducting state in graphene, which can be generated by electronic correlation as well as induced through a proximity effect with a superconductor. This mixture of s-wave and $p + ip$ results in distinctive superconducting gap functions and novel behavior of the Andreev conductance.
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