Spin polarization and dichroism effects induced by an electric field
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We show that electric field can induce spin polarization and dichroism effects in angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) in spin orbit coupling systems. The physical origin behind the effects essentially is the same as the intrinsic spin Hall effect induced by the electric field. Since the ARPES experiments have both energy and momentum resolutions, the origin of the intrinsic spin Hall effect can be directly verified by the ARPES experiments for individual band even if there is no net spin current.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The field of spintronics, which manipulate the spin degree of freedom in solid state devices, has been an active field of research. One important research in this field is to create, control and detect spin current. Recently, a spin current source has been suggested. It is proposed theoretically that an intrinsic spin Hall current can be generated in strongly spin-orbit coupled systems by external electric field. The intrinsic spin Hall current can lead to an intrinsic spin Hall effect which could be measured in a broader class of spin-orbit coupled models. It has been evolved into a subject of intense theoretical research.

The intrinsic spin Hall effect can be easily derived from the single particle quantum mechanics. In real materials, due to disorder, theoretically it is still controversial whether the effect exists or not. Recently, two experimental groups have reported that the spin Hall effect has been observed in two-dimensional hole systems. However, it is still a debated controversial issue whether the experimental result stems from the intrinsic spin Hall effect or other physical effects, such as extrinsic spin Hall effects due to impurities and boundary effects. Therefore, independent experiments are still required to verify the effect.

In this paper, we show that electric field can induce spin polarization and dichroism effects in angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) in spin orbit coupled systems without any magnetization effect. The effect is originated from the same physics as the intrinsic spin Hall effect. Therefore, the ARPES can be used to detect the intrinsic spin Hall effect in spin-orbit coupled systems. The ARPES is a very powerful tool to study condensed matter materials, such as cuprates. Compared to other experimental techniques, there are several advantages. First, the ARPES experiments have both energy and momentum resolutions which provide the detailed electronic physics of individual band. In particular, we will show that it is not required to have spin current flowing in samples in order to verify the intrinsic spin Hall effect. Therefore, there could be no magnetization at the edges of samples. The signal is induced purely by electric field. Secondly, the ARPES has already been used to measure spin-orbit couplings. The experimental setup discussed here is straightforward. Finally, there are several independent quantities which can be measured to test the physics of the intrinsic spin Hall effect.

II. SPIN POLARIZATION AND DICHRISM EFFECTS BY ELECTRIC FIELD

Before discussing ARPES measurements, let us consider the original physics of the intrinsic spin Hall effect. The result that we want to emphasize is that, in general, there is dissipationless spin Hall current in each band in spin-orbit coupled systems even if the total net spin Hall current is zero. Imagining two bands which is split by the spin-orbit coupling from a spin-degenerated band, there is no net spin current created by external electric field if both bands are completely filled. However, in each band, there is a spin Hall current. The net spin Hall current is zero because the contributions from two filled bands cancel each other. This result is obvious if one follows the argument that the spin Hall current is not generated by the displacement of electron distribution function, but by anomalous velocity due to the Berry curvature of Bloch states. The consequence from this picture is very important to experimental techniques such as the ARPES which can access the physics of individual band.

To show the above analysis, let’s follow the formalism given in Ref. 3. Let us consider a general spin-orbit coupled model described by Hamiltonian \( H(P, S) \). In the presence of a constant external electric field, we choose vector potential \( A = -\vec{E} t \). The total Hamiltonian becomes time dependent, \( H(t) = H(P - e\vec{E} t, S) \). Let \( |G, t\rangle \) be an instantaneous ground state of the time-dependent Hamiltonian,

\[
H(t)|G, t\rangle = E_G(t)|G, t\rangle.
\]

By first-order time-dependent perturbation theory, we have
we have new ground-state wave functions
\[ |\Psi_G(t)\rangle = \exp\left\{ -i \int_0^t dt' E_G(t') \right\} |G, t\rangle + \sum_n \frac{|n, t\rangle}{E_n(t) - E_G(t)} \left( 1 - e^{i(E_n(t) - E_G(t))t} \right), \]

where \(|n, t\rangle\) are excited instantaneous eigenstates. Now, let many body ground state be two bands split by spin orbit coupling. The ground state wave function for each band is given by \( |G_1\rangle = \Pi_{k<k_F}|k, \lambda_1\rangle \) and \( |G_2\rangle = \Pi_{k<k_F}|k, \lambda_2\rangle \), respectively, where \(|k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2\rangle\) label single particle states. Thus, in adiabatic approximation in the presence of electric field \( \vec{E} \), we have new ground-state wave functions \(|G_1(\vec{E})\rangle\) and \(|G_2(\vec{E})\rangle\),

\[ |G_1(\vec{E})\rangle = |G_1\rangle + i e \vec{E} \cdot \sum_{k<k_F} \hat{B}(\vec{k})|k, \lambda_1\rangle \prod_{k' \neq k} |k', \lambda_1\rangle, \]

\[ |G_2(\vec{E})\rangle = |G_2\rangle - i e \vec{E} \cdot \sum_{k<k_F} \hat{B}(\vec{k})|k, \lambda_1\rangle \prod_{k' \neq k} |k', \lambda_1\rangle, \]

where \( \hat{B}(\vec{k}) \) is given by \( \hat{B}(\vec{k}) = 1/\Delta(k)|k, \lambda_1\rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{q}}|k, \lambda_1\rangle \), and \( \Delta(k) \) is spin-orbit splitting energy. The adiabatic approximation is valid when \( h e \vec{E}/k \Delta(k) \ll 1 \). \( \hat{B}(\vec{k}) \) is precisely the Berry curvature of the Bloch wave vectors of the bands and is nonvanishing in spin-orbit coupled systems in general. The second term in Eq. (3) is responsible for the intrinsic spin Hall effect. From the wave functions, it is clear that the spin Hall current is contributed by all the particles in the bands. Even if the two bands are completely filled and the total spin current is zero because the spin currents in the two bands run in opposite directions with equal amplitude and cancel each other, the physics of the intrinsic spin Hall effect still exists in each band. Therefore, we can detect the intrinsic spin Hall effect independently if we can manage to observe the second term of wavefunctions induced by the electric field. Moreover, the detection can be done even in completely occupied bands if the individual band can be access separately. Modern photoemission experiments have achieved remarkable energy and momentum resolution. It should be an ideal technique to measure such effects.

In the photoemission experiment, the transition probability between an initial state \( \Psi_f(N) \) and final state \( \Psi_f(N) \) is given by

\[ \Omega_f = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi_f(N)|\Delta|\Psi_f(N)\rangle|^2 \delta(\hbar \omega_f - \hbar \omega_i - \hbar \omega_o), \]

where \( \Delta \), under dipole approximation, is given by

\[ \Delta = \frac{e}{2mc} (A \cdot \hat{P} + \hat{P} \cdot A) = \frac{e}{mc} \hat{A} \cdot \hat{P}, \]

where \( \hat{A} \) is the electromagnetic vector potential and we have assumed that \( \nabla \cdot \hat{A} = 0 \), and \( \hat{P} \) is the electron momentum operator. The total intensity is obtained by sum over all the initial and final states in the system. In principle, the photoemission experiments can provide information specified by four quantities, including energy \( \omega_o \), momentum \( \vec{k} \), spin \( \sigma(\vec{m}) \) which is associated to a specified direction \( \vec{m} \), and the polarization of photon source \( h \). The total intensity of photoelectrons can be viewed as a function of the above quantities, i.e., \( I(\omega, \vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})) = \sum_i \Omega_i \). To simplify the discussion, we would like to take familiar three step approximation of photoemission which is a good approximation in general. In this approximation, the total intensity is proportional to the product of matrix element and single spectral function \( A(\vec{k}, \omega) \) which provides the information of the band structure, namely,

\[ I(\omega, \vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})) \propto M(\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})) A(\vec{k}, \omega), \]

where the matrix element is given by

\[ M(\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})) = \sum_{\vec{f}} |\langle \psi_{\vec{f}}|\Delta|\psi_{\vec{f}}\rangle|^2. \]

In spin-orbit coupled systems, the matrix element includes the important information of the intrinsic spin Hall effect. Let us consider the model discussed earlier. In the presence of electric field, plugging Eq. (3) into the above equation, we obtain

\[ \Delta M(\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m}), \lambda) = M(\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})) - M(\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})) \]

\[ = -i e \vec{E} \cdot \hat{B}(\vec{k}, \lambda) \sum_{\lambda' + \lambda} \text{Re} \langle \psi_{\vec{f}}|\Delta|\psi_{\vec{f}}\rangle \]

\[ \times |\langle \psi_{\vec{f}}|\Delta|\psi_{\vec{f}}\rangle|^2, \]

where \( M(\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m}), \lambda) \) is the matrix element without the external electric field. \( \Delta M(\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m}), \lambda) \) describes the response to the external electric field and is proportional to the Berry curvature of Bloch states in momentum space due to the spin orbit coupling. This is the main result of the paper. \( \hat{B}(\vec{k}) \) is purely imaginary. In principle, we should be able to calculate the terms in the above equation theoretically for different materials and measure the quantities in the photoemission experiments. In the following, we would like to simplify the results for several spin-orbit Hamiltonians first and discuss the qualitative measurements which can be done to test the prediction while the detailed calculation for different materials is left to be reported elsewhere.

Before we discuss specific models, we would like to state the general properties from Eq. (8) for a band which is split to two bands by spin orbit coupling: (1) \( \Delta M(\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m}), \lambda) \) is directly proportional to the external electric field and Berry curvature. Therefore, it carries the sign (or direction) information of the wave vector \( \vec{k} \). (2) For two bands which are labeled by \( \lambda = \pm \), \( \Delta M(\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m}), +) = -\Delta M(\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m}), -) \) which
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reflects the same nature of the spin Hall current, namely, the spin Hall currents are exactly opposite in two bands. (3) Defining

$$g(\vec{k}) = \sum_f \text{Re}(\psi_f|\hat{D}|\vec{k}, +)(\psi_f|\hat{D}|\vec{k}, -)^*,$$  

(9)

which is determined by the detailed properties of the band. Although it is not easy to calculate $g(\vec{k})$, we can make use of its symmetry properties. We will illustrate this point later.

Let us now consider the Rashba spin orbit coupling Hamiltonian, which is given by

$$H_R = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} + \gamma (P_x S_y - P_y S_x).$$  

(10)

The eigenstates are given by

$$|\vec{k}, \lambda\rangle = U_R|\lambda\rangle,$$  

(11)

with eigenvalues given by $\epsilon(\vec{k}, \lambda) = h^2 k^2/2m + \gamma \lambda h |\vec{k}|$, $\lambda = \pm$. $U_R = e^{-i\phi} \phi = \tan^{-1}(k_x/k_y)$. We obtain

$$\Delta M^h[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})] + ] = \frac{\epsilon_{ij} E k_j}{y\hbar^2} g(\vec{k}),$$  

(12)

where $\epsilon_{ij}$ is a rank-2 antisymmetric tensor. If there is a Dresselhaus spin orbit coupling term due to the lack of inversion symmetry in bulk, which is given by

$$H_D = \beta (P_x S_y - P_y S_x).$$  

(13)

The result for $\Delta M^h[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m}), +]$ in the presence of both spin orbit coupling terms is given by

$$\Delta M^h[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m}), +] = (\gamma^2 - \beta^2) \frac{\epsilon_{ij} E k_j}{\epsilon_{ij}(k)^2} g(\vec{k}),$$  

(14)

where $\epsilon_{ij}(k) = k \sqrt{\gamma^2 + \beta^2} + 2\gamma\beta \sin(2\phi)$.

For the Luttinger spin orbit coupled model,\(^1\) which is given by

$$H_L(t) = \frac{1}{2m} \left[ \left(\gamma_1 + \frac{5}{2} \gamma_2 \right)(P_1)^2 + 2\gamma_2 (P_2)^2 \right].$$  

(15)

For a given $\vec{P}=h\vec{k}$, the Hamiltonian has four eigenstates

$$H[\vec{k}, \lambda] = \epsilon_s(\vec{k})|\vec{k}, \lambda\rangle,$$  

(16)

where $\epsilon_s(\vec{k}) = (h^2 k^2/2m)\left[\gamma_1 + 5/2 - 2\lambda^2 \gamma_2\right]$. For $\lambda = \pm 3/2$ and $\lambda = \pm 1/2$, they are referred to as the heavy hole band and light hole band, respectively. Without losing the generality, we set the electric field along $z$ direction. For the light hole band, we obtain

$$\Delta M^h[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m}), \pm \frac{1}{2}] = \frac{\epsilon E (k_x^2 + k_y^2)}{2\hbar^2} g(\vec{k}).$$  

(17)

$\Delta M^h[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m}), \lambda]$ is the change of matrix elements induced by external electric field. Instead of measuring the direct value of the change, which is rather difficult to do experimentally, we propose several quantities which are relatively easier to be measured. We consider a lattice with a mirror plane. Let $\vec{n}$ denote the mirror plane of the lattice and $\hat{R}_g$ be the reflection operator associated to it. For a general purpose, we also consider polarized light. If the direction of the light is in the mirror plane, we have the following identity:

$$\hat{R}_g \Delta \hat{R}_g^{-1} = \Delta L,$$  

(18)

where $\Delta L$ denote the dipole coupling operators for the right and left polarized photon sources respectively. Under the reflection, the final state $\psi_f[\vec{k}, E, \sigma(\vec{m})]$ is changed to $\psi_f[\hat{R}_g \vec{k}, E(\hat{R}_g), \hat{R}_g \sigma(\vec{m})]$. There are several special cases. $\hat{R}_g \vec{k} = \vec{k}$ when $\vec{k}$ is in the mirror plane and $\hat{R}_g \vec{k} = -\vec{k}$ when $\vec{k}$ is perpendicular to the mirror plane. $\hat{R}_g \sigma(\vec{m}) = \sigma(\vec{m})$ when the direction for the spin measurement, $\vec{m}$, is perpendicular to the mirror plane and $\hat{R}_g \sigma(\vec{m}) = -\sigma(\vec{m})$ when it is in the mirror plane. Associated with these special cases, we can define the corresponding quantities $D_l$ as follows.

(a) $\vec{k}, \vec{m}$ is in the mirror plane:

$$D_0[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})] = l[l[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})] - l[l[\vec{k}, -\sigma(\vec{m})]].$$  

(19)

(b) $\vec{k}$ is in the mirror plane and $\vec{m}$ is perpendicular to the mirror plane:

$$D_1[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})] = l[l[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})] - l[l[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})]].$$  

(20)

(c) $\vec{m}$ is in the mirror plane and $\vec{k}$ is perpendicular to the mirror plane

$$D_2[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})] = l[l[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})] - l[l[\vec{k}, -\sigma(\vec{m})]].$$  

(21)

(d) $\vec{k}, \vec{m}$ both are perpendicular to the mirror plane

$$D_3[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})] = l[l[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})] - l[l[\vec{k}, -\sigma(\vec{m})]].$$  

(22)

Without the external electric field, the above four quantities are zero due to the reflection symmetry.

All of the above four quantities are proportional to $\Delta M^h[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m}), \lambda]$ for each given band. In particular, we define the spin polarization when both $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{m}$ are in the mirror plane

$$P(\vec{k}) = \sum_{\alpha = \pm 1} l[l[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m})] - l[l[\vec{k}, -\sigma(\vec{m})]].$$  

(23)

and the dichroism when $\vec{k}$ is in the mirror plane

$$D(\vec{k}) = \sum_{\sigma} [l[l(\vec{k}, \sigma) - l[l(\vec{k}, \sigma)].$$  

(24)

Both $P$ and $D$ are proportional to $\Delta M^h[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m}), \lambda]$, i.e.,

$$P(\vec{k}), D(\vec{k}) \propto \Delta M^h[\vec{k}, \sigma(\vec{m}), \lambda].$$  

(25)

Since both of the above quantities are proportional to external electric field, it is easy to verify whether the spin polarization and dichroism can be induced by the electric field experimentally. In a material without a mirror plane, it is still possible to detect the effect by observing the change of $P$ and
bands reaches us consider the point when the energy gap between two geometries which provide two independent experimentalism effects by the electric field can be detected in both geometries. Using the circular polarized light, the dichroism effect can be implemented straightforwardly in modern ARPES labs. In both setups, the applied electric field is normal to the mirror plane which is in the mirror plane. In the geometry one, photo electrons are also in the mirror plane and in the geometry two, photoelectrons are in a plane perpendicular to the mirror plane.

\[ E = x \% \cdot \frac{e}{2\hbar e} \sqrt{\frac{em_0}{\gamma_2 (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)}} \]  

where \( m_0 \) is the mass of electron and \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \) are conventionally defined spin orbit coupling parameters in valence bands of semiconductors. We can estimate the value of the electric field for various different hole doped semiconductors. For Si, Ge, GaAs and InSb, the values of the electric field are estimated to be 41, 28.6, 8.2, and 1.2 V/mm, respectively, when \( e=10 \) meV and two percent polarization effect is produced.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

One important issue is the effect of impurities. Impurities have a major effect on transport quantities, such as resistivity. However ARPES is an experimental technique which directly measures the spectrum function in a momentum and energy resolved space. The effect of impurities is limited. For example, ARPES has been proved to be one important experimental technique in the study of high temperature superconductors which are very dirty materials. The effect of the impurities in ARPES spectrum is just to broaden the width of the spectrum. As soon as the broadening does not exceed the spin orbit splitting gap, our general results in this paper is valid.

In conclusion, we have shown that electric field can induce spin polarization and dichroism effects in spin orbit coupled systems in ARPES. They share the same physical origins as the intrinsic spin Hall effect. The values of the effects are exact opposite in the two bands which are split by spin orbit coupling. Since either spin resolved ARPES or ARPES with circularly polarized photons can detect the effects, our predictions can be independently checked, which is crucial to resolve the present controversy regarding the existence of the intrinsic spin Hall effect.
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