Differences in self-assembly of spherical C$_{60}$ and planar PTCDA on rippled graphene surfaces
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A B S T R A C T

It was recently recognized that two-dimensional (2D) graphene exhibits nonplanar aberrations such as a rippled surface. Understanding the self-assembly of organic semiconductor molecules on monolayer 2D curved graphene surfaces is a paramount issue for ultimate application in semiconductor and optoelectronic devices. Herein, we report on the preparation of fullerene, C$_{60}$ and perylenetetracarboxylic dianhydride (PTCDA) molecules adsorbed on a rippled graphene surface. We find that the spherical C$_{60}$ molecules form a quasi-hexagonal close packed (hcp) structure, while the planar PTCDA molecules form a disordered herringbone structure. These 2D layer systems have been characterized by experimental scanning tunneling microscope (STM) imaging and computational density functional theory (DFT) approaches. The DFT computational results exhibit interaction energies for adsorbed molecule/rippled graphene complexes located in the 2D graphene valley sites that are significantly larger in comparison with adsorbed idealized planar/molecule graphene 2D complexes. In addition, we report that the adsorbed PTCDA molecules prefer different orientations when the rippled graphene peak regions are compared to the valley regions. This difference in orientations causes the PTCDA molecules to form a disordered herringbone structure on the rippled graphene surface. The results of this study clearly illustrate significant differences in C$_{60}$ and PTCDA molecular packing on rippled graphene surfaces.

© 2019 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Graphene is a unique two-dimensional (2D) material that exhibits fascinating physical and chemical properties and has a wide range of applications [1–3]. For instance, thanks to its single-atom thickness and flexibility, graphene is an excellent candidate for flexible electronics, textures and gas sensors [4–9]. To optimize the applications of graphene and other 2D materials, it is essential to investigate how curvature affects and tunes their properties. It has been reported that graphene on rough substrates (e.g. SiO$_2$) or suspended exhibits nonplanar aberrations [10,11]. Furthermore, rippling the graphene to induce a curved surface would introduce variability into the properties of graphene and changes interactions with adsorbed molecules, which has not been experimentally examined.

Significant research efforts have recently been devoted to investigate the adsorption and desorption of various molecules on planar graphene and other 2D materials, such as fabricating and tuning molecule/graphene hybrid structures [12–16]. Among the organic species, C$_{60}$ and perylenetetracarboxylic dianhydride (PTCDA) have attracted a huge amount of research interest partially because they are key components, as effective acceptors, in photovoltaic cells [12,16–24]. In the past two decades the power conversion efficiency of organic solar cells has rapidly increased,
currently beyond 17% [25]. To further improve the efficiency of organic cells, it is necessary to understand the interactions between the organic species and other building blocks like graphene, which is an excellent material for transparent electrodes in solar cells [26,27]. Development and study of hybrid nanostructures based on rippled graphene, C60/rippled graphene, and PTCDA/rippled graphene could provide significant insights for improving the efficiency of organic solar cells.

Previous experimental and computational studies have found that C60 and PTCDA on a planar graphene surface form a hexagonal close packed (hcp) structure and a herringbone structure, respectively [12,13,16–19,28,29]. The major interaction present in the C60/planar graphene system is a π–π stacking interaction [30]. The π–π stacking interactions are common in parallel aromatic systems, have distances ranging from 3.0 to 4.0 Å and are mainly based on van der Waals forces [31,32]. The PTCDA/graphene system also contains π–π stacking interactions, but the dominant interaction that leads to a herringbone pattern is intermolecular hydrogen bonding [17]. We now report significant experimental and computational differences of spherical C60 and planar PTCDA self-assembled structures on rippled graphene surfaces. The inherent ability to tune the interactions between rippled graphene and structurally different molecules will undoubtedly open the door to interesting properties and potential applications of curved 2D materials, such as flexible sensors [33–35].

2. Experimental and computational methods

Experimental: All STM measurements were carried out in an ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) scanning tunneling microscope system (Omicron RT-STM). Before C60 deposition, the graphene was grown using chemical vapor deposition (CVD) onto Cu foil [37] and annealed for 12 h at 673 K in a preparation chamber with a base pressure of 1 × 10^{-10} torr. PTCDA powder (TCI AMERICA, 99.0% purity) was loaded into the homemade Knudsen cell and mounted in the load lock side A of the STM system. C60 powder (MER Corporation, 99.5% purity) was loaded into the homemade Knudsen cell and mounted in the load lock side B of the STM system. The C60 and PTCDA sources were degassed to 1 × 10^{-6} torr prior to deposition. C60 and PTCDA molecules were then simultaneously deposited onto graphene at a deposition rate of ~0.5 monolayer/min with the background pressure below 1.8 × 10^{-8} torr. During the deposition process, the substrate was kept at 413 K. The sample was subsequently annealed at 423 K for 1 h in the preparation chamber of the STM system with a base pressure of 1.0 × 10^{-10} torr. All of the STM measurements were performed at room temperature with a base pressure of 1.9 × 10^{-10} torr. The STM used a chemically etched tungsten tip.

Computational: Density functional theory (DFT) based calculations are used to obtain further understanding of the self-assembled systems. A model containing an adsorbed molecule and a curved graphene surface is used to simulate the attachment of C60 and PTCDA on the rippled graphene surface. The structures of C60 and PTCDA are fully optimized at B3LYP level with def2-SVP basis set as provided in ORCA 3.0.3. The curved graphene is constructed based on experiment observation and then is optimized with constraints to maintain the bending angles and size. The energies of combined system are estimated based on single point calculations [38–40]. DFT based approaches with D3-correction are used to address the intermolecular interactions between the C60 and PTCDA molecules and the graphene [41,42]. The distance between C60 and the curved graphene surface are changed consistently and system energy for each distance is calculated. The energy of C60/curved graphene complex is sensitive to the orientation of the C60 molecules on graphene. To solve the orientation-related challenge, we employed a detailed minimum potential energy search on representative orientations [43,44]. Comparative calculations with molecules adsorbed on planar graphene are also reported. Additional computational details are presented in the Supporting Information.

3. Results and discussion

The self-assembled structure of molecules adsorbed on graphene relates to the geometry of graphene underneath. We identified three different patterns of graphene: planar graphene labeled as I (Fig. 1h and i), one-dimensional (1D)-rippled graphene labeled as II (Fig. 1a–d), 2D-rippled graphene labeled as III (Fig. 1e and f). Planar graphene usually forms a moiré pattern on Cu substrate. Fig. 1i shows a moiré pattern with a hexagonal moiré super lattice with periodicity of 2.0 nm. Besides the planar graphene areas, we also observed 1D-rippled graphene (Fig. 1a–c). Fig. 1a shows the coexistence of planar graphene (I) and 1D-rippled graphene (II). Fig. 1d, a line profile of blue line in Fig. 1b, shows a periodicity of ~5 nm with an amplitude of 0.23 nm. Typically, the peaks of the rippled graphene have heights that range from 0.2 nm to 0.4 nm and periodicities that range from 3 nm to 10 nm. High resolution STM images (Fig. 1c and Supporting Information Figs. S1d and S1e) reveal a honeycomb lattice of graphene on the top of each ripple. For 2D-rippled graphene (Fig. 1e and f), the ripples are along two perpendicular directions with the peak height and periodicity similar to 1D-rippled graphene. Considering the heights of the ripples are much higher than the surface smoothness of the underneath Cu (111), Cu (100) or Cu (110) that is in the range of less than 0.1 nm [34,45], the line profiles of the ripples (Supporting Information Fig. S1 b, c) indicate that the graphene in these areas is quasi-suspended over the Cu substrate.

The formation of rippled graphene is mainly due to the negative thermal expansion coefficient of graphene [34,35,45]. The rippled graphene always emerges near the boundary of graphene. The planar graphene appears on the Cu (111) facet and the 1D and 2D-rippled graphene appear on the Cu (100) and Cu (110) facets. Our measurements lead us to believe the rippled graphene is caused by the negative thermal expansion coefficient of graphene and the interaction between graphene and different Cu facets. As the sample is cooled from the annealing temperature, the graphene expands as the Cu surfaces contract. The excess graphene on Cu surfaces leads to graphene ripples. In the middle domain, the excess graphene diffuses towards planarity, while near the boundaries the spatial constraints cause rippled graphene to form. Since graphene has a stronger interaction with Cu (111) facet, graphene preferentially forms a moiré pattern on Cu (111) instead of a rippled pattern.

We deposited C60 molecules on both rippled and planar graphene with a low coverage, typically less than 10%. On planar graphene, C60 molecules self-assemble to an hcp arrangement (Fig. 2e) similar to previous studies [12,16,29]. Fig. 2f is the zoomed image of the area marked in Fig. 2e showing a moiré pattern. This moiré pattern originates from the moiré pattern of graphene and Cu (111) surface as shown in Fig. 1l. For C60 on rippled graphene, the C60 self-assembly is more complicated than that on the planar case. C60 molecules form a quasi-hcp structure as shown in Fig. 2a–c at various scales. The quasi-hcp structure formed on rippled graphene has a different angle from that formed on the planar area. For example, the angle shown in Fig. 2c is 54.1° instead of 60.0° shown in Fig. 2f. The angle difference between C60 on rippled graphene and planar graphene is due to the geometric curvature of the rippled graphene. When compared to C60 adsorbed on planar graphene, the hcp structure on rippled graphene is distorted by the curvature of the surface. The difference is also reflected in the corresponding Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) images (the insets in Fig. 2c and e).
Fig. 1. (Color online) STM topographical images of planar graphene (labeled as I), 1D-rippled graphene (II) and 2D-rippled graphene (III) on Cu. (a) Large area STM image of planar graphene (I) and 1D-rippled graphene (II) showing the linear periodic modulation and the spatial modulation frequencies \(V_s = \frac{2.34 \text{ V}}{2.340 \text{ V}, I = 0.340 \text{ nA}}\). (b) High-resolution STM image of 1-D rippled graphene \(V_s = \frac{0.340 \text{ V}}{0.340 \text{ V}, I = 0.190 \text{ nA}}\). (c) STM image of the 1-D rippled graphene, observed from the square region marked in (b), the schematic model on top of the atomic image shows the ripples along zigzag direction \(V_s = \frac{0.28 \text{ V}}{0.280 \text{ V}, I = 0.900 \text{ nA}}\). (d) Line profile perpendicular to the 1D-rippled graphene (marked as a blue line in (b)) showing the periodic modulation. (e) STM image of graphene on two different Cu facets, planar graphene (I) and 2D-rippled graphene (III) \(V_s = \frac{2.74 \text{ V}}{2.74 \text{ V}, I = 0.045 \text{ nA}}\). (f) High-resolution STM image of 2D-rippled graphene, observed from the dashed square region marked in (e) \(V_s = \frac{2.60 \text{ V}}{2.600 \text{ V}, I = 0.068 \text{ nA}}\). (g) A schematic model shows 1D-rippled graphene sheet. (h) Large area STM image of planar graphene (I) and 1D-rippled graphene (II) \(V_s = \frac{1.85 \text{ V}}{1.85 \text{ V}, I = 0.34 \text{ V}}\). (i) Atomic STM image showing the moiré pattern of planar graphene, observed from the dashed squared region marked in (h) \(V_s = \frac{1.85 \text{ V}}{1.85 \text{ V}, I = 0.45 \text{ nA}}\).

Fig. 2. (Color online) STM images of C60 on 1D-rippled graphene (II) and on planar graphene (I). (a) Large area STM topographic image of the C60 on 1D-rippled graphene showing well-defined linear periodic modulated ripple \(V_s = \frac{2.60 \text{ V}}{2.60 \text{ V}, I = 0.060 \text{ nA}}\). (b) Zoomed-in STM image (measured from the dashed square of (a)) of C60 on a long periodic graphene ripple \(V_s = \frac{2.60 \text{ V}}{2.60 \text{ V}, I = 0.060 \text{ nA}}\). (c) High-resolution image (measured from the dashed square region of (b)) C60 on 1D-rippled graphene, shows a lattice angle \(\alpha = 54.1^\circ\) with a quasi-hcp pattern \(V_s = \frac{2.60 \text{ V}}{2.60 \text{ V}, I = 0.060 \text{ nA}}\). Inset, the corresponding FFT image of (c). (d) A line profile along the perpendicular direction of the 1D-rippled graphene marked with the blue line in (c) (top), side view and top view showing the quasi-hcp C60 on 1D-rippled graphene (bottom). (e) Large area STM image of the C60 on planar graphene with a well-defined moiré pattern on facet I \(V_s = \frac{2.65 \text{ V}}{2.65 \text{ V}, I = 0.046 \text{ nA}}\). (f) High-resolution STM image of C60 on planar graphene (measured from the square region of (e)), showing a lattice angle \(\beta = 60.0^\circ\) and a moiré pattern on facet I \(V_s = \frac{2.65 \text{ V}}{2.65 \text{ V}, I = 0.046 \text{ nA}}\). Inset, the corresponding FFT image of (f).
The difference between the $C_{60}$ structure formed on planar graphene and rippled graphene is primarily due to the differences of van der Waals forces for peaks and valleys. Based on our DFT calculations, $C_{60}$ will initially deposit in the valleys of rippled graphene. As additional $C_{60}$ is deposited covering the peaks, the quasi-hcp structure is formed. DFT calculations identify the binding energy of a $C_{60}$-graphene valley site to be $0.34 \text{ eV}$ more than the binding energy of a $C_{60}$-graphene peak site. The high-resolution STM image suggests that adsorbed $C_{60}$ is not continuously deposited in the valleys of the 1D-rippled graphene. The $C_{60}$ molecules are not fully revealed in the STM images because the STM tip is not sharp enough to measure into the narrow valley regions.

In order to better understand the interactions between adsorbed molecules and rippled graphene, we investigated PTCDa on 1D-rippled and planar graphene substrates. Similar to $C_{60}$ on graphene, the coverage of PTCDa on rippled and planar areas is low, typically less than 8%. The most common arrangement for PTCDa molecules on planar graphene is a herringbone structure (Fig. 3c and d). The high resolution STM image (Fig. 3d) reveals a herringbone arrangement with $a_1 = 1.3 \text{ nm}$, $a_2 = 1.96 \text{ nm}$, and $\gamma = 90^\circ$, consistent with previous reports [13,17,18,28]. The inset in Fig. 3c is the FFT image of the herringbone structure obtained from an ordered area shown as the right part of Fig. S2c. On 1D-rippled graphene, the herringbone structure of the adsorbed PTCDa molecules is influenced by the graphene curvature. In Fig. 3b, we see there are a few PTCDa herringbone structures at the top right corner, while other regions show PTCDa molecules forming a distorted herringbone pattern. The FFT images of PTCDa on rippled and planar graphene (the insets in Fig. 3b and c) also show the difference.

Our results (Fig. 3e and f and Fig. S2) further show that PTCDa sub monolayer is very easy to disassemble, due to the weak interaction between PTCDa molecule and graphene on copper substrate. During disassembly, we found a very interesting substable PTCDa structure (purple curved region shown in Fig. 3e and Fig. S2) with a rectangular lattice. This substable structure is formed by the interaction between PTCDa molecules and STM tip. After growing to a critical size, the substable PTCDa would be moved away by the tip (Figs. S2g and S2h).

When compared to planar graphene, molecules adsorbed onto 1D-rippled graphene show different patterns. To obtain further understanding of the formation mechanism we have calculated the magnitude of the adsorbed molecule/rippled graphene interaction. We employed computational approaches based on DFT with van der Waals dispersion corrections to explore the interactions between $C_{60}$ molecules and the curved graphene surface. As previously noted [46], the potential energy of $C_{60}$-graphene complex is sensitive to $C_{60}$ molecular orientation on the graphene surface. Previous work established that the energy minima of different $C_{60}$ orientations are similar and are in the range of rotation energy barriers [43]. Inspired by previous studies, we inspect typical orientations (described in the Supporting Information). A detailed examination of typical $C_{60}$ orientations is employed to investigate the effects of orientations and to find the most stable configuration. It has been confirmed that the offset face-to-face alignment (Supporting Information Fig. S2 b) is energetically favored and shows an ~1 kcal/mol lower energy than other orientations [47,48]. As shown in Fig. 4 (a,b), there are two archetype locations on curved graphene for arranging $C_{60}$ molecules, the peak and the valley. $C_{60}$ molecules located on the peak area may be modeled with a $C_{60}$ on a convex aromatic surface and $C_{60}$ molecules located in the valley area may be modeled with a $C_{60}$ on a concave aromatic surface. A previous computational study revealed that $C_{60}$ molecules on a concave aromatic surface have larger intermolecular interactions which provide greater stability [43]. As illustrated in Fig. 4d, a $C_{60}$ molecule located on a graphene peak has a relative interaction energy of ~0.92 eV while the interaction energy for $C_{60}$ in a graphene valley is ~1.26 eV. The relative interaction energy for $C_{60}$ and planar graphene falls in between with a value of ~1.07 eV. Calculations reveal an optimized $C_{60}$ molecule – curved graphene distance of 3.1 Å. The energy curves shown in Fig. 4d show a significant energy difference between $C_{60}$ molecules located on a peak and those located in a valley. Fig. 5 shows results of DFT calculations for adsorbed molecule-graphene interactions. The curved graphene structure increases the stability for $C_{60}$ molecules in valley regions. The additional stability leads to the self-assembled
quasi-hcp configurations of C$_{60}$ molecules on the 1D-rippled graphene surface.

In contrast to the hcp pattern for C$_{60}$ molecules on a planar graphene surface, it has been shown that PTCDA molecules form herringbone structure on a planar graphene surface. However, on a 1D-rippled graphene surface, we observed disordered herringbone pattern for the PTCDA molecules. The disordered structure implies that the curved graphene surface has a significant effect on the self-assembly of the PTCDA molecules. We utilized DFT-based calculations to obtain further understanding on the structures of a PTCDA molecule on a 1D-curved graphene surface. Our calculations show the relative energies between PTCDA and curved graphene on a peak and in a valley are $-2.17$ eV and $-2.61$ eV, respectively. This may be compared to a relative energy of $-2.40$ eV for PTCDA on planar graphene. Calculations reveal that PTCDA molecules prefer different orientations on a graphene peak and in a graphene valley.
as shown in Fig. 5a and b. A PTCDA molecule in a valley aligns symmetrically while the lowest relative energy for a molecule on a graphene peak makes a 30° angle with the ridge (Fig. 5c). A possible explanation for the angle is the electronegative oxygen minimizing contact with the graphene while maximizing π–π stacking interactions. The difference in preferred orientations for PTCDA molecules on peaks and in valleys shows that curved graphene may be used to help regulate the orientation of molecules. Compared to the C_60/curved graphene interaction, the PTCDA/curved graphene interactions are slightly stronger due to larger contact area between PTCDA molecules and the curved graphene. In both cases, adsorbed molecules in valley sites show a stronger interaction than the same molecule adsorbed on planar graphene.

4. Conclusions

In this paper we have demonstrated the self-assembly of C_60 and PTCDA molecules on rippled graphene with characterization using both experimental STM and DFT calculations. The adsorbed molecules on 1D-rippled graphene systems reveal distortions when compared with analogous planar graphene system. Specifically, the nearly spherical 5π-symmetrical C_60 molecules form a quasi-hexagonal close packed structure, while the planar PTCDA molecules form a disordered herringbone structure on the rippled graphene surface. The change in the monolayer packing pattern of C_60 and PTCDA molecules on a curved graphene surface is due to competition between the adsorbate-graphene interaction and the intermolecular adsorbate interactions. Because of the nearly spherical C_60 molecules, the rippled graphene surface exhibits only diminished effects on the π–π intermolecular interactions. In contrast, the planar PTCDA molecules have different sides for intermolecular interactions, namely, sp^2 hybridized on opposite two sides and significantly more electronegative oxygen anhydride moieties on the other two sides. This leads to the well characterized herringbone structure that is assembled mainly based on the relative weak PTCDA hydrogen bonds, C–H···O, with a strength estimated as 0.1 eV. In this case, the PTCDA-graphene interaction, which have energies at the peak and valley sites of 2.17 and 2.61 eV, respectively, are far more important than the PTCDA intermolecular interaction. These results are also consistent with the tendency for assembling the PTCDA submonolayer vide supra. Furthermore, the DFT computational results demonstrate significant increases in π–π interactions for both the adsorbed PTCDA and C_60/rippled graphene complexes located in the 2D graphene valley sites in comparison with adsorbed more idealized molecule/planar graphene 2D complexes. In addition, we find that the adsorbed planar PTCDA molecules prefer different orientations when the rippled graphene peak regions are compared to the valley regions. These fundamental experimental and computational results are important for understanding any potential application of structurally diverse molecules adsorbed on graphene and/or rippled graphene surfaces.
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