

7.2.2. Bound States and the Lippman - Schwinger Integral Equation

The Lippman - Schwinger equation can also be used to study bound states. In this case we are interested in the Schrödinger equation solutions

$$\left[\frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(\vec{r}) \right] \psi(\vec{r}) = E \psi(\vec{r})$$

such that the wavefunction is normalizable

$$\int d^3r |\psi(\vec{r})|^2 < \infty$$

Further if the zero of energy is such that $V(\vec{r}) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, then we have that the bound state energies are negative

$$E \equiv -\frac{\hbar^2 \kappa^2}{2m} \quad \text{with } \kappa^2 > 0.$$

To simplify the discussion consider the central potential case $V(\vec{r}) = V(r)$ with

$$U(r) = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} V(r), \text{ so}$$

Schrödinger's equation becomes

$$(\nabla^2 - \kappa^2)\psi(\vec{r}) = U(r)\psi(\vec{r}).$$

with $\int d^3r |\psi(\vec{r})|^2 < \infty$. To convert this

to an integral equation, we now need the Green function for the differential operator $(\nabla^2 - \kappa^2)$. In the scattering case G_+ was the Green function for $(\nabla^2 + k^2)$ with outgoing spherical wave asymptotic condition. By letting $k = i\kappa$, in G_+ we will find the Green function we need as well. Instead of an oscillatory outgoing asymptotic wave, it will become exponentially damped, $e^{ikr} = e^{-\kappa r}$ yielding the normalizability we need in the bound state case.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } (\nabla^2 + k^2) G_+(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') & \quad (\text{let } k = i\kappa) \\ &= (\nabla^2 - \kappa^2) \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{+l} 4\pi G_+^l(r, r'; k = i\kappa) Y_l^{m*}(\theta, \varphi) Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi) \right] \\ &= \delta^3(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \end{aligned}$$

Where now

$$G_{\pm}^l(r, r'; k = i\kappa) = \frac{\kappa}{4\pi} j_l(i\kappa r_<) h_l(i\kappa r_>).$$

At the same time we have that there are no normalizable solutions to the free equation

$$(\nabla^2 - \kappa^2) \psi(\vec{r}) = 0$$

and $\int d^3r |\psi^{in}(\vec{r})|^2 < \infty \Rightarrow \psi^{in}(\vec{r}) = 0$,
(i.e. there is no potential to provide a bound state).

Thus the Lippman-Schwinger bound state integral equation becomes a homogeneous integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\vec{r}) = & \kappa \int d^3r' \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{+l} j_l(i\kappa r_<) h_l(i\kappa r_>) \times \\ & \times Y_l^{m*}(\theta', \varphi') Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi) U(r') \psi(\vec{r}'). \end{aligned}$$

For a central potential we have

$$\psi(\vec{r}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{+l} R_l(r; \kappa) Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi)$$

where $R_l(r; \kappa)$ depends on the energy eigenvalue κ . Substituting into the integral equations yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{+l} R_l(r; \kappa) Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi) \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{+l} \sum_{l'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m'=-l'}^{+l'} \kappa \int_0^{\infty} dr' r'^2 j_l(\kappa r) h_l(\kappa r) \\
&\quad \times Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi) U(r') R_{l'}(r', \kappa) \times \\
&\quad \times \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{H}^3} d\Omega' Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi) Y_{l'}^{m'}(\theta', \varphi')}_{= \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{+l} Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi) \kappa \int_0^{\infty} dr' r'^2 j_l(\kappa r) h_l(\kappa r) \\
&\quad \times U(r') R_l(r', \kappa).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the $Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi)$ are independent, we find an integral equation for each l for R_l :

$$R_\ell(r; \kappa) = \kappa \int_0^\infty dr' r'^2 j_\ell(i\kappa r_<) h_\ell(i\kappa r_>) \times \\ \times U(r') R_\ell(r'; \kappa).$$

Knowing the large r behavior of the spherical Hankel function

$$h_\ell(i\kappa r) \underset{r \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{i\kappa r} e^{-\kappa r} e^{-i(\ell+1)\frac{\pi}{2}}$$

we can check the asymptotic behavior of $R_\ell(r; \kappa)$ to see that it is normalizable.

As $r \rightarrow \infty$, $r_> = r$ and $r_< = r'$ in the integrand above, so

$$R_\ell(r; \kappa) \underset{r \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \kappa h_\ell(i\kappa r) \int_0^\infty dr' r'^2 j_\ell(i\kappa r') \times \\ \times U(r') R_\ell(r'; \kappa) \\ \sim \frac{e^{-\kappa r}}{r} e^{-i(\ell+1)\frac{\pi}{2}} \times \\ \times \int_0^\infty dr' r'^2 j_\ell(i\kappa r') U(r') R_\ell(r'; \kappa).$$

For $\lambda > 0$, $R_\ell(r; \lambda) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ rapidly enough to guarantee that $\psi(r)$ is normalizable.

So we have that the L-S equation for the bound state radial function $R_\ell(r; \lambda)$ is obtained from the L-S scattering equation for $\psi^{(+)}(r; z)$ by letting $k \rightarrow i\lambda$ with $\lambda > 0$ and neglecting the $\psi^{in}(r) = j_\ell(kr)$ free solution. This line of reasoning suggests that we consider the scattering L-S equation for complex values of k . Thus consider $\psi_\ell^{(+)}(r; z)$ with $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and the L-S equation

$$\psi_\ell^{(+)}(r; z) = j_\ell(zr) - iz \int_0^\infty dr' r'^2 j_\ell(zr') \times \\ \times h_\ell(zr') U(r') \psi_\ell^{(+)}(r'; z).$$

For asymptotic values of r , we have that the spherical Bessel function becomes

$$j_l(zr) \underset{r \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{2zr} \left[e^{i[zr - (l+1)\frac{\pi}{2}]} + e^{-i[zr - (l+1)\frac{\pi}{2}]} \right]$$

$$\underset{r \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{e^{|\text{Im}z|r}}{2zr}$$

For $\text{Im}z \neq 0$, this grows exponentially as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and $Y_l^{(4)}(r; z)$ defined by this integral equation is not normalizable.

On the other hand, the scattered wave function given by the integral term is well defined as $r \rightarrow \infty$ as long as $\text{Im}z > 0$. This follows from the behavior of the Hankel function again.

$$h_l(zr) \underset{r \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{zr} e^{i[zr - (l+1)\frac{\pi}{2}]}$$

$$\underset{r \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{e^{-(\text{Im}z)r}}{zr} e^{i[(\text{Re}z)r - (l+1)\frac{\pi}{2}]}$$

Because $r_2 > r_1$ (by definition), the exponential damping of $h_l(zr_2)$ dominates over the exponential

growth of $j_\ell(zr)$ as long as $\text{Im } z > 0$.

Thus we would like to eliminate the inhomogeneous term $j_\ell(zr)$ from the equation since it alone diverges as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for any $\text{Im } z \neq 0$. This can be done by multiplying the integral equation by $\mathcal{U}(z-z_0)$ and let $z \rightarrow z_0$

$$(z-z_0)\mathcal{Y}_\ell^{(+)}(r; z) = (z-z_0)j_\ell(r; z)$$

$$-iz \int_0^\infty dr' r'^2 j_\ell(zr') h_\ell(zr') \times \\ \times \mathcal{U}(r') (z-z_0)\mathcal{Y}_\ell^{(+)}(r'; z).$$

Since $j_\ell(r; z)$ is analytic at $z=z_0$, we have

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z-z_0)j_\ell(zr) = 0.$$

This implies

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z-z_0)\mathcal{Y}_\ell^{(+)}(r; z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (-iz) \int_0^\infty dr' r'^2 j_\ell(zr') \times \\ \times h_\ell(zr') \mathcal{U}(r') (z-z_0)\mathcal{Y}_\ell^{(+)}(r'; z)$$

If $\psi_l^{(4)}(r; z)$ has a simple pole at $z = z_0$,
that is,

$$\psi_l^{(4)}(r; z) = \frac{R_l(r; -iz_0)}{z - z_0} + \text{function regular at } z = z_0,$$

then

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z - z_0) \psi_l^{(4)}(r; z) = R_l(r; -iz_0)$$

and the integral equation reduces to

$$R_l(r; -iz_0) = -iz_0 \int_0^\infty dr' r'^2 j_l(z_0 r') h_l(z_0 r) \times \\ \times U(r') R_l(r'; -iz_0).$$

But this is just the bound state L-S
integral equation if we set $z_0 = i\kappa$.
Hence we can find the bound states of
the potential $U(r)$ by studying the analytic
structure of the L-S integral eq. wave function.

The bound state radial wavefunction
is given by the Residue of the simple
pole in the scattering wave function

$$\psi_l^{(4)}(r; k) = \frac{R_l(r; \kappa)}{k - i\kappa} + \text{analytic function in complex } k\text{-plane}$$

The position of the pole occurs at the bound state energy E ,

$$k = i\kappa = i \sqrt{\frac{2m|E|}{\hbar^2}} \quad \text{with } E = -|E|.$$

For the wavefunction to be normalizable, we had that $\kappa > 0$. Thus the bound state poles of the scattering wave function lie on the positive imaginary axis in the complex k -plane.

Since the scattering amplitude is given by (page -1065-)

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(+)}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) &= -\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \int_0^{\infty} dr' r'^2 j_l(kr') U(r') \mathcal{Y}_l^{(+)}(r'; k) \mathcal{P}_l^{(+)}(k, k') \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \frac{e^{i\delta_l} \sin \delta_l}{k} \mathcal{P}_l^{(+)}(k, k') \quad (\text{page -1077-}) \end{aligned}$$

Then if bound state poles occur in $\mathcal{Y}_l^{(+)}(r'; k)$ at $k = i\kappa, \kappa > 0$, the poles should occur in $f(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and hence the phase shifts $\delta_l(k)$.