

Again one can construct the currents and Noether's theorem etc. — this is left as an ~~exercise~~ exercise for the reader.

III. E.3) Local Internal Symmetries — Gauge Invariance Revisited.

Suppose we have a theory which is described by a Lagrangian with a global internal symmetry.

$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi)$ such that

$$\sum_a \delta \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi) = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_a \delta \phi^{\alpha}_{(x)} = -it^a{}_{\alpha\beta} \omega^a \phi^{\beta}_{(x)}$$

That is \mathcal{L} is invariant under global rotations of ϕ^α through angles ω^a

$$U^{-1}(\omega) \phi^{\alpha}_{(x)} U(\omega) = U(\omega)_{\alpha\beta} \phi^{\beta}_{(x)}$$

Now suppose we ask given a globally invariant theory how do we make it invariant under local rotations. These for which ω^a may be different at each space-time point i.e. $\omega^a = \omega^a(x)$

Then we have that terms which do not contain space-time derivatives and are globally invariant are also locally invariant - the space-time dependence of ω^a is unproblematic. However, derivatives of ψ^a now transform non-covariantly under local rotations
 For example

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_Q \psi^a(x) &\equiv \omega^a(x) \delta_Q \psi^a(x) \\ \delta_Q(\omega^a) \psi^a(x) &= -i\omega^a(x) (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} \psi^{\beta}(x) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_Q \partial_\mu \psi^a(x) &= -i \partial_\mu (\omega^a(x) (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} \psi^{\beta}(x)) \\ \partial_\mu [\omega^a(x) \delta_Q \psi^a(x)] &= -i \omega^a(x) (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\mu \psi^{\beta}(x) \\ &\quad - i (\partial_\mu \omega^a(x)) (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} \psi^{\beta}(x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have an extra term in the transformation law of $\partial_\mu \varphi^\alpha$ proportional to $\partial_\mu \omega^a$. $\partial_\mu \varphi^\alpha$ is no longer in the same representation of G that φ^α is.

Now the global invariance of \mathcal{L} implies

$$\delta_Q \mathcal{L}(\varphi, \partial_\mu \varphi) = 0$$

$$= \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \varphi^\alpha(x)} \delta_Q \varphi^\alpha + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_\mu \varphi^\alpha(x)} \delta_Q \partial_\mu \varphi^\alpha(x)$$

$$= \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \varphi^\alpha(x)} \delta_Q \varphi^\alpha + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_\mu \varphi^\alpha(x)} \partial_\mu \delta_Q \varphi^\alpha(x)$$

Under local gauge transformations however the derivative terms become

$$\delta_Q \mathcal{L}(\varphi, \partial_\mu \varphi)$$

$$= \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \varphi^\alpha(x)} \delta_Q \varphi^\alpha(x) + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_\mu \varphi^\alpha(x)} \delta_Q \partial_\mu \varphi^\alpha(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \omega^a(x) \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \varphi^a(x)} \delta_a \varphi^a(x) + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_\mu \varphi^a(x)} \partial_\mu (\omega^a(x) \delta_a \varphi^a(x)) \\
 &= \omega^a(x) \left[\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \varphi^a(x)} \delta_a \varphi^a(x) + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_\mu \varphi^a(x)} \partial_\mu \delta_a \varphi^a(x) \right] \\
 &\quad + (\partial_\mu \omega^a(x)) \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_\mu \varphi^a(x)} \delta_a \varphi^a(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta_a \mathcal{L} = \omega^a(x) \delta_a \mathcal{L} + [\partial_\mu \omega^a(x)] J_a^\mu(x)$$

where $J_a^\mu(x)$ is the global symmetry

Noether current $J_a^\mu(x) \equiv \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_\mu \varphi^a} \delta_a \varphi^a$.

Hence even if \mathcal{L} is globally invariant

$$\delta_a \mathcal{L} = 0 \quad \text{we have that}$$

$$\delta_a (\omega^a \mathcal{L}) = [\partial_\mu \omega^a(x)] J_a^\mu(x) \quad \text{is not locally}$$

invariant

As you well know, in order to restore invariance of the Lagrangian we must introduce new degrees of freedom in our theory to compensate for the change in \mathcal{L} . These are the gauge fields (or Yang-Mills fields). In order to determine the character of these fields we consider what is necessary for symmetry restoration. In particular we know that if \mathcal{L} is invariant locally as it is globally, then \mathcal{L} was constructed to be invariant.

Hence we must modify the derivative to the covariant derivative

$$\begin{aligned} (D_\mu \psi)^\alpha &= D_\mu^\alpha \psi^\beta(x) \\ &\equiv \partial_\mu \psi^\alpha(x) + i A_\mu^a (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\beta(x) \end{aligned}$$

where A_μ^a are the Yang-Mills fields - they are 4-vectors since the derivative must be a 4-vector. Since there are dim \mathfrak{g} angles of rotation ω^a that violate the gauge invariance we must introduce dim \mathfrak{g} gauge fields to compensate for

these transformations - hence the superscript "a" on A_μ^a .

The gauge transformation properties of A_μ are determined from the requirement that $(D_\mu \psi)^\alpha$ transforms

locally just as ψ^α and hence ψ^α did globally. Then since

$\mathcal{L}(\psi, D_\mu \psi)$ is globally invariant

$\mathcal{L}(\psi, D_\mu \psi)$ will be locally invariant!

Hence

$$\delta_a(\omega) (D_\mu \psi)^\alpha \equiv -i\omega^a(x) (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} (D_\mu \psi)^\beta$$

$$= \partial_\mu \delta_a(\omega) \psi^\alpha(x) + i A_\mu^a(x) (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} \delta_a(\omega) \psi^\beta(x) + i (\delta_a(\omega) A_\mu^a(x)) (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\beta(x)$$

expanding the RHS we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -i\omega^a (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\mu \varphi^{\beta}_{(x)} - i(\partial_\mu \omega^a) (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} \varphi^{\beta}_{(x)} \\
 &\quad + iA_\mu^a (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} (-i\omega^b (T^b)_{\beta\gamma} \varphi^\gamma_{(x)}) \\
 &\quad + i(\partial_\mu \omega^a) A_\mu^a (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} \varphi^{\beta}_{(x)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -i\omega^a (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} \left[\underbrace{\partial_\mu \varphi^{\beta}_{(x)} + iA_\mu^b (T^b)_{\beta\gamma} \varphi^\gamma_{(x)}}_{= (D_\mu \varphi)^\beta} \right] \\
 &\quad - \omega^a (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} A_\mu^b (T^b)_{\beta\gamma} \varphi^\gamma \\
 &\quad - i\partial_\mu \omega^a (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} \varphi^\beta + A_\mu^a \omega^b (T^a T^b)_{\alpha\gamma} \varphi^\gamma \\
 &\quad + (\partial_\mu \omega^a) A_\mu^a (iT^a)_{\alpha\beta} \varphi^\beta
 \end{aligned}$$

⇒

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\partial_\mu \omega^a) A_\mu^a (iT^a)_{\alpha\beta} \varphi^\beta \\
 &= +\partial_\mu \omega^a (iT^a)_{\alpha\beta} \varphi^\beta \\
 &\quad + \omega^a A_\mu^b (T^a T^b - T^b T^a)_{\alpha\gamma} \varphi^\gamma \\
 &= [\partial_\mu \omega^c + f_{abc} \omega^a A_\mu^b] (iT^c)_{\alpha\beta} \varphi^\beta
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we find the ^{gauge} transfer matrix of the Yang Mills field

$$\mathcal{D}_Q(\omega) A_\mu^{(x)} \equiv \partial_\mu \omega^{(x)} + f_{abc} \omega^{(x)} A_\mu^{(x)}$$

and the covariant derivative transformation is assumed

$$\mathcal{D}_Q(\omega) (D_\mu \varphi)^\alpha = -i \omega^{(x)} (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} (D_\mu \varphi)^\beta.$$

Hence we show that the globally invariant Lagrangian with all derivatives replaced by covariant derivatives is locally gauge invariant

$$\mathcal{D}_Q(\omega) \mathcal{L}(\varphi, D_\mu \varphi) = 0.$$

In terms of quantum fields we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [Q(\omega) \phi^\alpha(x)] &\equiv -i \mathcal{D}_Q(\omega) \phi^\alpha(x) \\ &= -\omega^{(x)} (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} \phi^\beta(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $Q(\omega) = \omega^a(x) Q^a$ with our global Q^a charges
and

$$[Q(\omega), A_\mu^a(x)] = -i \delta_a(\omega) A_\mu^a(x)$$

$$= -i \partial_\mu \omega^a(x) + f_{abc} \omega^b(x) A_\mu^c(x).$$

gauge
field now

hence

$$[Q(\omega), (D_\mu \phi)^\alpha] = -\omega^a(x) (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} (D_\mu \phi)^\beta$$

where

$$(D_\mu \phi)^\alpha = \partial_\mu \phi^\alpha(x) + i A_\mu^a(x) (T^a)_{\alpha\beta} \phi^\beta(x).$$

and

$\mathcal{L}(\phi, D_\mu \phi)$ describes the gauge

invariant dynamics of ϕ in the presence of A .

For finite gauge transformations we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}^{-1}(\omega(x)) \phi^\alpha(x) \mathcal{U}(\omega(x)) &= \mathcal{U}(\omega(x))_{\alpha\beta} \phi^\beta(x) \\ &= (e^{-i\omega^a(x) T^a})_{\alpha\beta} \phi^\beta(x) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\mathcal{U}^{-1}(\omega) \partial_\mu \phi \mathcal{U}(\omega) = \partial_\mu \left[e^{-i\omega^a (x) T^a} \right]_{\alpha\beta} \phi_\beta(x)$$

$$= (\partial_\mu U) \phi + U \partial_\mu \phi$$

$$= (\partial_\mu U) U^{-1} U \phi + U \partial_\mu \phi$$

but $U U^{-1} = 1 \Rightarrow \partial_\mu U U^{-1} + U \partial_\mu U^{-1} = 0$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}^{-1}(\omega) \partial_\mu \phi \mathcal{U}(\omega) &= U \partial_\mu \phi - U \partial_\mu U^{-1} U \phi \\ &= U [\partial_\mu \phi + (U^{-1} \partial_\mu U) \phi] \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}^{-1}(\omega) \mathcal{D}_\mu \phi \mathcal{U}(\omega) &\equiv U \mathcal{D}_\mu \phi \\ &= \mathcal{U}^{-1}(\omega) (\partial_\mu \phi + A_\mu \phi) \mathcal{U}(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

where we define $(A_\mu)_{\alpha\beta} \equiv A_\mu^a (iT^a)_{\alpha\beta}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= U^{-1}(\omega) \partial_\mu \phi U(\omega) + U^{-1}(\omega) A_\mu U(\omega) U^{-1}(\omega) \phi U(\omega) \\
 &\equiv U(\omega) D_\mu \phi \\
 &= U(\omega) \partial_\mu \phi + U(\omega) (U^{-1} \partial_\mu U) \phi \\
 &\quad + U^{-1}(\omega) A_\mu U(\omega) U(\omega) \phi \\
 &= U(\omega) (\partial_\mu \phi + A_\mu \phi) - U(\omega) A_\mu \phi \\
 &\quad + U (U^{-1} \partial_\mu U) \phi + U^{-1}(\omega) A_\mu U(\omega) U(\omega) \phi \\
 &= U(\omega) D_\mu \phi \\
 &\quad + \left[U^{-1}(\omega) A_\mu U(\omega) - (U \partial_\mu U^{-1}) - U A_\mu U^{-1} \right] \times U(\omega) \phi
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$U^{-1}(\omega) A_\mu U(\omega) = U \partial_\mu U^{-1} + U A_\mu U^{-1}$$

$$= U(\omega) \partial_\mu U^{-1}(\omega) + U(\omega) A_\mu U^{-1}(\omega)$$

Check: For $\omega^a(x)$ infinitesimal $U(x) = e^{-iQ(x)}$

$$[Q(x), A_\mu] = -i \left[i \partial_\mu \omega^a (T^a) - i \omega^a [T^a, A_\mu] \right]$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} i(T^a)_{\alpha\beta} [Q(x), A_\mu] &= i(T^a)_{\alpha\beta} (-i \partial_\mu \omega^a) \\ &\quad - \omega^b i A_\mu [T^b, T^c]_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= i(T^a)_{\alpha\beta} [-i \partial_\mu \omega^a - i f_{abc} \omega^b A_\mu^c] \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow

$$[Q(x), A_\mu] = -i [\partial_\mu \omega^a + f_{abc} \omega^b A_\mu^c] \checkmark$$

Also check that $[\delta_Q(x), \delta_Q(x)] = \delta_Q(f_{abc} \omega^b \omega^c)$

Since we have introduced an additional degree of freedom we can ask if there are additional invariants. The polynomials we may add to the Lagrangian (through Dirac's 4) hence giving the dynamics of the gauge fields.

Since A_μ^a transforms inhomogeneously (it is a connection) ~~the~~ simple powers of it are invariant. Since D_μ is covariant we can imagine that $D_\mu D_\nu - D_\nu D_\mu$ is covariant (|| displacement of || displacement)

So consider

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] = [\partial_\mu + A_\mu, \partial_\nu + A_\nu]$$

$$= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu]$$

$$\equiv i(T^a) F_{\mu\nu}^a = [F_{\mu\nu}]$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the anti-symmetric, covariant field strength tensor.

using $[T^a, T^b] = if_{abc} T^c$ we have

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - f_{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$$

We can check that $F_{\mu\nu}^a$ transforms in the adjoint representation of the group

$$\begin{aligned} U(\omega) F_{\mu\nu} U(\omega) &= \partial_\mu (U A_\nu U^{-1} + U \partial_\nu U^{-1}) \\ &\quad - \partial_\nu (U A_\mu U^{-1} + U \partial_\mu U^{-1}) \\ &\quad + [U A_\mu U^{-1} + U \partial_\mu U^{-1}, U A_\nu U^{-1} + U \partial_\nu U^{-1}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= U (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) U^{-1} + \cancel{\partial_\mu U U^{-1} U A_\nu U^{-1}} \\ &\quad + \cancel{U A_\nu U^{-1} U \partial_\mu U^{-1}} + \cancel{\partial_\mu U \partial_\nu U^{-1}} + \cancel{U \partial_\nu \partial_\mu U^{-1}} \\ &\quad - \cancel{\partial_\nu U U^{-1} U A_\mu U^{-1}} - \cancel{U A_\mu U^{-1} U \partial_\nu U^{-1}} \\ &\quad - \cancel{\partial_\nu U \partial_\mu U^{-1}} - \cancel{U \partial_\mu \partial_\nu U^{-1}} \\ &\quad + U A_\mu U^{-1} U A_\nu U^{-1} - U A_\nu U^{-1} U A_\mu U^{-1} \\ &\quad + \cancel{U A_\mu U^{-1} U \partial_\nu U^{-1}} - \cancel{U \partial_\nu U^{-1} U A_\mu U^{-1}} \\ &\quad + \cancel{U \partial_\mu U^{-1} U A_\nu U^{-1}} - \cancel{U A_\nu U^{-1} U \partial_\mu U^{-1}} \\ &\quad + \cancel{U \partial_\mu U^{-1} U \partial_\nu U^{-1}} - \cancel{U \partial_\nu U^{-1} U \partial_\mu U^{-1}} \\ &\quad = + \partial_\mu U U^{-1} U \partial_\nu U^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$= U [\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu]] U^{-1}$$

Hence

$$U^{-1}(\omega) F_{\mu\nu} U(\omega) = U(\omega) F_{\mu\nu} U^{-1}(\omega)$$

For infinitesimal ω^a

$$[Q(\omega), F_{\mu\nu}] = -\omega^a [T^a, F_{\mu\nu}]$$

That is

$$[Q(\omega), F_{\mu\nu}^a] = f_{abc} \omega^b F_{\mu\nu}^c \\ \equiv -i \delta Q(\omega) F_{\mu\nu}^a$$

Since $F_{\mu\nu}$ is an anti-symmetric tensor

$F_{\mu}^{\mu} = 0$ hence we can only make

a Lorentz invariant by squaring $F_{\mu\nu}$

$$[Q(\omega), F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu}] = 0$$

Thus

$F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu}$ is locally gauge invariant.

Since A^μ is dimension 1 in mass units
 $F_{\mu\nu}$ is dim. 2 so F^2 dim 4. There are
no other gauge invariant dimension
4 or less terms we can make.

(Note: $F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}$ allows $F_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{F}^{a\mu\nu}$ to be invariant
but it is a total
space-time
divergence
as well as
being odd under
parity.)
Thus the most general locally
gauge invariant renormalizable
Lagrangian is given by

$$L_{inv} \equiv L(\phi, D_\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu}$$

$$\delta_Q(\omega) L_{inv} = 0$$

with
 $F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - f_{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$

This is called the second
order formalism since we have $F_{\mu\nu}^a$
implicitly understood in terms of A_μ^a i.e.
 A_μ^a is the only independent field.
In stead we could incorporate the
definition of $F_{\mu\nu}^a$ as a field equation
that's treat $F_{\mu\nu}^a$ as another
independent field.

The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}'_{\text{inv}} \equiv \mathcal{L}(\phi, D_\mu \phi) + \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu a} - \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu}^a (\partial^\mu A^{\nu a} - \partial^\nu A^{\mu a} - f_{abc} A^{\mu b} A^{\nu c})$$

This is called the first order formalism

Note the Euler-Lagrange field equations for $F_{\mu\nu}^a \Rightarrow$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}'_{\text{inv}}}{\partial F_{\mu\nu}^a} = 0 = + F_{\mu\nu}^a - (\partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - f_{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c)$$

$$\Rightarrow F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - f_{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$$

Substituting this into $\mathcal{L}'_{\text{inv}}$ we find

$$\mathcal{L}'_{\text{inv}} = \mathcal{L}(\phi, D_\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu a} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{inv}}$$

The second order formulation.