

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Infrared Physics & Technology 44 (2003) 337-346

INFRARED PHYSICS & TECHNOLOGY

www.elsevier.com/locate/infrared

# Electron transfer based voltage tunable two-color quantum-well infrared photodetectors

Amlan Majumdar<sup>a,\*</sup>, K.K. Choi<sup>b,\*</sup>, J.L. Reno<sup>c</sup>, L.P. Rokhinson<sup>a</sup>, D.C. Tsui<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA <sup>b</sup> Electro-optics and Photonics Division, US Army Research Laboratory, 2800 Powder Mill Road, Adelphi, MD 20783, USA <sup>c</sup> Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM 87185, USA

## Abstract

We present a detailed investigation of the temperature *T* dependence of photoresponse of voltage tunable two-color quantum-well infrared photodetectors (QWIPs) that are based on the transfer of electrons between coupled QWs under an applied bias  $V_b$ . For  $T \le 40$  K, the peak detection wavelength switches from 7.2 µm under positive bias to 8.6 µm under large negative bias as electrons are transferred from the right QW (RQW) to the left QW (LQW). For  $T \ge 50$  K, the short wavelength peak is not only present for both bias polarities but also increases rapidly with *T* while the long wavelength peak decreases rapidly with *T*. We investigate this temperature dependence by extracting absorption coefficient  $\alpha$  and photoconductive gain *g* using corrugated QWIPs with different corrugation periods. The deduced absorption spectra indicate that the LQW population first increases and then decreases with increasing negative bias for  $T \ge 50$  K. The deduced gain spectra show that short and long wavelength gain under negative bias exhibit a strong enhancement and reduction, respectively, with *T* above 50 K. We show that both these temperature dependences are caused by large thermal currents from the LQWs, which are designed for long wavelength detection and, therefore, have a significantly lower activation energy than the RQWs.

© 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

PACS: 78.30.Fs; 78.67.De

Keywords: Quantum-well infrared photodetectors; Two-color; Voltage tunable detectors; Coupled quantum wells

## 1. Introduction

The fabrication of two-color quantum-well infrared photodetectors (QWIPs) has been an area of intense research for the past decade as these detectors have a lot of important applications, such as, remote temperature sensing and chemical analysis [1,2]. Two-stack detector [3,4], which are the simplest two-color detectors, are three-terminal devices that lead to complicated readout electronics [5,6]. Voltage tunable detectors, which are two-terminal devices, when integrated with time-multiplexed readout circuits immensely simplify focal plane array production. Voltage tunable detectors that have been demonstrated in the past were based on either Stark-shift [7–10] or multiple

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>Corresponding authors. Tel.: +1-609-258-5606; fax: +1-609-258-1840 (A. Majumdar), tel.: +1-301-394-0495; fax: +1-301-394-5451 (K.K. Choi).

*E-mail addresses:* majumdar@ee.princeton.edu (A. Majumdar), kchoi@arl.army.mil (K.K. Choi).

transitions in heavily-doped QWs [11,12]. Both these methods have certain drawbacks, such as, small tuning range for Stark-shift based detectors and very low detectivities for multiple-transition based detectors.

Another mechanism that can be utilized for voltage tunable detection is the transfer of electrons between coupled OWs under an applied bias [13]. The left QWs (LQWs) and the right QWs (RQWs) in these multiple QW (MQW) structures are designed to detect different wavelengths. The peak detection wavelength of these two-color detectors should shift from one wavelength to the other as the polarity of the applied bias is reversed. While this electron transfer mechanism was used for demonstrating infrared modulators [14-16], past attempts at fabricating voltage tunable twocolor detectors were unsuccessful because the shorter wavelength peak was present for both bias polarities  $V_{\rm b}$  [17]. Recently, we identified and then rectified problems with the design of these detectors [18,19]. Subsequently, we demonstrated a two-color detector where the peak detection wavelength  $\lambda_p$  shifts from 7.5 to 8.8 µm as the bias polarity is reversed at temperature T = 10 K for  $|V_{\rm b}| \ge 3 \, {\rm V} \, [20].$ 

In this article, we present a detailed investigation of the temperature dependence of photoresponse of electron transfer based voltage tunable detectors that exhibit complete wavelength switching from  $\lambda_p = 7.2$  to 8.6 µm for  $|V_b| \ge 3$  V for  $T \le 40$  K. At higher temperatures, the short wavelength peak is not only present for both bias polarities but also becomes the primary detection peak for both bias polarities. We investigate this temperature dependence by extracting absorption coefficient  $\alpha$  and photoconductive gain g using corrugated QWIPs (CQWIPs) with different corrugation periods [21– 23]. The deduced absorption and gain spectra indicate that the origin of the high temperature behavior can be traced back to large thermal currents from the LQWs, which are designed for long wavelength detection and, therefore, have a smaller activation energy than the RQWs.

This article is organized as follows. We describe the detector structure in Section 2, show responsivity data in Section 3, and extract  $\alpha$  and g in Section 4. We present the deduced absorption and gain spectra in Sections 5 and 6, respectively, and analyze their temperature dependence. Finally, we present our conclusions in Section 7.

## 2. Detector structure

The detector structure consists of 36 periods of QW pairs that are sandwiched between two n<sup>+</sup>-GaAs contact layers. Each period of the MQW structure has a 44 Å Al<sub>0.05</sub>Ga<sub>0.95</sub>As LQW coupled to a 44 Å GaAs RQW through a 200 Å Al<sub>0.3</sub>Ga<sub>0.7</sub>As barrier and separated from the next set of QW pair by a 350 Å graded Al<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>As barrier ( $x = 0.3 \rightarrow 0.25$  along the growth direction). The LQWs are uniformly doped with a Si donor density of  $N_D = 1.2 \times 10^{18}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. The RQWs and the barriers are undoped. The entire



Fig. 1. Conduction band diagram of coupled QWs for voltage tunable two-color detection under (a) positive and (b) negative bias  $V_b$ . The arrows indicate transitions,  $E_1 \rightarrow E_7$  (R7) at  $V_b = 3$  V and  $E_1 \rightarrow E_6$  (L6) at  $V_b = -3$  V, that have the largest calculated oscillator strength.

structure was grown on a semi-insulating (100)-GaAs substrate by molecular beam epitaxy.

The conduction band diagram of the coupled QWs is sketched in Fig. 1.  $V_{\rm b}$  is applied to the top contact while the bottom one is kept grounded. For positive bias and small negative bias, the lowest energy level  $E_1$  is in the RQW and moves to the LQW at large negative bias. The maximum value of calculated oscillator strength f at  $V_{\rm b} = 3$ V occurs at 7.6  $\mu$ m, which corresponds to the  $E_1 \rightarrow E_7$  transition as indicated in Fig. 1. We call this transition R7 because  $E_1$  is in the RQW. At  $V_{\rm b} = -3$  V, the  $E_1 \rightarrow E_6$  (L6) transition at  $\lambda_{L6}=10.1~\mu m$  has the largest oscillator strength. Other significant transitions are L7 at  $\lambda_{L7} = 8.9$  $\mu\mathrm{m}$  and L8 at  $\lambda_{\mathrm{L8}}=8.3~\mu\mathrm{m}$  with f=65% and 20%of  $f_{L6}$ , respectively. All these transitions are bound-to-continuum transitions and, therefore, should have large photoconductive gain.

#### 3. Responsivity

We processed 45°-edge coupled detectors for basic detector characterization. Spectral responsivity of these detectors was measured in the 10–70 K temperature range using standard ac lock-in techniques. We show typical responsivity spectra at T = 10, 50, and 70 K in Fig. 2. Under positive bias, the detector has a narrow detection peak whose position  $\lambda_p=7.2~\mu m$  and line width  $\Delta \lambda = 0.6 \ \mu m$ , defined as the full width at halfmaxima, do not depend on  $V_{\rm b}$  and T. The cutoff wavelength is  $\lambda_c = 7.5 \ \mu m$ . The peak responsivity  $R(\lambda_{\rm p})$  increases with  $V_{\rm b}$  but is independent of T. Under negative bias at T = 10 K, there is a wide responsivity peak at 7.2  $\mu$ m at  $V_{\rm b} = -1.5$  V. With increasing  $|V_b|$ , a broad peak appears at 8.6  $\mu$ m while the size of the short wavelength peak decreases as electrons are transferred from the RQW to the LQW. For  $V_{\rm b} \leq -3$  V, there is complete switching of the detection peak to  $\lambda_p = 8.6 \ \mu m$ with line width  $\Delta \lambda = 4.1 \ \mu m$ . Switching the bias polarity for  $|V_{\rm b}| \ge 3$  V leads to a 1.4 µm shift in  $\lambda_{\rm p}$ and a 3.5  $\mu$ m change in  $\lambda_c$ , which clearly demonstrates the voltage tunability of this detector. As the detector temperature is raised above T = 10 K, the negative bias responsivity spectra do not



Fig. 2. Responsivity of 45°-edge coupled detectors at (a,d) T = 10 K; (b,e) T = 50 K; and (c,f) T = 70 K.

change up to T = 40 K. At higher temperatures, a short wavelength peak at 7.2 µm is present for negative voltages. The long wavelength peak decreases in size and shifts to 9 µm for  $|V_b| < 3$  V at T = 50 K. At an even higher temperature T = 70 K, the detection peak is narrow and centered around 7.2 µm for both the detectors.

We note that this detector has a short-circuit photocurrent at zero bias peaked at the shorter detection wavelength. This photovoltaic behavior arises from the presence of a built-in electric field in the graded barrier regions [8,10,24]. As mentioned earlier, the LQW is not populated at zero bias, which explains the absence of the photovoltaic effect in the long wavelength range.

## 4. Extraction of detector parameters

The presence of the short wavelength peak in detector response for negative bias voltages and its significant enhancement with increasing temperature for  $T \ge 40$  K is quite surprising. We investigate these observations by extracting absorption

coefficient  $\alpha$  and photoconductive gain g at different temperatures using a set of eight CQWIPs with corrugation period P = 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 60,300, and 1200 µm [21–23]. We measured spectral responsivity of the CQWIPs at T = 10, 50, and 70 K. The responsivity line shape of all the CQWIPs is similar to that of the 45°-edge coupled detectors and, therefore, are not shown here.

We convert responsivity *R* to normalized responsivity NR using NR(*P*) =  $R(P)/r_d(P)$ , where  $r_d(P) = I_d(P)/I_d$  (*P* = 1200 µm) is the dark current ratio measured at *T* = 77 K [23]. Using NR instead of *R* for the data fitting procedure discussed below allows us to compensate for material variations that arise from processing non-uniformities. Typical NR spectra of CQWIPs are shown in Fig. 3(a) for  $V_b = 3$  V and T = 10 K. We obtain the values of NR at fixed wavelengths for different values of *P* from Fig. 3(a) and plot them as a function of *P* in Fig. 3(b) and (c). We fit the following equation to the NR vs. *P* data [23]:

$$\mathbf{NR}(P) = \frac{e}{h\nu}g\frac{1}{P-t}\left(t + \frac{e^{-\alpha P}}{2\alpha}(1-e^{2\alpha t})\right) + R_0\frac{P}{P-t},$$
(1)

where hv is the energy of incident radiation and  $t = 2.8 \ \mu\text{m}$  is the CQWIP etch depth.  $R_0$  is the CQWIP responsivity when P is very large and at-



Fig. 3. Normalized responsivity 'NR' of CQWIPs with different corrugation period *P*. (a) NR spectra at  $V_b = 3$  V and T = 10 K. (b,c) NR vs. *P* at different wavelengths  $\lambda$ . Symbols: data; Lines: least-squares fit of Eq. (1) to the data.

tributed to responsivity from *p*-polarized light reflected by the two mesa edges that are perpendicular to the corrugations [25].  $\alpha$ , *g*, and  $R_0$ are all assumed to be material constants and used as fitting parameters. The least-squares fits, plotted in Fig. 3(b) and (c), indicate that Eq. (1) fits the data well. We repeat this fitting process for all values of  $V_b$  and *T*. The deduced spectra of  $R_0$  are not shown here as they do not throw much light on the temperature dependence of detector responsivity. The deduced spectra of  $\alpha$  and *g* are presented in the next two sections.

## 5. Absorption coefficient

## 5.1. Deduced spectra

The deduced values of absorption coefficient  $\alpha$ are plotted as circles in Fig. 4. Under positive bias in the 10-70 K temperature range, there is an absorption peak around 7 µm. This peak is assigned to the R7 transition ( $\lambda_{R7} = 7.6 \ \mu m$  for nominal structure parameters) in the RQW, which is the only occupied well under positive bias. Under negative bias up to -2 V at T = 10 K, there are two absorption peaks at 7 and 8.3 µm that correspond to the R7 and L8 transitions, respectively. At higher negative voltages, the 7 µm peak disappears while the 8.3 µm peak gets significantly broader. The broadening of the 8.3 µm peak could be due to the closely spaced L7 transition at  $\lambda_{L7} = 8.9 \ \mu m$  that is not resolved separately. A third peak around 10.8 µm, which could be a shifted L6 transition, appears at  $V_{\rm b} = -2.5$  V and becomes the main peak beyond  $V_{\rm b} = -4$  V. At T = 50 K, there are absorption peaks at 7, 8.5, and 10.2 µm that are attributed to the R7, combined L7 and L8, and L6 transitions, respectively. The peak at 8.5 µm is the main peak at all negative voltages. At T = 70 K, the absorption peak shifts from 8.6 µm, the combined L7 and L8 transitions, to 7  $\mu$ m, the R7 transition, at  $V_{\rm b} = -2.5$  V with the 8.6 µm peak disappearing beyond  $V_{\rm b} = -4$  V.

The relative size of the absorption peaks should reflect the ratio of the oscillator strengths f. This is, however, not the case under negative bias as the calculated values of  $f_{L7}$  and  $f_{L8}$  are 65% and 20%



Fig. 4. Spectrum of absorption coefficient  $\alpha$  in the 10–70 K temperature range. Each curve has been shifted by 0.1  $\mu$ m<sup>-1</sup> from the one just below it for clarity. The arrows indicate the baseline ( $\alpha = 0$ ) for cases where the baseline is not clear. The bias voltages  $V_b$  are indicated on the right margin. Symbols:  $\alpha$  obtained from fitting Eq. (1) to normalized responsivity data. Lines: least-squares fit of single or multiple Lorentzians to  $\alpha$ . Typical error bars of 10% are not shown for clarity.

of  $f_{L6}$ , respectively. Since Stark shifts are small in this structure, the small discrepancies in the locations and magnitudes between the observed transitions and the calculated ones at  $V_b = \pm 3$  V are attributed to differences in the nominal and actual detector structures. These differences, however, do not affect the following data analysis.

# 5.2. Quantum well electron densities

We now look into the electron transfer process in greater detail to understand the temperature dependence of the absorption peaks. We evaluate the integrated absorption strength (IAS) of the observed transitions and then use them to determine the LQW and RQW electron densities,  $n_{\rm L}$ and  $n_{\rm R}$ , respectively. This is possible because the IAS of an intersubband transition is  $A_{\rm T} \propto$   $f_{\rm T} n_{\rm 2D}/m^*$ , where  $f_{\rm T}$  is the oscillator strength,  $n_{\rm 2D}$ the two-dimensional (2D) electron density in the OW, and  $m^*$  the electronic effective mass [1]. The IAS of the individual transitions is obtained by fitting Lorentzians of the form  $\alpha(E) = A_T \Delta E /$  $(2\pi[(E-E_p)^2+(\Delta E/2)^2])$  to the absorption data. Here E is energy and  $A_{\rm T}$ ,  $E_{\rm p}$  and  $\Delta E$ , which are fitting parameters, are the IAS, peak position and full width at half maxima, respectively. We use one Lorentzian under positive bias and two or three Lorentzians under negative bias to match the number of peaks found in the absorption data. The least-squares fit are shown in Fig. 4 with lines. Note that we use the peak positions  $E_p$  as fitting parameters also because the observed peak energies are different from the ones calculated at  $V_{\rm b} = 3$ and -3 V.

After obtaining the values of  $A_{\rm T}$  for different transitions from the fitting procedure,  $n_{\rm L}$  and  $n_{\rm R}$ are obtained by the following method. The IAS of the R7 transition in the RQW is  $A_{\rm R7} \propto f_{\rm R7} n_{\rm R}/m_{\rm R}^*$ , where  $f_{R7}$  is the oscillator strength of the R7 transition and  $m_{\rm R}^*$  is the effective mass of electrons in the RQW.  $f_{R7}$  is insensitive to the magnitude and polarity of  $V_b$  because both the initial state and final states of the R7 transition are localized in the RQW. Under negative bias, the final state wave functions of the LQW transitions are more delocalized throughout the MQW periods, and thus, the individual oscillator strengths can change with  $V_{\rm b}$ . However, due to the oscillator strength sum rule [1], the total f of all the prominent LQW transitions will remain almost unchanged with  $V_{\rm b}$ . Therefore, the sum of the IAS of the long wavelength transitions in the LQW is  $A_{\rm L} \propto f_{\rm L} n_{\rm L} / m_{\rm I}^*$ , where  $f_{\rm L}$  is the bias-insensitive sum of oscillator strengths of the observed long wavelength transitions and  $m_1^*$  is the effective mass of electrons in the LQW. Using the above two expressions for  $A_{R7}$ and  $A_{\rm L}$  with  $n_{\rm 2D} = n_{\rm L} + n_{\rm R}$ , where  $n_{\rm 2D}$  is the total 2D electron density in each period of the MQW structure, we obtain  $n_{\rm L} = n_{\rm 2D}/(1 + (m_{\rm R}^* f_{\rm L} A_{\rm R7})/1)$  $m_{\rm L}^* f_{\rm R7} A_{\rm L}))$ and  $n_{\rm R} = n_{\rm 2D} / (1 + (m_{\rm L}^* f_{\rm R7} A_{\rm L}) / (1 + (m$  $m_{\rm R}^* f_{\rm L} A_{\rm R7})$ ). We use the values of  $A_{\rm R7}$  and  $A_{\rm L}$  determined from the fitting procedure, the calculated ratio  $f_{\rm L}/f_{\rm R7}$  at  $|V_{\rm b}| = 3$  V,  $m_{\rm L}^* = 0.0707m_0$ , and  $m_{\rm R}^* = 0.0665 m_0$ , where  $m_0$  is the free-electron mass, to determine  $n_{\rm L}$  and  $n_{\rm R}$ . These densities at T = 10,



Fig. 5. 2D electron density in the LQW ( $n_L/n_{2D}$ ) and the RQW ( $n_R/n_{2D}$ ) at temperature: (a) T = 10 K, (b) T = 50 K, and (c) T = 70 K. The total 2D electron density  $n_{2D} = 5.3 \times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>.

50, and 70 K are plotted in Fig. 5, which brings out the following trends: (i) all electrons are in the RQW under positive bias and are transferred to the LQW at T = 10 K as a negative bias is applied across the detector, and (ii) at higher temperatures,  $n_L$  increases with  $|V_b|$  at low negative bias and decreases with  $|V_b|$  at high negative bias. This depletion of the LQW increases dramatically with temperature above T = 50 K. The first trend result from the equalization of the Fermi level between the LQW and the RQW in each MQW period. The second one is, however, unexpected and cannot be explained by the above argument.

At high temperatures, a large number of electrons escape from QWs via thermionic emission. The number of these thermally generated electrons is  $n_{\rm th} \propto \exp(-(E_{\rm b} - E_{\rm F})/k_{\rm B}T) = \exp(-(E_{\rm b} - E_{\rm I})/k_{\rm B}T)$  $k_{\rm B}T$  [exp $(n_{\rm QW}/g_{\rm 2D}k_{\rm B}T) - 1$ ], where  $E_{\rm F}$  is the Fermi energy,  $E_1$  the ground state energy,  $n_{OW}$  the 2D electron density in the QW, and  $g_{2D}$  the 2D density of states [1,26,27]. Therefore, the number of thermal electrons that escape from the LQW and the RQW are given by  $n_{\text{Lth}} \propto \exp(-(E_{b\text{L}} - E_{1\text{L}})/$  $k_{\rm B}T$  [exp $(n_{\rm L}/g_{2\rm D}k_{\rm B}T) - 1$ ] and  $n_{\rm Rth} \propto \exp(-(E_{\rm bR} - E_{\rm bR}))$  $E_{1R}/k_BT$  [exp $(n_R/g_{2D}k_BT) - 1$ ], respectively, where  $E_{\rm bL}$  is the height of the graded barrier seen by the LQW electrons under negative bias,  $E_{bR}$  the height of the middle barrier seen by the RQW electrons under negative bias,  $E_{1L}$  the LQW ground state energy, and  $E_{1R}$  the RQW ground state energy. For the nominal material parameters,  $E_{bL} - E_{1L}$  is significantly smaller than  $E_{bR} - E_{1R}$ . Activation energy, deduced from the temperature dependence of detector dark current, is appreciably lower under negative bias than under positive bias [20]. Therefore, we have experimentally confirmed that  $E_{bL} - E_{1L} \gg E_{bR} - E_{1R}$ . The continuity of dark current implies that  $n_{Lth} = n_{Rth}$ . Since  $E_{bL} - E_{1L} \ll E_{bR} - E_{1R}$ , it is clear from the equality of the above two expressions for  $n_{Lth}$  and  $n_{Rth}$  that  $n_L \ll n_R$ . Therefore, the depletion of the LQW at high negative bias and high temperature can be explained by the continuity of dark current due to the thermal electrons that have significantly lower activation energy in the LQW.

## 6. Photoconductive gain

# 6.1. Deduced spectra

The deduced values of photoconductive gain g are shown in Fig. 6. Under positive bias, the gain spectra have a peak at 7.2 µm which exhibits negligible temperature dependence in the 10-70 K range. Also, gain is small below 6 µm and above 8  $\mu$ m. The lower cutoff wavelength  $\lambda_{\rm L}$  is set by the position of the L-valleys in the barriers as scattering from the  $\Gamma$ - to the L-valleys lowers the photoelectron mobility. The L-valleys in Al<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>As ( $x \approx 0.3$ ) are  $\Delta E_L \approx 310$  meV above the GaAs band edge. Therefore,  $\lambda_{\rm L} = hc/(\Delta E_{\rm L} - hc)$  $E_{1R}$  = 5.5 µm. The upper cutoff wavelength  $\lambda_{\rm U}$  is determined by the barrier height  $E_{\rm B}$  as photoelectrons with energy less than  $E_{\rm B}$  are trapped in the QW and, hence, have low gain.  $E_{\rm B} = 225$  meV for the Al<sub>0.3</sub>Ga<sub>0.7</sub>As barrier yields  $\lambda_{\rm U} = hc/(E_{\rm B} - C_{\rm B})$  $E_{1R}$ ) = 8.5 µm. These calculated values of  $\lambda_L$  and  $\lambda_{\rm U}$  are in satisfactory agreement with  $\lambda_{\rm L} \approx 6 \ \mu m$ and  $\lambda_{\rm U} \approx 8.5 \ \mu {\rm m}$  found in Fig. 6.

Under negative bias at T = 10 K, the gain peak switches from 7.2 µm at  $V_b = -1.5$  V to 8.6 µm at  $V_b = -3$  V with peaks at both 7.2 and 8.6 µm for intermediate bias voltages. Under negative bias, the expected lower cutoff wavelength, set by the position of the L-valleys in the barriers, is  $\lambda_L = hc/(\Delta E_L - E_{1L}) = 5.2$  µm, which is consistent with  $\lambda_L \approx 6$  µm in Fig. 6. The upper cutoff wavelength, determined by the barrier height, is expected to change with  $V_b$  because the barrier height is set by the left edge of the graded barrier



Fig. 6. Photoconductive gain g spectra in the 10–70 K temperature range. The bias voltages  $V_b$  are indicated on the right margin. g is obtained from fitting Eq. (1) to normalized responsivity data. Each curve has been shifted by 0.1 from the one just below it for clarity. Typical error bars of 10% are not shown for clarity.

(Al<sub>0.3</sub>Ga<sub>0.7</sub>As with  $E_B = 225$  meV) at low negative  $V_b$  and by the right edge (Al<sub>0.25</sub>Ga<sub>0.75</sub>As with  $E_B = 187.5$  meV) at high negative  $V_b$  (Fig. 1). Therefore, we expect  $\lambda_U = 8 \ \mu m$  at low negative  $V_b$  and  $\lambda_U = 11 \ \mu m$  at high negative  $V_b$ . These values are in good agreement with data shown in Fig. 6 where  $\lambda_U$  increases from 9 to 11  $\mu m$  as  $V_b$  is varied from -1.5 to -5 V.

As temperature is raised above T = 10 K, a short wavelength gain peak appears under negative bias at 7.2 µm, the same wavelength at which the positive bias gain has a peak at all temperatures. With increasing temperature, this 7.2 µm peak grows and eventually becomes the main peak. The peak size at T = 50 K and  $V_b = -4$  V is 0.2. With further increase in temperature, the 7.2 µm peak becomes larger and sharper. At T = 70K, the peak size at  $V_b = -4$  V is 0.33. The enhancement of the short wavelength gain is accompanied by a reduction of the long wavelength gain. Also, the position of the long wavelength peak shifts to slightly larger wavelengths at higher temperatures. At T = 50 K, the long wavelength peak appears at 8.8 µm from  $V_b = -2$  to -3 V. It is evident from the T = 70 K gain spectra that with further increase in temperature, the long wavelength peak completely disappears under negative bias. The peak values of gain are plotted in Fig. 7 as a function of  $V_b$  for different temperatures.

## 6.2. Discussion

In all our discussions so far, we have assumed that an applied bias leads to a constant electric field across each MQW period and is superimposed on the built-in electric field that exists at zero bias. This assumption is valid at low temperatures because the doped QWs are much thinner than the undoped barriers. A constant electric field leads to voltage division between the 200 Å middle barrier and the 350 Å graded barrier that is proportional to the width of the two barriers. This energy band picture (Fig. 1) can explain the following features of the gain data shown in Figs. 6 and 7.

Photoconductive gain is  $g = v_d \tau / L$ , where  $v_d$  is the photoelectron drift velocity,  $\tau$  the photoelectron lifetime, and L the detector length. At low bias voltages,  $v_d \propto F \propto V_b$ , where F is the electric field in the barriers. Therefore, g should increase linearly with  $V_{\rm b}$ , which is case for both short and long wavelength gain in the -3 to 3 V bias range at T = 10 K and for the short wavelength gain at T = 50 K, also in the -3 to 3 V bias range (Fig. 7). At higher bias voltages, the peak gain plotted in Fig. 7 shows signs of saturation. This is due to the scattering of photoelectrons from the  $\Gamma$ -valley to the L-valleys in the barrier region, which lowers the photoelectron mobility, and hence, photoconductive gain. Another important feature of the gain data shown in Fig. 7 is the negligible temperature dependence up to T = 50 K under low negative bias. This behavior is similar to that of one-color detectors, where both photoconductive and noise gains are found to be independent of temperature in the 10-80 K range, which suggests that the photoelectron mobility is temperature insensitive [23,28].



Fig. 7. Bias dependence of the short (7.2  $\mu$ m) and long (8.6  $\mu$ m) wavelength gain peak at T = 10, 50, and 70 K. Symbols: data from Fig. 6. Lines: linear fits in the low bias range.

The enhancement of the short wavelength gain peak at negative voltages above T = 50 K and the corresponding reduction of the long wavelength gain cannot be explained by the low temperature energy bands. At higher temperatures such as 70 K, it is possible for the graded barrier to have a lower resistance than the middle barrier despite its larger barrier width because the activation energy of the LQW is significantly smaller than that of the RQW. Therefore, a smaller part of the applied voltage would drop across the graded barrier, as depicted in Fig. 8. The conduction band diagram sketched in Fig. 8 is qualitatively consistent with the charge densities in the QWs shown in Fig. 5. Based on Gauss's Law, the band bending near the LQW implies that the LQW has a net positive charge while the band bending near the RQW implies that the RQW has a net negative charge. This is true at high temperatures under negative bias for the following reason. The LQW has a doping density of  $N_{\rm D}$  donors while the RQW is undoped. At high temperatures and negative bias, the 2D electron density in the LQW  $n_{\rm L}$  is significantly lower than  $n_{2D} = L_w N_D$  as shown in Fig. 5. Therefore, the net 2D charge density in the LQW is  $eL_wN_D + (-en_L) > 0$ . On the other hand, the net 2D charge density in the RQW is  $(-en_R) < 0$ . Hence, the negative bias energy band diagram that is illustrated in Fig. 8 for high temperatures is qualitatively consistent with the doping profile and the QW electron densities that were determined from the absorption data.



Fig. 8. Conduction band diagram of two-color detector under negative bias at high temperatures T. The energy bands are drawn under the assumption that all of the applied voltage drop per MQW period drops across the 200 Å middle barrier. The + and – signs indicate the polarity of the net charge in the LQWs and the RQWs, respectively.

It is clear from Fig. 8 that the excited state of the long wavelength photoelectrons, which are excited out of the LQW, is trapped in a V-shape potential well bound by the graded and middle barriers on two sides. This would significantly lower the gain of the long wavelength photoelectrons, which is consistent with the T = 50 and 70 K data shown in Figs. 6 and 7.

The electric field  $F_{\text{MB,HT}}$  in the middle barrier at high temperatures is much higher than the field  $F_{\rm MB,LT}$  at low temperatures. If all of the applied voltage per MQW period dropped across the middle barrier at high temperatures, then  $F_{\text{MB,HT}}$  $F_{\rm MB,LT} = (L_{\rm MB} + L_{\rm GB})/L_{\rm MB} = 2.75$ , where  $L_{\rm MB} =$ 200 Å is the thickness of the middle barrier and  $L_{\rm GB} = 350$  Å is the thickness of the graded barrier. Therefore, the ratio of the short wavelength gain at high and low temperatures is expected to be  $g_{\text{SW,HT}}/g_{\text{SW,LT}} = F_{\text{MB,HT}}/F_{\text{MB,LT}} = 2.75$ . This ratio is in good agreement with the observed factor of three enhancement of  $g_{SW,HT}$  over  $g_{SW,LT}$ . Therefore, nonlinear voltage drop within each MQW period is a possible explanation for the enhancement of the short wavelength gain peak at negative voltages above T = 50 K.

#### 7. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have investigated the temperature dependence of photoresponse of electron transfer based voltage tunable two-color QWIPs. For  $T \leq 40$  K, the responsivity peak switches from

7.2 µm under positive bias to 8.6 µm at large negative bias. At higher temperatures, the short wavelength peak is present for both bias polarities and increases rapidly with temperature. In order to investigate this temperature dependence, we extracted the spectra of absorption coefficient and photoconductive gain at different temperatures using COWIPs with different corrugation periods. We determined the LQW and RQW populations from the deduced absorption spectra and found that, for  $T \ge 50$  K, the LQW population increases at low negative bias but decreases at high negative bias. The deduced gain spectra indicated a strong enhancement of the short wavelength gain and a strong reduction of the long wavelength gain for  $T \ge 50$  K. We argued that both these temperature dependences are caused by the large thermal currents from the LQW, which has a significantly lower activation energy than the RQW.

The present detector design requires only one indium bump contact for each pixel of a focal plane array instead of three indium bump contacts in the usual two-color camera design [5,6]. This simplification will greatly facilitate the production of OWIP cameras and increase the yield. On the other hand, due to ineffective electron transfer at high temperatures, the detector needs to be operated below its wavelength switching temperature of 40 K, which is approximately 20 K below the background limited temperature of one-color detectors with similar cutoff wavelengths [1]. One might be able to push the maximum operating temperature up by going to shorter detection wavelengths. Shorter wavelengths would require higher AlGaAs barriers that would lower thermal currents. However, at shorter wavelengths, the photoexcited state is close to the L-valleys in the AlGaAs barriers, which would presumably lower the photoconductive gain. Therefore, more work is required to determine an optimized two-color structure that would yield the highest possible operating temperature.

#### Acknowledgements

The work at Princeton University is supported by grants from the Army Research Office and the National Science Foundation. Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy under contract no. DE-AC04-94AL85000.

# References

- K.K. Choi, The Physics of Quantum Well Infrared Photodetectors, World Scientific, River Edge, New Jersey, 1997.
- [2] S.D. Gunapala, H.C. Liu, H. Schneider (Eds.), QWIP 2000, Elsevier, New York, 2001.
- [3] A. Kock, E. Gornik, G. Abstreiter, G. Bohm, M. Walther, G. Weimann, Appl. Phys. Lett. 60 (1992) 2011.
- [4] M.Z. Tidrow, J.C. Chiang, S.S. Li, K. Bacher, Appl. Phys. Lett. 70 (1997) 859.
- [5] S.D. Gunapala, S.V. Bandara, A. Singh, J.K. Liu, S.B. Rafol, E.M. Luong, J.M. Mumolo, N.Q. Tran, D.Z.Y. Ting, J.D. Vincent, C.A. Shott, J. Long, P.D. LeVan, IEEE Trans. Electron Dev. 47 (2000) 963.
- [6] M. Sundaram, S.C. Wang, M.F. Taylor, A. Reisinger, G.L. Milne, K.B. Reiff, R.E. Rose, R.R. Martin, Infrared Phys. Technol. 42 (2001) 301.
- [7] K.K. Choi, B.F. Levine, C.G. Bethea, J. Walker, R.J. Malik, Phys. Rev. B 39 (1989) 8029.
- [8] S.R. Parihar, S.A. Lyon, M. Santos, M. Shayegan, Appl. Phys. Lett. 55 (1989) 2417.
- [9] Y.J. Mii, R.P.G. Karunasiri, K.L. Wang, M. Chen, P.F. Yuh, Appl. Phys. Lett. 56 (1990) 1986.
- [10] B.F. Levine, C.G. Bethea, V.O. Shen, R.J. Malik, Appl. Phys. Lett. 57 (1990) 383.
- [11] K. Kheng, M. Ramsteiner, H. Schneider, J.D. Ralston, F. Fuchs, P. Koidl, Appl. Phys. Lett. 61 (1992) 666.
- [12] Y.H. Wang, S.S. Li, P. Ho, Appl. Phys. Lett. 62 (1993) 93.
- [13] K.K. Choi, B.F. Levine, C.G. Bethea, J. Walker, R.J. Malik, Appl. Phys. Lett. 52 (1988) 1979.
- [14] H.C. Liu, M. Buchanan, Z.R. Wasilewski, J. Appl. Phys. 68 (1990) 3780.
- [15] N. Vodjdani, B. Vinter, V. Berger, E. Bockenhoff, E. Costard, Appl. Phys. Lett. 59 (1991) 555.
- [16] H.C. Liu, A.G. Steele, M. Buchanan, Z.R. Wasilewski, J. Appl. Phys. 70 (1991) 7560.
- [17] V. Berger, N. Vodjdani, P. Bois, B. Vinter, S. Delaitre, Appl. Phys. Lett. 61 (1992) 1898.
- [18] A. Majumdar, K.K. Choi, L.P. Rokhinson, D.C. Tsui, Appl. Phys. Lett. 80 (2002) 538.
- [19] A. Majumdar, K.K. Choi, L.P. Rokhinson, J.L. Reno, D.C. Tsui, J. Appl. Phys. 91 (2002) 4623.
- [20] A. Majumdar, K.K. Choi, J.L. Reno, L.P. Rokhinson, D.C. Tsui, Appl. Phys. Lett. 80 (2002) 707.
- [21] C.J. Chen, K.K. Choi, M.Z. Tidrow, D.C. Tsui, Appl. Phys. Lett. 68 (1996) 1446.
- [22] C.J. Chen, K.K. Choi, L. Rokhinson, W.H. Chang, D.C. Tsui, Appl. Phys. Lett. 75 (1999) 3210.

346

- [23] K.K. Choi, C.J. Chen, D.C. Tsui, J. Appl. Phys. 88 (2000) 1612.
- [24] K.W. Goossen, S.A. Lyon, K. Alavi, Appl. Phys. Lett. 52 (1988) 1701.
- [25] L.J. Kozlowski, G.M. Williams, G.J. Sullivan, C.W. Farley, R.J. Anderson, J. Chen, A.T. Cheung, W.E. Tennant, R.E. DeWames, IEEE Trans. Electron Dev. 38 (1991) 1124.
- [26] E. Pelve, F. Beltram, C.G. Bethea, B.F. Levine, V.O. Shen, S.J. Hsieh, R.R. Abbott, J. Appl. Phys. 66 (1989) 5656.
- [27] B.F. Levine, C.G. Bethea, G. Hasnain, V.O. Shen, E. Pelve, R.R. Abbott, S.J. Hsieh, Appl. Phys. Lett. 56 (1990) 851.
- [28] B.F. Levine, A. Zussman, J.M. Kuo, J. de Jong, J. Appl. Phys. 71 (1992) 5130.